February 13, 2015

Dr. Joan F. Lorden, Ph.D.
Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs
University of North Carolina at Charlotte
9201 University City Blvd.
Charlotte, NC 28223-0001

Dear Dr. Lorden:

The Belk College of Business appreciates the review of the Request for Authorization to Establish a New Degree Program (Appendix C) for a Doctor in Business Administration (DBA) Program by the UNC General Administration. The DBA Planning Committee has made several updates to Appendix C to address their feedback. Additional details are provided below:

- **Program cost and student demand.**
  We have requested a tuition differential of $21,000 per FTE per year. This would result in an in-state student paying approximately $83,000 in tuition and fees over the three years of the program. Out-of-state students would pay approximately $120,000. This will make the program very low-cost compared to other programs for in-state residents, and cost-competitive for out-of-state students. We have added clarifying language in Appendix C to make the pricing structure clearer.

  a. We plan to admit 20 students per year to the program. We have limited the program because we do not believe that we could provide adequate dissertation supervision to a larger class size.

  b. We believe that we can attract 20 students per year with the current pricing structure. In-state students would pay closer to $83,000 over the three years of the program, or approximately $27,600 per year. We believe that when compared to other EDBA programs, and even compared to some EMBA programs, our price would be highly competitive.

  c. We did not formally ask Hanover to address this trend. We note that the trend was for increasing production through the 2009-2010 academic year, and then decreased production in both 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. Given these programs are three year programs, students graduating in those years would have been admitted in the fall of...
2008 or 2009, which was at the height of the recession. Our assumption is that the
decreased production in those two years was a function of the recession. We note that
all of these programs are currently reporting healthy enrollments in the post-recession
period.

- **Peer reviewers.**

Thank you for pointing out that external reviewers are likely to be drawn from some of
the programs discussed in the document. We have revised page 22 of Appendix C so that
it no longer describes the program as “a more rigorous academic program” from other
existing DBA programs.

- **Enrollment status.**

Given students will be enrolled in 9 credit hours a semester, page 22 of Appendix C has
been revised to classify these students as full-time students.

- **Degree requirements and curriculum.**

a. A plan showing how student cohorts will move through the 3-year DBA program is
attached.

b. Thank you for pointing out the question regarding the categorization and
classification of the DBA courses. It was the DBA Planning Committee’s initial
intention to only use three classifications, which are likely too broad. Accordingly,
Appendix C has been updated to distinguish four categories and classified the courses
as follows:

**Research Content Focused (15 credits)**
- BDBA 8110 Organizational Theories and Systems (3)
- BDBA 8130 Introduction of Business Theory (3)
- BDBA 8140 Advanced Business Theory (3)
- BDBA 8210 Current Topics Research Seminar (3)
- BDBA 8240 Focused Research Seminar (3)

**Research Methodology (15 credits)**
- BDBA 8100 Introduction to Experimental Design and Measurement (3)
- BDBA 8150 Business Statistics and Data Analysis (3)
- BDBA 8200 Research Methods I (3)
- BDBA 8230 Research Methods II (3)
- BDBA 8350 Dissertation Proposal Development (3)

**Practice-Focus Area (6 credits)**
- BDBA 8120 Professional Issues I (3)
- BDBA 8220 Professional Issues II (3)

**Dissertation (18 credits)**
- BDBA 8999 Doctoral Dissertation Research (18)
Transferred Coursework (18 credits)

TOTAL DEGREE – 72 credits

Based on the comment regarding additional content course consideration and the question regarding the categorization and classification of the DBA courses, a different classification has been adopted that now clearly shows the classes focused predominantly on content. It’s important to note that even the courses predominantly focused on research methods include content components that highlight the application to the respective research domains as much of the global issue, leadership, strategy, systems thinking, decision-making, etc. content is diffused throughout the program.

• Faculty.

a. Table 9: Faculty Interested in Teaching in the Proposed Executive DBA Program initially contained courses taught by faculty for academic year 2013-14. In constructing this table we followed the SACS template. That template does not ask for industry experience or teaching before the most recent year. To more fully address the questions raised here, we have expanded this table to include three years teaching data and industry experience. We note that over a three year window, all faculty listed in the table have taught at the graduate level. In addition 20 faculty list relevant industry or consulting experience. We do note that we removed one faculty member from the table because he has announced that he will be retiring at the end of this academic year.

b. As noted in the proposal the Belk College anticipates hiring two faculty members. Although we do emphasize research experience, for these positions we also look for relevant experience with industry, consulting, and/or executive education. For the past several years the College has expected faculty hired, and especially senior faculty hired, to have executive education or industry experience, in addition to research experience.

• Budget.

a. We anticipate paying faculty for chairing and serving on dissertation committees as this will be done in addition to their normal faculty teaching load. The number of dissertations is such that we anticipate needing to supplement our faculty with faculty from other institutions and these faculty will also need to be compensated. We will look to supplement faculty first from UNC system institutions.

b. We have requested $21,000 per year for a full-time graduate student tuition differential. That number is reflected in Appendix C and the budget document.

c. Thank you for pointing out the discrepancy in enrollment projections. We have revised page 22 of Appendix C so that the enrollment numbers reflect the numbers used in the program budget.
• Evaluation.

a. The DBA Planning Committee agrees that overall evaluation of the program should be expanded to include perceptions of alumni with respect to program impact, expanded career opportunities, professional satisfaction, and overall competence. Appendix C has been revised to reflect the importance of consulting and entrepreneurial activities.

The proposed evaluation measures focus on specific perceptual and behavioral outcomes that occur as a result of program completion. As such, the DBA Planning Committee does not believe the measures themselves would change.

b. As noted in our response to question 2a and 2b, the cost to an in-state student would be closer to $83,000 for the three years of the program. At the time the master’s students were surveyed, we did not differentiate between an in-state and out-of-state student. As a result, all of those students assumed the cost would be the out-of-state cost of $120,000. This would increase the in-state ROI for in-state students and presumably would make the program more attractive to them.

We believe incorporating this additional detail has strengthened the Request for Authorization to Establish a New Degree Program and we look forward to the external review. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Steven H. Ott
Dean, Belk College of Business

The UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHARLOTTE
An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer
APPENDIX C

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO ESTABLISH
A NEW DEGREE PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS: Each proposal should include a 2-3 page executive summary. The signature of the Chancellor is required. Please submit one hard copy and an electronic copy of the proposal to the Office of the Senior Vice President of Academic Affairs at UNC General Administration.

Date: February 13, 2015

Constituent Institution: The University of North Carolina at Charlotte

CIP Discipline Specialty Title: Business Administration and Management, General

CIP Discipline Specialty Number: 52.0201 Level: B _____ M _____ Res. Doc. _____ Prof. Doc. _X_

Exact Title of the Proposed Degree: Doctor of Business Administration

Exact Degree Abbreviation (e.g., B.S., B.A., M.A., M.S., Ed.D., Ph.D.): D.B.A.

Does the proposed program constitute a substantive change as defined by SACS? Yes ___X__ No _____

The current SACS Substantive Change Policy Statement may be viewed at:

If yes, please briefly explain.

As required by the Policy Statement on Substantive Change for Accredited Institutions of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) Commission on Colleges, the University of North Carolina at Charlotte (UNC Charlotte) is required to submit a letter of notification prior to implementation for new degree programs. Notification of this new degree program will be provided to SACS after approval by the University of North Carolina Board of Governors and prior to implementation.

Proposed date to enroll first students in degree program: Month August Year 2015

Are there plans to offer 50% or more of program credit hours to students off-campus or online? Yes _____ No ___X___

If yes, complete the form to be used to request establishment of a distance education program and submit it along with this request.

Note: If a degree program has not been approved by the Board of Governors, its approval for alternative, online, or distance delivery must wait until BOG program approval is received. (400.1.1[R], page 3)

The program will be offered on the UNC Charlotte Main Campus and the UNC Charlotte Center City Building. There are no plans to offer the program in any other locations.
Provide a summary of the status of this proposal in your campus review processes.

a. List the campus bodies that reviewed and commented on this Appendix C proposal before submission to UNC General Administration. What were there determinations? Include any votes, if applicable.

The following campus bodies reviewed this Appendix C proposal before submission to UNC General Administration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campus Body</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Votes, If Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doctor of Business Planning Committee</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>5 for, 0 against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belk College Graduate Council</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>7 for, 0 against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belk College Graduate Faculty</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>39 for, 0 against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belk College Dean’s Office</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNC Charlotte Graduate Council</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>9 for, 0 against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean of the Graduate School</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provost’s Office</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chancellor’s Office</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Summarize any issues, concerns or opposition raised throughout the campus process and comment periods. Describe revisions made to address areas of concern.

The UNC Graduate Council reviewed Appendix A and was broadly supportive of the proposed executive DBA program. The concerns they expressed primarily focused on student and societal demand and who can serve on the program’s dissertation committees. These concerns have been addressed and accounted for in this Request for Authorization to Establish a New Degree Program.

The Belk College Graduate Council (BCGC) considered Appendix C at the request of the DBA Planning Committee. The BCGC unanimously voted in favor of the proposal pending two key sets of revisions, which have been made. First, BCGC asked that the titles and course descriptions for some courses be modified to more closely reflect the content in the syllabi provided. Second, BCGC recommended that the planning committee consider adding an accounting track after the program becomes operational.

The BCGC submitted Appendix C to the Belk College graduate faculty for their review. At the faculty meeting, the Belk College graduate faculty unanimously voted in favor of the proposal. No significant issues, concerns, or opposition were raised.

The Belk College submitted Appendix C to the UNC Charlotte Graduate Council for their review. The Graduate Council requested more details about the program structure and funding, both of which were addressed in the on-campus approval form and budget. Following the Graduate Council meeting, the DBA proposal was approved unanimously.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview:

The proposed Doctor of Business Administration (DBA) is a professional degree taught in an executive format that will provide doctoral-level preparation for senior-level business professionals who wish to enhance their understanding of business theory, integrate cutting edge business theory and practice, and learn to address complex business problems through advanced research methodology. The purpose of this doctoral program is to provide a sound theoretical grounding and understanding of research methodology to address emerging issues in the practice of business. More than a Ph.D., the executive DBA is broad in scope, cutting across business disciplines to address applied problems facing executives in the workplace. The executive DBA emphasizes the testing, application, and extension of existing theory more than the development of theory.

The proposed executive DBA program will target a select group of senior executives who hold an MBA or a master's degree in a related field and have extensive business experience but who do not want to leave their careers to pursue a full-time Ph.D. Individuals who are attracted to the executive DBA often seek to deepen their overall business knowledge and understanding of high-level research skills in order to better address the complex challenges facing them in today's dynamic business environment. Others pursue the executive DBA as a means of transitioning into a career in higher education or to enhance their expertise for a career in consulting.

Need for the program:

Few options for business executive education beyond the master's degree level currently exist. This is particularly true for executives who choose to pursue advanced education while still fully employed. However, senior executives who participate in executive education frequently request information about furthering their education beyond the MBA or specialized business master's degree. The explosion of data, complex technology, and the increasing complexities of operating in a rapidly changing global environment require abilities that exceed the MBA or executive MBA level. There has been an evolution of executive education needs from the bachelor's degree to the MBA/Executive MBA (EMBA).

While the primary focus for the proposed executive DBA program will be senior executives pursuing career goals in industry or consulting, there are also opportunities to attract senior executives who plan at some point in their careers to transition into higher education. The shortage of doctoral faculty in business was identified by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) International Management Education Task Force (METF) in the document, Management Education at Risk and was further analyzed by the Doctoral Faculty Commission (DFC) and reported in Sustaining Scholarship in Business School. Expanding doctoral programs for executives was presented as a key strategy to address the faculty needs of business schools with non-research missions and to augment the teaching capacity in research focused business schools.

Executive doctoral programs are gaining traction in the U.S. as evidenced by the increasing number of universities offering these programs and the discussion of these programs by the news media, businesses, and business accrediting organizations like AACSB International. The demand for doctorates in industry has long been the norm in Europe.

In an effort to gain information about demand for the proposed executive DBA, the program concept and an outline of the program was presented to the Belk College Board of Advisors. The reaction to the proposed executive DBA was favorable and subsequent meetings with individual members confirmed that Board members may have a personal interest in the executive DBA program. They were also interested in making this educational opportunity available to top executives in their companies.
To establish demand for the executive DBA, the Belk College of Business initiated a three-phase demand analysis consisting of a review of secondary sources of information and existing program websites, in-depth interviews with 25 potential students and five human resource executives in major Charlotte-region companies and a survey of alumni from the Belk College MBA and specialized master’s programs. Hanover Research conducted the secondary research and alumni studies. A local consultant conducted the in-depth interviews. Findings from the three research efforts included:

- A growing number of American EDBA programs attract highly qualified students from around the country and world. Current programs have successfully grown and maintained student enrollment.
- In in-depth interviews respondents suggested that they knew very little about executive doctorate programs. However, 60 percent of the prospective students (15 individuals) interviewed expressed some interest in the program. Most indicated they would need more information about the specific program that would be offered by the Belk College before making a decision. Of the 99 alumni who responded to the Belk College alumni survey, approximately one third (31 respondents) indicated they would be interested in enrolling in the proposed executive DBA program. Approximately 30 percent of this interested respondent group indicated that they would be willing to consider enrolling “immediately” or “within the next year”.
- Given that the target population for each cohort is around 20 students, the in-depth interviews and the alumni survey provided an encouraging demand picture.

Objectives:

The educational objectives of the proposed executive DBA can be summarized as follows:

1. To foster executive-scholars’ pursuit of interdisciplinary business theory and advanced research methodologies to inform the practice of business decision making in an increasingly complex business environment. Graduates of the program will be prepared to:
   a. Lead organizations as senior executives and change agents.
   b. Acquire faculty positions in academic institutions, addressing the shortage of doctoral-trained business faculty.
   c. Launch successful careers in management consulting.

2. To facilitate the dissemination of complex academic research into the practice of business. Exposure to leading business research and research methods will provide graduates with the knowledge, skills, and abilities to lead the application and adaptation of theoretical research to business practice. The executive DBA program will offer the opportunity to develop information channels between academia and practice leading to:
   a. A better understanding by business practitioners of the value of academic research in solving practical business problems.
   b. Collaborative partnerships between businesses and the University that provide faculty access to corporate data sources for academic research and provide businesses with access to cutting-edge academic research. This collaboration may open new avenues for funding academic and applied research.
   c. Integration of academic business research with the practice of business to benefit organizations, employees, customers, the larger community and other stakeholders.

3. Effective communication skills that facilitate the effective presentation of analysis results to non-technical, business audiences.
4. The ability to manage and lead analytics projects and work in cross-functional business teams.

I. Description of the Program

A. Describe the proposed degree program (i.e., its nature, scope, and intended audience).

The proposed executive DBA is a professional degree that will provide doctoral-level preparation for senior-level business professionals who wish to enhance their understanding of business theory, integrate cutting edge theory and practice, and learn to address complex business problems through advanced research methodology. The focus of this degree is on providing a sound theoretical grounding and understanding of research methodology to address emerging issues in the practice of business. More so than a Ph.D., the executive DBA is broad in scope, cutting across business disciplines to address applied problems facing executives in the workplace. The executive DBA emphasizes the testing, application, and extension of existing theory more than the development of theory.

The executive DBA will be a three-year (six-semester), cohort program consisting of 18, three credit hour courses (54 credit-hour total). Students will complete three courses per semester, for a total of nine credit hours. Courses in the DBA program will be offered in an executive format (e.g., five, three-day residencies per semester) to accommodate the needs of business executives who work full time.

The initial focus of the program will be on research content and methodology courses. As students progress in the program, the emphasis will shift toward applied research content courses and projects that address issues confronting contemporary business leaders. The program will culminate with a dissertation that addresses a complex, cross-functional business problem of strategic interest to a company or non-profit organization.

The proposed executive DBA program will target a select group of senior executives who hold an MBA or a master’s degree in a related field and have extensive business experience but who do not want to leave their careers to pursue a full-time Ph.D. Individuals who are attracted to the executive DBA often seek to deepen their overall business knowledge and understanding of high-level research skills in order to better address the complex challenges facing them in today’s dynamic business environment. Others pursue the executive DBA as a means of transitioning into a career in higher education or to enhance their expertise for a career in consulting.

B. List the educational objectives of the program.

1. To foster executive-scholars’ pursuit of interdisciplinary business theory and advanced research methodologies to inform the practice of business decision making in an increasingly complex business environment. Graduates of the program will be prepared to:

   a. Lead organizations as senior executives and change agents.

---

1 The executive DBA will require 54 credit hours, including 18 hours of dissertation credit. Since the UNC Charlotte Graduate School requires that any student earning a doctoral degree must have completed at least 72 post-baccalaureate semester-hours, students entering the program must have already completed an MBA or master’s degree in a business related field with more than 18 hours credit. The Graduate School 18 hours of research credit requirement will be met by 18 credit hours of dissertation research.
b. Acquire faculty positions in academic institutions, addressing the shortage of doctoral-trained business faculty.

c. Launch successful careers in management consulting.

2. To facilitate the dissemination of complex academic research into the practice of business. Exposure to leading business research and research methods will provide graduates with the knowledge, skills, and abilities to lead the application and adaptation of theoretical research to business practice. The executive DBA program will offer the opportunity to develop information channels between academia and practice leading to:

a. A better understanding by business practitioners of the value of academic research in solving practical business problems.

b. Collaborative partnerships between businesses and the University that provide faculty access to corporate data sources for academic research and provide businesses with access to cutting-edge academic research. This collaboration may open new avenues for funding academic and applied research.

c. Integration of academic business research with the practice of business to benefit organizations, employees, customers, the larger community and other stakeholders.

3. Effective communication skills that facilitate the effective presentation of analysis results to non-technical, business audiences.

4. The ability to manage and lead analytics projects and work in cross-functional business teams.

C. Describe the relationship of the program to other programs currently offered at the proposing institution, including the common use of:

The proposed executive DBA provides the opportunity to create an entirely new doctoral program at the institution that is offered in a unique, executive format. Little opportunity exists to create relationships between the executive DBA and other existing programs at the institution. The focus on engaging real business problems with research methods that drive solutions as well as the executive format precludes sharing of doctoral courses with other doctoral programs. There may be some overlap in the skills that are developed in doctoral-level research methods courses, but the executive format limits including courses that are designed for full-time Ph.D. students.

There is little relationship between the executive DBA and the current Business Administration Ph.D. (BPHD) program. Executive DBA students will have much different skill sets and career aspirations than those enrolled in the BPHD, requiring different course content and delivery. While graduates of the executive DBA program may transition into academic positions, the executive DBA program focuses on individuals who intend to stay in industry. The industry focus dictates that courses in the executive DBA program and the type of research conducted by executive DBA students will likely be significantly more applied in nature than would be the case for courses and research in the
BPHD program. Currently, the finance track is the only track in the BPHD program with coursework and research focusing almost exclusively on finance, as opposed to the executive DBA which will have a more general business focus. The two programs are sufficiently different, both in terms of focus and research methods, that there will be little overlap in the degree programs, suggesting few opportunities for sharing of classes.

We do not anticipate the executive DBA to directly affect enrollment in the BPHD program. The two programs will be taught by different faculty members. The BPHD program only offers one major in finance. As a result, that program utilizes only faculty members from the Departments of Finance, Economics, and Mathematics and Statistics. That program is at its capacity given faculty and funding constraints, and is unlikely to grow in the near future. The curriculum of the proposed DBA program focuses in areas other than finance. Specifically the executive DBA program will primarily utilize faculty members in the Departments of Management, Marketing, and Business Information Systems/Operations Management. The executive DBA will be taught on an overload basis, preventing conflicts between the executive DBA and other doctoral/graduate programs with respect to faculty teaching resources. The tuition increment for the executive DBA is expected to generate the necessary resources for the program and student support.

Since teaching in the executive DBA program is a competing activity, it has the potential to reduce faculty research productivity. However, relatively few faculty members will participate and the program is expected to generate resources to enhance research productivity throughout the College. As high-level executives with the ability to direct company resources and policy are the target audience for the executive DBA, it is anticipated that dissertations and projects completed by these students will lead to research synergies for faculty participating in the program. Research from student dissertations could lead to new data sources and further research opportunities.

We also see little relationship to the existing MBA program. Although the emphasis on research is not as great as a Ph.D., the executive DBA is a research degree and will have a significantly higher level of research expectations than the MBA. Further, the weekend/executive format is not well suited to our current MBA student population of part-time working professionals. Those students strongly prefer to attend classes on weekday evenings as opposed to weekend classes.

Rather than overlapping with existing programs, the executive DBA opens a new pool of students for doctoral education in business because of the uniqueness of its content and delivery. Business executives who are able to self-fund their doctoral education or who are supported by their organization create the opportunity for a new doctoral model. Executive scholars also link the University to the business community, fostering business commitment and funding opportunities. Existing programs will benefit from resources generated from the executive DBA and from the synergy that will come from high-level executive scholars working with our faculty. These industry relationships will foster the sharing of ideas and data while producing both academic research and applied business research.
1. Courses

We anticipate that all courses in the executive DBA will be developed specifically for the program. Given the executive format and the general business format, there is little opportunity for executive DBA courses to serve other programs.

2. Faculty

The executive DBA faculty will be comprised of the Belk College Graduate Faculty members, whose expertise is relevant to the executive DBA. In addition, any member of the Graduate Faculty at the University with proper credentials and expertise and interest in teaching and/or serving on committees of the executive DBA may apply to become an adjunct faculty member of the executive DBA faculty. The executive DBA faculty will be appointed by the executive DBA Program Director in consultation with the Program Committee. It is anticipated that adjunct faculty will be utilized throughout the program primarily for special lectures. Less than ten percent of a student’s coursework will be taught by adjunct faculty. Faculty from other institutions must also be approved through the normal approval process. The appointments will be for five-year terms with re-appointments made according to guidelines established by the executive DBA Program Director and approved by the Dean of the Belk College.

Faculty teaching in the executive DBA program and serving on dissertation committees will do so in addition to their normal faculty load. Compensation will be provided through tuition increment funds from the program.

3. Facilities, and

The executive DBA will employ existing facilities of the Belk College of Business at the main campus as well as the UNC Charlotte Center City Building.

4. Other resources

N/A

II. Justification for the Program – Narrative Statement

A. Describe the proposed program as it relates to:

1. Institutional mission

The executive DBA is consistent with the Mission Statement of UNC Charlotte. As North Carolina’s urban research university, UNC Charlotte seeks opportunities to engage business and other community organizations in partnerships that enhance its research and educational goals. The executive DBA is designed as a program for senior-level executives and organizational leaders. Bringing these individuals into the University over an extended period of time strengthens our relationships with them and their organizations thus facilitating “collaborations among university, business and community leaders” (UNC Charlotte Mission Statement).

Engaging business professionals in applied academic research enhances the practice of business in the region and aids in the diffusion of academic research to the profession. Both activities help realize UNC Charlotte’s mission to achieve a leadership position in higher education and to offer internationally competitive programs of research. Programs that foster the understanding of academic research and advanced research methodology by practitioners enhance the reputation of research faculty and the institution. Business schools have been
criticized for ignoring business practice. Executive DBA programs offer synergistic platforms for integrating business theory and practice. Collaborative, cross-disciplinary research and engagement can expose business faculty to ideas and provides data that produces research of interest to the business community.

2. Strategic plan

The executive DBA supports UNC Charlotte’s strategic plan. The University Goal #1 is to “improve educational opportunities that respond to the intellectual and professional needs of the region”. The first action step for meeting this goal is to “increase number of doctoral programs in high demand fields”. The demand for doctoral-level business faculty is high with many universities in the United States paying increasingly high salaries to attract business faculty. Moreover, European executives regularly seek to advance their careers through executive doctorates, a trend that is spreading to the United States. Universities currently offering executive business doctorates prepare students to meet the demand in the academy and in the profession.

The executive DBA is also aligned with the UNC Compact’s commitment to pursue and share knowledge and research that advances the state’s economy and improves the quality of life for North Carolinians.

3. Student demand. Provide any update to the documented evidence of student demand presented in Appendix B.

No institution in North Carolina offers an executive format DBA program, so we cannot point to enrollment data for existing majors, minors, concentrations, or certificate programs on our campus or elsewhere in the UNC System. We do note, however, that these programs are relatively common in Europe and that a number of programs have started here in the United States. We look to these programs, as well as extensive survey and market studies that we have commissioned, to demonstrate that there is adequate student demand.

Few options for practitioner-focused education beyond the master’s degree level currently exist. This is particularly true for executives who choose to pursue advanced education while still fully employed. However, senior executives who participate in executive education frequently request information about furthering their education beyond the MBA or specialized business master’s degree. The explosion of data, complex technology, and the increasing complexities of operating in a rapidly changing global environment require abilities that exceed the MBA or executive MBA level. There has been an evolution of executive education needs from the bachelor’s degree to the MBA/EMBA.

Between 2002 and 2007, the compound annual growth rate in master’s-level degrees in business administration, management and operations grew at 4.3 percent, only marginally more than that of master’s-level degrees awarded in all disciplines. Between 2007 and 2012, the compound annual growth rate in master’s-level business degrees grew to 6 percent while the growth rate of master’s-level degrees awarded grew to 4.9 percent. Given the recent growth in business master’s degrees, master’s-level executive education no longer provides the competitive advantage executives need to resolve complex business problems and to advance their careers. Many see the executive doctorate as the next step in this evolutionary process.
While professional doctoral programs are more prevalent in Europe and Australia, with at least 16 programs in the U.K., an estimated 58.5 percent of executives holding doctoral degrees in Germany and 20 programs created in Australia from 1993 to 2005, few U.S. universities offer executive doctorates. The Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) International lists six AACSB-accredited DBA and Executive Doctorate Programs in the U.S. (http://www.bestbizschools.com/doctorate/professional_doctorate_degrees.asp). Two of the six, Cleveland State University and Louisiana Tech University offer DBA programs, patterned after traditional Ph.D. programs (i.e., full time, non-executive format). Case Western Reserve University, Georgia State University, and Kennesaw State University offer doctorates in an executive format. Oklahoma State University’s Executive Ph.D. in Business began in January 2012.

Colleges of Business offering executive doctorates report strong demand, even at relatively high tuitions. The program director at Kennesaw State University reports receiving 400 inquiries to fill 15 spots in the DBA program and that more than 250 students have shown up at the program’s information session in each of the past two years. The Case Western Reserve University program began in 1995 and they accept, on average, 25 students per year. Georgia State University’s program began in 2009. The program enrolled 17 students in 2009, 19 students in 2010 and 23 students in 2014, for a current total enrollment of 59 students. Kennesaw State University enrolled its first class in 2009 with 17 students. By August 2010, 43 students were enrolled in the program. The most recent program at Oklahoma State University enrolled 19 students into the first cohort that began January 2012.

Executive doctoral programs at Georgia State, Case Western Reserve and Oklahoma State focus on executives who pursue an executive doctorate to enhance their careers in business or consulting. Statistics from Georgia State’s first cohort indicate that 25 percent of students come from Fortune 500 companies, 25 percent come from independent companies and the remaining 50 percent come from small- to medium-sized companies. Georgia State reports that virtually all graduates seek the executive doctorate to enhance career opportunities in industry or consulting. Similarly, of the 19 students enrolled in the Oklahoma State program, 18 indicate a primary interest in pursuing business career advancement. Case Western Reserve program administrators report that about one-half of their graduates pursue advancement in business careers and one-half transition to academic careers.

The population characteristics and business climate in the Charlotte region and the state compare favorably with those of universities with successful executive

---


doctoral programs. Population provides an indication of the potential demand for educational programs. Table 1 considers Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) population for MSAs within a 100 and 200 mile radius of UNC Charlotte (Charlotte), Georgia State (Atlanta), Case Western Reserve (Cleveland) and Oklahoma State (Stillwater). Charlotte, Atlanta and Cleveland are major population centers with more than 6 million people in MSAs within 200 miles. Stillwater is a significantly smaller population center with just a little over 2 million people. (See Table 1.)

Table 1: MSA Population Within 100 and 200 Miles of UNC Charlotte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSA</th>
<th>2010 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNC Charlotte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asheville</td>
<td>425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, NC-SC</td>
<td>1,758,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham-Chapel Hill, NC</td>
<td>504,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayetteville, NC</td>
<td>366,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensboro-High Point, NC</td>
<td>724,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC</td>
<td>637,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, NC</td>
<td>365,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh-Cary, NC</td>
<td>1,130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartanburg, SC</td>
<td>284,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington, NC</td>
<td>362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston Salem, NC</td>
<td>478,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 100 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,246,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 200 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,033,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Georgia State / Kennesaw State           |                 |
| Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA      | 5,269,000       |
| Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC          | 557,000         |
| Columbus, GA-AL                         | 295,000         |
| Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC           | 637,000         |
| Spartanburg, SC                         | 284,000         |
| **Total MSA Population 100 Miles**       | **5,564,000**   |
| **Total MSA Population 200 Miles**       | **7,042,000**   |

| Case Western Reserve                     |                 |
| Canton-Massillon, OH                     | 404,000         |
| Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, OH              | 2,077,000       |
| Columbus, OH                             | 1,837,000       |
| Dayton, OH                               | 842,000         |
| Toledo, OH                               | 651,000         |
| Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA        | 566,000         |
| **Total MSA Population 100 Miles**       | **3,047,000**   |
| **Total MSA Population 200 Miles**       | **6,377,000**   |

| Oklahoma State                           |                 |
| Oklahoma City, OK                        | 1,253,000       |
| Tulsa, OK                                | 937,000         |
| **Total MSA Population 100 Miles**       | **2,190,000**   |
| **Total MSA Population 200 Miles**       | **2,190,000**   |

Source: 2012 Statistical Abstract of the United States, U.S. Census Bureau
A better indication of demand comes from population statistics qualified by age and educational attainment. Table 2 provides state population with advanced degrees. Since executive doctoral programs generally attract students in the 45-59 age demographic, the number of individuals with advanced degrees is also provided for that age group. The North Carolina profile compares favorably with that of Georgia and Ohio and is significantly more positive than Oklahoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>6,316,000</td>
<td>1,970,000</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>555,808</td>
<td>173,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>6,227,000</td>
<td>1,965,000</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>616,473</td>
<td>194,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>7,705,000</td>
<td>2,529,000</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>678,040</td>
<td>222,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>2,440,000</td>
<td>762,000</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>180,560</td>
<td>56,388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2012 Statistical Abstract of the United States, U.S. Census Bureau

Most programs also draw students from other states and internationally, increasing the geographic reach of executive doctoral programs. The extent to which students are willing to travel to complete the program requirements illustrates the potential value of developing these programs in a greater number of regions. Because so few programs exist, many business schools could be losing local talent to institutions that, traditionally, may not have been considered competitors. Based on available information for executive doctoral students and alumni at these three schools, Case Western Reserve has enrolled students from 31 states plus the District of Columbia and 11 countries with 25 percent of its students from Ohio; Georgia State has enrolled 77 percent of students from Georgia; Oklahoma State enrolled 47 percent of students from Oklahoma and an additional 26 percent from Texas. Table 3 summarizes the reported residencies of current and former DBA students from Case Western Reserve University, Oklahoma State University, Kennesaw State University and Georgia State University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Student Enrollment Patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohorts Represented in Student Profiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Western Reserve 2014,2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma State 2015,2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennesaw State 2012,2013,2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia State 2012,2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: University websites
The Charlotte region provides opportunities to attract business executives into a business doctoral program. According to the Charlotte Chamber:

*Seven of the nation’s 500 largest corporations, listed by Fortune magazine, have headquarters in the Charlotte area. Nine hundred fifty seven firms with annual revenues exceeding $1 million and 31 companies with revenues exceeding $1 billion have operations in Mecklenburg County. Of the companies with over $1 million in revenue, 525 are headquartered here.*

While students in existing business doctoral programs are employed in large, independent and small- to medium-sized businesses as well as consulting, non-profit and government, the number of Fortune 500 company headquarters offer a way to compare potential opportunity for UNC Charlotte’s executive DBA program with that of other successful programs. Table 4 provides information that suggests that UNC Charlotte should have a business community with the ability to sustain an executive DBA program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: 2011 Fortune 500 Company Headquarters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fortune 500 Comp. Headquarters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNC Charlotte</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Case Western Reserve</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Georgia State / Kennesaw State</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oklahoma State</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillwater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In an effort to establish demand for the executive DBA, the Belk College of Business initiated a three-phase demand analysis consisting of a review of secondary sources of information and existing program websites, in-depth interviews with 25 potential students and five human resource executives in major Charlotte-region companies and a survey of alumni from the Belk College MBA and specialized master’s programs. Hanover Research conducted the secondary research and alumni studies. A local consultant conducted the in-depth interviews.

Key findings from the secondary research study include:

- A growing number of American executive DBA programs attract highly qualified students from around the country and world. Current programs have successfully grown and maintained student enrollment.
• Labor market trends indicate mixed levels of growth for occupations most closely associated with business administration. Slow growth for some occupations, including chief executives and general managers, may indicate that workers will seek new opportunities to demonstrate their qualifications. In other cases, occupations with significant proportions of employees with master’s level degrees may seek and reward applicants with more significant levels of education. However, because successful candidates for admission to executive DBA programs typically already possess a considerable level of professional success, employment projections may prove less relevant to degree-seekers than potential for academic exploration.

• Existing executive DBA and Ph.D. programs vary significantly in terms of commitment and total cost.

The Hanover secondary research study also concluded that candidates in executive doctorate programs may be motivated by more than the promise of financial gain. They quote one Georgia State University executive DBA student who said: “People don’t go into a program like this at 45 or 50 to make another $100,000 on their base salary. It seems like we’re all doing this more for a personal quest and the desire to make a difference somehow in the world at large.”

In the in-depth interview component of the research, 25 prospective students who have an MBA or a master’s degree in a business field plus significant executive experience participated in 30-45 minute executive interviews. In addition, five human resource executives, who represented major employers in the Charlotte region, participated in an in-depth discussion aimed at understanding company interest and willingness to participate in the executive DBA program. Although the executive doctorate is a new type of degree program in the U.S. and few of the participants were familiar with the executive degree concept, 60 percent of the prospective students (15 individuals) expressed some interest in the program. Most indicated they would need more information about the specific program that would be offered by the Belk College before making a decision. However, three of the respondents indicated that they were highly interested and would be very likely to participate in a program if it were offered by the Belk College. Both potential students and human resource executives perceived the program to be unique with the potential to attract students beyond the Charlotte region.

Human resource executives were mixed in their perception of company support for the executive DBA program. Most saw the executive DBA as a degree that would benefit the individual more than the company and while companies may provide some support, most of the cost would be borne by the student. However, when asked about company benefit most of the human resource executives believed that there would be benefit to the company. Two comments that summarize this appear below:

“It would be a huge value-add to use a company problem as the focus of the dissertation.”

“The executive DBA candidate employee would gain new perspectives and critical thinking skills that could enhance the success of the organization through innovative thought and strategic vision.”

In support letters from senior executives, the value of executive scholars to the company is reiterated. (See Appendix B). Typical comments include:
“I believe the vision you have set forth for this program can grow to become an answer to the challenges I see before our organization. I also see specific opportunity in the lack of market-proven options for professionals who wish to deeply improve their rigor and skills as we lead increasingly complex organizations in the future.” Richard Maltsbarger, Senior VP, Lowe’s Inc.

“I endorse the EDBA because it appears to afford businesses such as mine the opportunity to enhance the skillset of senior level personnel (in a time efficient manner) to support them undertaking long term projects of strategic importance to the business and industry.” Michael Mulligan, President, General Dynamics Armament and Technical Products

“The program will fill a great need in our business community by providing an opportunity to develop senior managers beyond the present academic opportunities now available locally. To be successful in this new environment, executives need additional tools beyond those provided by the MBA or executive MBA.” Manuel Zapata, President, Zapata Engineering

The Hanover Research Survey of alumni who graduated five to seven years ago from one of the Belk College of Business graduate programs support the findings of the in-depth interviews. Of the 99 alumni who responded to the survey, approximately one third (31 respondents) indicated they would be interested in enrolling in the proposed executive DBA program. Approximately 30 percent of this interested respondent group indicated they would be willing to consider enrolling “immediately” or “within the next year”. While Belk College alumni would be one audience for the program, the experience of other business executive doctorate programs in the U.S. suggests that the executive DBA program would attract students beyond graduate program alumni and region. With a maximum cohort size in the 20 student range, the results of the in-depth interviews and the alumni survey add further support to our belief that there is adequate student demand for this program.

4. Societal demand and employability of graduates. Provide any update to the documented evidence of societal demand and employment opportunities presented in Appendix B.

Few options for executive education beyond the master’s degree level currently exist. This is particularly true for executives who choose to pursue advanced education while still fully employed. However, senior executives who participate in executive education frequently request information about furthering their education beyond the MBA or specialized business master’s degree. The explosion of data, complex technology and the increasing complexities of operating in a rapidly changing global environment require abilities that exceed the MBA or executive MBA level. There has been an evolution of executive education needs from the bachelor’s degree to the MBA/EMBA.

Between 2002 and 2007, the compounded annual growth rate in master’s-level degrees in business administration, management and operations grew at 4.3 percent, only marginally more than that of master’s-level degrees awarded in all disciplines. Between 2007 and 2012, the compound annual growth rate in master’s-level business degrees grew to 6 percent while the growth rate of master’s-level degrees awarded grew to 4.9 percent. Given the recent growth in business master’s degrees, master’s-level executive education no longer provides
the competitive advantage executives need to resolve complex business problems and to advance their careers. Many see the executive doctorate as the next step in this evolutionary process. Executive doctoral programs draw students who are interested in furthering their careers within their organization or as a consultant and those who may later in their careers transition into academics, full or part-time. The following discussion will provide support for the demand for executive scholars pursuing those two career goals.

In an effort to gain information about demand for the proposed executive DBA, the program concept and an outline of the program was presented to the Belk College Board of Advisors. The Board of Advisors is a group of accomplished business and civic leaders who work closely with the Belk College to ensure our relevance to the business community. The Board is frequently used as a sounding board for refining and improving our curriculum and programing. The reaction to the proposed executive DBA was favorable and subsequent meetings with individual members confirmed that Board members may have a personal interest in the executive DBA program. They were also interested in making this educational opportunity available to top executives in their companies. Board members also suggested that the executive DBA would provide necessary education beyond the MBA level and enhanced credibility for executives, especially in consulting firms. Informal conversations with other business and civic leaders reinforce the belief by the Belk Board of Advisors that the proposed executive DBA would generate significant interest and demand within the Charlotte region and beyond.

Employment Opportunities in Industry and Consulting

Since it is expected that most graduates of the proposed executive DBA program will pursue career goals within their current organization or as consultants within their industry, general employment data provide little insight as to the demand for graduates. That said, the growing complexity in business and government will create a need for business doctorates beyond those acquired by executives within organizations. The 2012 Survey of Earned Doctorates estimates that slightly more than 20 percent of traditional U.S. business doctorates will be employed in industry or government. It is likely that executive DBA degrees, focused on blending theory and practice with strong research skills to drive business solutions may better prepare students for these fields and may be preferred by industry or government employers. To get a sense of the demand for these students in industry, we focus on the management consulting field. While we recognize that these students would not enter this field exclusively, our view is that it is a field in which they would be broadly employable and which is comparable to the other types of senior positions for which they would be eligible.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) maintains the Occupational Outlook Handbook on the web (www.bls.gov/data/). Within this Handbook the management consulting field is referred to as “Management Analyst” (SOC code 13-1111). The BLS estimates that over the ten year period from 2012 to 2022, employment in this field will grow by 19% from 718,700 to 852,500. The challenge in interpreting these projections, however, is that the BLS includes all levels of positions, including entry-level positions. Our expectation for graduates of the

---

4 Source: NSF/NIH/USED/USDA/NEH/NASA, 2014 Survey of Earned Doctorates
DBA program is that they will generally be senior-level employees prior to entering the program and they will certainly be looking for very senior level positions upon graduation.

If one digs deeper within the BLS data, however, it is possible to tease out some additional information. Specifically the Bureau provides their projections on “Management Analyst” job growth by industry. One such industry is “Management, Scientific, and Technical Consulting Services.” This industry would typically employ the more senior and highly skilled people that are the target market graduates of this program. The BLS estimates that this field will see 41% job growth between 2012 and 2022, with growth from 142,000 positions to 200,400 positions. A second such industry is “Administrative and Support Services.” The BLS estimates that this field will see 27.7% growth from 25,800 to 33,000 between 2012 and 2022.

In addition to the BLS data, the Census Bureau also provides employment information by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code by state. These codes tend to be somewhat broader than those used by BLS. Students graduating with the DBA degree that enter management consulting positions would tend to fall into either NAICS code 540000, “Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services” or NAICS code 550000, “Management of Companies and Enterprises.” The Census Bureau reports that in the second quarter of 2013, 292,446 people were employed in North Carolina in these two fields. While we again acknowledge that these are rather broad sectors which include entry level positions which will not be appropriate for graduates of this program, these data do indicate that these are vibrant and healthy employment sectors in North Carolina, and that there should be significant opportunities for graduates of these programs to obtain positions.

**Employment Opportunities in Universities**

The primary focus for the proposed executive DBA program will be senior executives pursuing career goals in industry or consulting. There are, however, also opportunities to attract senior executives who plan at some point in their careers to transition into higher education jobs with a heavy teaching component. The shortage of doctoral faculty in business was identified by the AACSB International Management Education Task Force (METF) in the document, *Management Education at Risk* and was further analyzed by the Doctoral Faculty Commission (DFC) and reported in *Sustaining Scholarship in Business School*. Both documents are available online at [http://www.aacsb.edu/resources/doctoral/publications.asp](http://www.aacsb.edu/resources/doctoral/publications.asp). These studies suggest that significant demand exists for doctoral-trained faculty. In fact, the DFC proposed creating alternative educational models to attract other sources of doctoral candidates as a way to address the shortage of business doctoral faculty. Expanding doctoral programs for executives was presented as a key strategy to address the faculty needs of business schools with non-research missions and to augment the teaching capacity in research focused business schools.
The DFC analysis confirms that the problem facing business schools is one of demand outstripping supply. Based on data from the National Science Foundation, the DFC reports that U.S. business doctorates decreased by 19 percent to 1,071 in 1999-2000 from 1,327 in 1994-1995. By contrast most other fields remained stable or increased over the same period. More recently, AACSB reports that U.S. universities awarded 1,029 doctoral degrees in 2010-2011, down from 1,062 in 2009-2010 and 1,129 in 2008-2009. This shortage is exacerbated by an increased rate of faculty retirement. Data from the U.S. Department of Education, *Digest of Educational Statistics, 2010* provides a clear picture of the looming retirement issue. Even if it were assumed that all full-time business faculty who were 60 and older in 2003 have already retired, 9,372 additional doctoral-trained full-time business faculty would be needed in the next 10 years to maintain the status quo.

Although the number of business doctorates is projected to continue to decline, several trends suggest strong demand for doctoral degrees in business: (1) increased undergraduate and graduate business school enrollment in the U.S. and worldwide, (2) enhanced research focus of many business schools, (3) AACSB International and EQUIS requirements for higher faculty qualification standards and (4) graduates pursuing positions in industry. The DFC report estimates approximately 302,000 U.S. business undergraduate degrees awarded in 2011-2012, up from 253,000 in 1999-2000. Similarly, the DFC report estimates the number of U.S. master's-level degrees awarded to grow to 125,250 in 2011-2012 from 111,664 in 1999-2000. Based on this analysis the DFC concluded that the shortage of business doctorates would continue to grow. The DFC estimated a shortage of 1,142 by 2007 and 2,419 by 2012. Under the worst-case scenarios, shortages were expected to be 3,043 by 2007 and 5,689 by 2012. More recent analysis of hiring needs of AACSB accredited schools indicate that “many schools reported that efforts to attract and retain qualified faculty members are becoming increasingly difficult in a highly competitive and resource-constrained hiring environment.”

The DFC report was issued in 2002 and ideally would have been updated in the intervening time. There are, however, other indications that there is continuing and strong demand for business faculty and that this demand will continue. There are approximately 1,600 business schools at U.S. universities and colleges. AACSB is the premier accrediting body for business schools, and it accredits slightly more than 650 of those 1,600 business schools, or slightly more than one third of the market. Each year AACSB conducts a faculty salary and hiring survey of its membership. Included in this survey are data on new hires by the association’s members. Table 5 presents information extracted from these AACSB surveys for a ten year period.
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6 Source: *AACSB eNewsline*, June/July 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Schools Responding</th>
<th>Professor</th>
<th>Associate Professor</th>
<th>Assistant Professor</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Total New Hires</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>2338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>2194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>1785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>1448</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>2507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>2284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>2295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>2111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>1847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


These data demonstrate that demand for business school faculty members is strong, and has remained very robust even during the recession period. Even at the height of the recession the demand for new assistant professor hires never dropped below 1,000 positions per year. We further note that AACSB includes in the “Instructor” rank not only non-doctoral faculty members but also doctoral-holding faculty members in non-tenure track roles, such as “clinical professors” or “professors of practice.” We anticipate that at least some DBA graduates would take those types of positions. When combined with the assistant professor positions this brings the number of potential positions open in each year to between 1,500 and 2,000.

The new hires presented in Table 5 include both new doctorates hired as assistant professors or instructors, and when a faculty member is hired away from another school. The AACSB salary survey also reports how many of the new hires were new doctorates or ABDs. These data are presented in Table 6.
Table 6. Number of New Doctorate and ABD hires at US Business Schools 2003-2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>New Doctorates</th>
<th>ABDs</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 6 demonstrates that typically between 400 and 500 new doctorates are hired by AACSB accredited business schools. Given that Table 5 demonstrates that total new assistant professor and instructor new hires range between 1,500 and 2,000, it stands to reason that roughly 1,000 or so of the new hires each year are situations where faculty at one school are recruited away by another institution. We do recognize that some of these moves are due to frictional reasons, i.e., a faculty member seeks to move to a new school for a pay raise, to reset their tenure clock, or because of geographic preference. We also recognize that some schools prefer to recruit more seasoned assistant professors. Our sense, however, is that in the majority of cases new doctorates and seasoned assistant professors are competing for the same positions. The fact that in two-thirds of the cases where business schools seek to hire assistant professor or instructors they hire seasoned assistant professors from other schools is strong evidence that there is unfilled demand for new assistant professors.

This argument is further buttressed by salary data. The AACSB survey presents salary data on newly hired seasoned assistant professors as well as newly hired assistant professors that have new doctorates. Table 7 presents the 75th percentile of the salary distribution at AACSB accredited schools in the three disciplines in which graduates of the proposed DBA program will most likely find employment: management, marketing, and management information systems. Further, to demonstrate the evolution in this trend over time, Table 7 presents this data for both 2003 and 2012.
Table 7. Salary Data for Newly Hired Assistant Professors in Selected Disciplines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disciplines</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Doctorate</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasoned Assistant Professor</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Doctorate</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>145,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasoned Assistant Professor</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>142,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Doctorate</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td>138,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasoned Assistant Professor</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


We note that over the roughly ten year period covered in this report that salaries in these disciplines have risen very rapidly, with annualized growth rates of between three and five percent. Additionally, this growth occurred despite the very significant recession that occurred during this time period. Further, we note that new doctorates command a significant premium relative to seasoned assistant professors. That is, business schools are evidently willing to pay more to hire a new doctorate than to hire away a faculty member from another institution. We again take this as very strong evidence that there is significant demand for faculty members with new doctorates, and that there remains a significant shortage for new faculty in business schools.

B. Provide any update to the discussion of similar degree programs and opportunities for collaboration presented in Appendix A. Discuss here the feasibility of a joint or collaborative degree program with one or more UNC institutions.

No universities in North Carolina offer an executive DBA or any other executive doctorate in business. This will be the first executive DBA offered in the state. The closest similar programs are the Executive Doctorate in Business at Georgia State University and the Doctor of Business Administration at Kennesaw State University. Since no other universities in public or private institutions of higher education in North Carolina offer an executive DBA or any other business doctoral program in an executive format, we see no opportunity at this time to collaborate with other universities.

Two universities in North Carolina offer a Ph.D. in Business Administration (distance from UNC Charlotte):

1. Duke University, The Fuqua School of Business (132 miles);
2. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Kenan-Flagler Business School (132 miles)

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro (83 miles from Charlotte) offers a Ph.D. in Economics. None of these North Carolina programs are designed to provide doctoral-level preparation for senior business professionals in an executive format.
Since none of the universities in the state offer doctoral business classes in an executive format, developing reciprocal course sharing agreements would be difficult. If other institutions develop executive doctoral programs in business, we will seek opportunities to collaborate. We would be very interested in including faculty from other universities in the state as adjunct professors and dissertation committee members for the program.

Hanover Research was hired by the Belk College of Business to study peer and competitor programs in the U.S. and abroad. A copy of their research report is provided in Appendix C. A summary of key features of U.S. programs appears in Table 8 below:

Table 8: Key Features of U.S. Programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>DEGREE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF RESIDENCIES</th>
<th>LENGTH OF RESIDENCES</th>
<th>YEARS TO COMPLETION</th>
<th>TUITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Western Reserve University</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennesaw State University</td>
<td>MBA</td>
<td>Kennesaw, GA</td>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$96,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma State University</td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Stillwater, OK</td>
<td>18 or more</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia State University</td>
<td>MBA</td>
<td>Atlanta, GA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$109,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple University</td>
<td>MBA</td>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$124,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollins College</td>
<td>MBA</td>
<td>Winter Park, FL</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$67,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pace University</td>
<td>MBA</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>$63,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Institutional websites.

These programs are very similar regarding number of residencies, length of residency and years of completion. The more prominent programs offer programs in the $100,000 to $150,000 tuition range. We set ourselves apart from these schools by providing a rigorous academic program at a premier location with easy access for students. For in state students our tuition will be approximately $83,000 per year which is significantly lower than tuition at many of the peer institutions in Table 8. For out of state students, tuition will be approximately $120,000 which is consistent with Oklahoma State University and Temple University.

No licensure or professional accreditation is required for the program. Academic accreditation is discussed in Section VIII of this document.

C. Enrollment (baccalaureate programs should include only upper division majors, that is, juniors and seniors).

Please indicate the anticipated first year and fourth year steady-state enrollment (head count) for the proposed program.

Year 1: Full Time ___20____ Part-time _______ Total ___20___
Year 4: Full-time ___60____ Part-time _______ Total ___60___

III. Program Requirements and Curriculum
    A. Program Planning
1. List the names of institutions with similar offerings regarded as high quality programs by the developers of the proposed program.

Case Western Reserve University
Weatherhead School of Management
Doctor of Management
http://weatherhead.case.edu/degrees/doctor-management/

Georgia State University
Robinson College of Business
Executive Doctorate in Business
http://robinson.gsu.edu/execdoctorate/index.html

Kennesaw State University
Coles College of Business
Doctor of Business Administration
http://coles.kennesaw.edu/dba/

Oklahoma State University
Spears School of Business
PhD in Business for Executives
http://phdexec.okstate.edu

Temple University
Fox School of Business
Executive Doctorate in Business Administration (planned for Fall 2014)
http://www.fox.temple.edu/cms_academics/dba/home/overview

2. List institutions visited or consulted in developing this proposal. Also discuss or append any consultants’ reports or committee findings generated in planning the proposed program.

**Meeting with Belk College Board of Advisors**

In an effort to gain information about demand for the proposed executive DBA, the program concept and an outline of the program was presented to the Belk College Board of Advisors. The Board of Advisors is a group of accomplished business and civic leaders who work closely with the Belk College to ensure our relevance to the business community. The Board is frequently used as a sounding board for refining and improving our curriculum and programing. The reaction to the proposed executive DBA was favorable and subsequent meetings with individual members confirmed that Board members may have a personal interest in the executive DBA program. They were also interested in making this educational opportunity available to top executives in their companies. Board members also suggested that the executive DBA would provide necessary education beyond the MBA level and enhanced credibility for executives, especially in consulting firms. Informal conversations with other business and civic leaders reinforce the belief by the Belk Board of Advisors that the proposed executive DBA would generate significant interest and demand within the Charlotte region and beyond. Letters of support are provided in Appendix B.

**Alumni Survey**

Hanover Research conducted a survey of alumni who graduated five to seven years ago from the Belk College of Business master’s programs. Results of the survey have been discussed above in the student demand section and provided in Appendix D.
In-Depth Interviews

A consultant was hired by the Belk College of Business to conduct in-depth interviews with prospective students who have an MBA or master's degree in a business field and significant executive experience. In addition, a small group of human resource executives were also interviewed. Results of the interviews have been discussed above in the student demand section and a consultant report is provided in Appendix E.

Consultation with other Executive Doctorate Programs

Dr. Torsten Pieper, a professor heavily involved in the DBA program at Kennesaw State University, visited UNC Charlotte and provided detailed insights into the direction of the Kennesaw program, their challenges, and their success. As the program closest to Charlotte, his visit gave us unique insights into the positioning of the program.

We also engaged in a telephone conference with representatives from the Executive Doctorate of Management program at the Weatherhead School of Management at Case Western Reserve University. We spoke with Dr. Kalle Lyytinen and Mrs. Sue Nartker. Similar to Kennesaw State University, their program is interdisciplinary in nature, though more established. Here again, challenges and best practices were discussed.

B. Admission. List the following:

1. Admissions requirements for proposed program (indicate minimum requirements and general requirements).

   The minimum admission requirements for the program are:
   
   a. An earned MBA or master's degree in a related field from a regionally accredited college or university;
   
   b. A grade point average of at least 3.0 on a 4.0 scale;
   
   c. Five or more years of professional working experience;
   
   d. Two essays: one addressing the candidate’s goals and motivations for pursuing the executive DBA and the second outlining the candidate's general areas of research interest;
   
   e. Three positive letters of recommendation;
   
   f. A minimum TOEFL score of 220 (computer-based), 557 (paper-based), or 83 (internet-based) or a minimum IELTS band score of 6.5 is required from any applicant whose native language is not English; and
   
   g. Other credentials as required by the Graduate School or the executive DBA Program Committee.

2. Documents to be submitted for admission (listing or attach sample).

   Documents to be submitted for admission include:
   
   a. Official transcripts from all colleges and universities attended;
   
   b. Official TOEFL or IELTS scores for applicants whose native language is not English;
   
   c. The UNC Charlotte application for graduate admission form;
d. Three positive letters of recommendation;

e. Current resume; and

f. Two essays: one addressing the candidate’s goals and motivations for pursuing the executive DBA and the second outlining the candidate’s general areas of research interests.

g. Other materials as required by the Graduate School or the executive DBA Program Committee.

C. Degree requirements. List the following:

1. Total hours required. State requirements for Major, Minor, General Education, etc.

   The DBA is a three-year (six semester), lockstep program consisting of 18, three credit hour courses. The program requires 54 post-master’s credit hours and 18 credits of transferred master’s-level coursework. The curriculum has three major components:

   - Research Content Focused (15 credits) BDBA 8110 Organizational Theories and Systems (3)
   - BDBA 8130 Introduction to Business Theory (3)
   - BDBA 8140 Advanced Business Theory (3)
   - BDBA 8210 Current Topics Research Seminar (3)
   - BDBA 8240 Focused Research Seminar (3)
   - Research Methodology (15 credits) BDBA 8100 Introduction to Experimental Design and Measurement (3)
   - BDBA 8150 Business Statistics and Data Analysis (3)
   - BDBA 8200 Research Methods I (3)
   - BDBA 8230 Research Methods II (3)
   - BDBA 8350 Dissertation Proposal Development (3)

   Practice-Focus Areas (6 credits) BDBA 8120 Professional Issues I (3) BDBA 8220 Professional Issues II (3) Dissertation (18 credits)
   - BDBA 8999 Doctoral Dissertation Research (18)

   Transferred Coursework (18 credits)

   TOTAL DEGREE – 72 credits

2. Other requirements (e.g. residence, comprehensive exams, thesis, dissertation, clinical or field experience, "second major," etc.).

   The student will be assigned an advisor at the beginning of the program. In the second year, the student will pick an advisor preparing him/her for the dissertation work.

   After course work is completed, the student will need to be continuously enrolled in dissertation hours.
It is a Graduate School requirement that each doctoral candidate must complete and defend a dissertation. The student must orally present and defend the dissertation before the Advisory Committee in a defense that is open to the University Community.

For graduate programs only, please also list the following:

3. Proportion of courses open only to graduate students to be required in program

All coursework that will count toward the DBA will be at the 6000 level or above and unavailable to undergraduate students. The majority of the coursework will be at the 8000 level.

4. Grades required

A student is expected to earn an A or B in all courses included in the program and must maintain a minimum cumulative 3.0 GPA (on a 4.0 scale) to graduate. The dissertation is graded on a Pass/Unsatisfactory basis, and therefore, will not be included in the cumulative average. An accumulation of more than two marginal (C) grades will result in suspension of the student’s enrollment in the program. If a student earns a grade of U in any course, their enrollment will be suspended and the student cannot take further coursework without being readmitted to the program.

5. Amount of transfer credit accepted

Due to the cohort nature of the program, transfer credit will not be accepted.

6. Language and/or research requirements

Students must complete and defend a dissertation.

7. Any time limits for completion

Students are allowed a maximum of eight calendar years from formal admission to the DBA program to complete the program successfully.

D. For all programs, list existing courses by title and number and indicate (*) those that are required. Include an explanation of numbering system. List (under a heading marked "new") and describe new courses proposed.

The proposed executive DBA program will follow UNC Charlotte’s Academic Policy on Course Numbering. Doctoral-level courses are numbered at the 8000 level. Unique second digits are used University-wide to designate special types of courses. 89xx courses indicate research. Credit hours are provided in parentheses.

The executive DBA curriculum includes three major curricular components: (1) core DBA, (2) research, and (3), advanced seminars. As the proposed DBA will be offered in an executive format to senior-level business professionals, the curriculum proposed includes all new courses:

* BDBA8100 Introduction to Experimental Design and Measurement: Overview of quasi-experimental design, qualitative techniques, basic statistics, and methodological
skills necessary to carry out applied research in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8110 Organizational Theories and Systems**: Review of a broad range of organizational theory and topics in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8120 Professional Issues I**: Introduction to the principles of scholarly research for both academic and industry settings, including ethics and research integrity, navigating scholarly literature, focusing on research and teaching excellence.

**BDBA8130 Introduction to Business Theory**: Review of fundamental theories and research in the fields of strategic management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8140 Advanced Business Theory**: Review of micro- and meso-theories and research developed in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8150 Business Statistics and Data Analysis**: Development of basic skills to conduct quantitative and qualitative research in the chosen area of study within the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8200 Research Methods I**: Development and application of advanced research skills. Both advanced quantitative and qualitative research methods are discussed.

**BDBA8210 Current Topics Research Seminar**: Review of current topics in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems and development of research skills in the respective focus areas.

**BDBA8220 Professional Issues II**: Consideration of advanced topics in the conduct and application of scholarly research within both academic and industry settings.

**BDBA8230 Research Methods II**: Development and application of advanced research skills necessary for successfully defending a dissertation proposal, building on Research Methods I. Both advanced quantitative and qualitative research methods are discussed.

**BDBA8240 Focused Research Seminar**: Review of current topics identified by the students in the program to support the development of dissertation idea. Topics are covered in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8350 Dissertation Proposal Development**: In-depth discussion of papers and development of research models and approaches in preparation of dissertation work. Collaboration with the intended dissertation chair is desired.
BDBA8999 Doctoral Dissertation Research: Each student will initiate and conduct an individual investigation culminating in the preparation and presentation of a doctoral dissertation.

IV. Faculty

A. (For undergraduate and master’s programs) List the names, ranks and home department of faculty members who will be directly involved in the proposed program. The official roster forms approved by SACS may be submitted. For master’s programs, state or attach the criteria that faculty must meet in order to be eligible to teach graduate level courses at your institution.

B. (For doctoral programs) List the names, ranks, and home department of each faculty member who will be directly involved in the proposed program. The official roster forms approved by SACS may be submitted. Provide complete information on each faculty member’s education, teaching and research experience, research funding, publications, and experience directing student research including the number of theses and dissertations directed.

Table 9 below highlights the Belk College faculty members who are interested in teaching in the proposed executive DBA program.
Table 9. Faculty Interested in Teaching in the Proposed Executive DBA Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (F, P?)</th>
<th>COURSES TAUGHT Including Term, Course Number &amp; Title, Credit Hours (D, UN, UT, G&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>ACADEMIC DEGREES &amp; COURSEWORK Relevant to Courses Taught, Including Institution &amp; Major List specific graduate coursework, if needed</th>
<th>OTHER QUALIFICATIONS &amp; COMMENTS Related to Courses Taught</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Christie Amato (F) | Fall 2012  
MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business, 3 (G)  
Fall 2013  
MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business, 3 (G) | PhD (Marketing), University of Alabama | 30+ years of marketing teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 17 peer reviewed journal articles  
Served as dissertation chair for 5 doctoral candidates |
| Jennifer Ames-Stuart (F) | Fall 2013  
MKTG 3222, Marketing Analysis and Decision Making, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6172, Marketing Research, 3 (G)  
Spring 2014  
MKTG 3222, Marketing Analysis and Decision Making, 3 (UT)  
Fall 2014  
MKTG 3222, Marketing Analysis and Decision Making, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6272, Marketing Analysis and Decision Making, 3 (G)  
Spring 2015  
MKTG 3222, Marketing Analysis and Decision Making, 3 (UT) | PhD (Marketing), Columbia University | 15 years of marketing and brand management corporate experience  
3 years of consulting work  
Author or co-author of 3 peer reviewed journal articles |

7 F, P: Full-time or Part-time  
8 D, UN, UT, G: Developmental, Undergraduate Nontransferable, Undergraduate Transferable, Graduate  
9 Courses with a H, M, or T after the course number indicate they are taught as part of the Belk College global MBA Program (H: Hong Kong, M: Mexico, T: Taiwan)
| Denis Arnold (F) | Fall 2012 | MBAD 6192, Business Ethics and Corporate Responsibility, 3 (G)  
| | | MGMT 3282, Managerial Ethics, 3 (UT)  
| | Spring 2013 | MGMT 3282, Managerial Ethics, 3 (UT)  
| | Fall 2013 | MGMT 3282, Managerial Ethics, 3 (UT)  
| | | MBAD 6192, Business Ethics and Corporate Responsibility, 3 (G)  
| | Fall 2014 | MGMT 3282, Managerial Ethics, 3 (UT)  
| | | MBAD 6192, Business Ethics and Corporate Responsibility, 3 (G)  
| | Spring 2015 | MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business, 3 (G)  |
| Joyce Beggs (F) | Fall 2012 | MGMT 3277, Entrepreneurship, 3 (UT)  
| | | MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
| | | MBAD 6194T, Global Strategic Management, 3 (G)  
| | Spring 2013 | MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
| | | MBAD 6194T, Global Strategic Management, 3 (G)  
| | Fall 2013 | MGMT 3277, Entrepreneurship, 3 (UT)  
| | | MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
| | Spring 2014 | MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
| | Fall 2014 | MGMT 3277, Entrepreneurship, 3 (UT)  
| | | MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT) |
| | PhD (Philosophy), University of Minnesota, Twin Cities | 9 years of management teaching experience  
| | | 5 years of consulting work  
| | | 5 years of industry experience  
| | | Author or co-author of 20 peer reviewed journal articles  
| | | Editor-In-Chief of Business Ethics Quarterly  
| | | Served as dissertation chair for 2 doctoral candidates  
| | PhD (Business, Strategic Management Major), University of Tennessee at Knoxville | Nearly 25 years of management teaching experience  
| | | Author or co-author of nearly 20 peer reviewed journal articles |
| Charles Bodkin (F) | Fall 2012  
MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT) | PhD (Marketing), Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University | Nearly 25 years of marketing teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 25 peer reviewed journal articles |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                   | Spring 2013  
MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT)  
MKTG 3216, Consumer Behavior, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6173H, Promotional Strategy, 3 (G) | | |
|                   | Fall 2013  
MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT) | | |
|                   | Spring 2014  
MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6275, Global Marketing Strategy, 3 (G) | | |
|                   | Fall 2014  
MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT) | | |
|                   | Spring 2015  
MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6275, Global Marketing Strategy, 3 (G) | | |
| Richard Buttmer (F) | Fall 2012  
FINN 6210/BPHD 8240, Derivatives 1: Financial Elements of Derivatives, 3 (G) | PhD (Real Estate), University of Georgia | 20 years of finance and real estate teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 20+ peer reviewed journal articles  
20 years of consulting and industry experience  
Experience directing 2 PhD programs  
Served as dissertation chair for 5 doctoral candidates |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty</th>
<th>Courses Offered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Victor Chen (F) | Fall 2012  
MGMT 3274, International Business Process and Problems, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2013  
MBAD 6197, Managing the Multinational Enterprise, 3 (G)  
Fall 2013  
MGMT 3274, International Business Process and Problems, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
MBAD 6197, Managing the Multinational Enterprise, 3 (G)  
Spring 2015  
MBAD 6197, Managing the Multinational Enterprise, 3 (G)  |
| Peter Davis (F) | Fall 2012  
MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6194, Global Strategic Management, 3 (G)  
Spring 2013  
MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6194, Global Strategic Management, 3 (G)  
Fall 2013  
MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
Fall 2014  
MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2015  
MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty</th>
<th>Qualifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Victor Chen | PhD (Strategy and International Business), Simon Fraser University  
3 years of management and international business teaching experience  
4 years of consulting work  
2 years of industry experience  
Author or co-author of 14 peer reviewed journal articles  
Co-founder and associate editor of *Transitional Corporations Review* |
| Peter Davis | PhD (Business Administration, Strategic Management), University of South Carolina  
25+ years of management teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 30 peer reviewed journal articles, 3 books  
Served as dissertation chair for 5 doctoral candidates  
Associate editor of *International Business: Research, Teaching, and Practice* |
| Sunil Erevelles (F) | Fall 2012 | MBAD 6171, Marketing Management, 3 (G) |
| Spring 2013 | MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT) | MBAD 6275M, Global Marketing Strategy, 3 (G) |
| | MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business, 3 (G) |
| Spring 2014 | MKTG 3000, Topics in Marketing: Marketing Change and Innovation, 3 (UT) |
| Fall 2014 | MBAD 6270, Marketing Management, 3 (G) |
| Spring 2015 | MKTG 300, Topics in Marketing, 3 (UT) | MBAD 6275M, Global Marketing Strategy, 3 (G) |
| | PhD (Business Administration/Marketing), The Ohio State University |
| | 20+ years of marketing teaching experience |
| | 20+ years of consulting work |
| | Author or co-author of more than 20 peer reviewed journal articles, 6 books |
| | Special issue editor for the Journal of Business Research and the Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management |

<p>| Janaki Gooty (F) | Fall 2012 | MBAD 6161, Human Behavior in Organizations, 3 (G) |
| | MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT) |
| Spring 2013 | MBAD 6161, Human Behavior in Organizations, 3 (G) |
| Fall 2013 | MBAD 6161, Organizational Leadership and Behavior I, 3 (G) |
| Spring 2014 | MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT) |
| Fall 2014 | MBAD 6161, Organizational Leadership and Behavior I, 3 (G) |
| Spring 2015 | MBAD 6161, Human Behavior in Organizations, 3 (G) |
| | PhD (Organizational Behavior, Management), Oklahoma State University |
| | 7 years of management teaching experience |
| | Author or co-author of 13 peer reviewed journal articles |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall 2012</th>
<th>Fall 2013</th>
<th>Spring 2014</th>
<th>Fall 2014</th>
<th>Spring 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - MBAD 6172, Marketing Research, 3 (G)  
- MKTG 3210, Marketing Research & Analysis, 3 (UT) | - MKTG 3000, Topics in Marketing: Mobile Marketing and Analytics, 3 (UT)  
- MKTG 3224, Brand Building and New Product Strategy, 3 (UT)  
- MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business: Brand Building and New Product Innovation, 3 (G) | - DSBA 6100, Big Data Analytics for Competitive Advantage, 3 (G) | - MKTG 3000, Topics in Marketing: Mobile Marketing and Analytics, 3 (UT)  
- MKTG 3224, Brand Building and New Product Strategy, 3 (UT)  
- DSBA 6100, Big Data Analytics for Competitive Advantage, 3 (G)  
- MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business: Big Data Analytics for Competitive Advantage, 3 (G) | - MKTG 3224, Brand Building and New Product Strategy, 3 (UT)  
- DSBA 6100, Big Data Analytics for Competitive Advantage, 3 (G)  
- MBAD 6273, Brand Building and New Product Strategy, 3 (G)  
- MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business: Big Data Analytics for Competitive Advantage, 3 (G) |

**Jared Hansen**  
(F)

**Jared Hansen**  
(F)

- 6 years marketing teaching experience  
- 15 years consulting work  
- 8 years industry experience  
- Author or co-author of 12 peer reviewed journal articles

**PhD (Business Administration, Marketing), Texas Tech University**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Credits</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>OPER 3206, Managing for Quality, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>OPER 3206, Managing for Quality, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPER 3206, Managing for Quality, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6141M, Operations Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Xiuli He (F)

PhD (Supply Chain and Operations Management), University of Texas at Austin

5 years of operations management teaching experience
1 year of consulting work
2 years of industry experience
Author or co-author of 9 peer reviewed journal articles
| Ed Jernigan (F) | Fall 2012  
MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT) | Spring 2013  
MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6161T, Human Behavior Organizations, 3 (G) | PhD (Management), Memphis State University  
Nearly 25 years of management teaching experience  
Author or co-author of nearly 20 peer reviewed journal articles  
Spring 2014  
MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT)  
Fall 2014  
MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT)  
Fall 2014  
MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2015  
MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT) |
| Monica Johar (F) | Fall 2012 | INFO 3236, Business Analytics, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6201, Business Intelligence and Analytics, 3 (G) |
| | Fall 2013 | INFO 3236, Business Analytics, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6201, Business Intelligence and Analytics, 3 (G) |
| | Spring 2014 | INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
INFO 3231, Business Applications Development, 3 (UT) |
| | Fall 2014 | INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
DSBA 6201, Business Intelligence and Analytics, 3 (G)  
MBAD 6201, Business Intelligence and Analytics, 3 (G) |
| | Spring 2015 | INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
DSBA 6201, Business Intelligence and Analytics, 3 (G)  
MBAD 6201, Business Intelligence and Analytics, 3 (G) |
| | | PhD (Management Science, Information Systems) University of Texas at Dallas |
| | | Nearly 10 years of management information systems teaching experience |
| | | 2 years of industry experience |
| | | Author or co-author of 6 peer reviewed journal articles |
| | | Served as dissertation chair for 3 doctoral candidates |

| Franz Kellermanns (F) | Fall 2013 | MBAD 6191M, Entrepreneurship, 3 (G)  
MBAD 6194, Global Strategic Management, 3 (G) |
| | Fall 2014 | MGMT 3275, International Management, 3 (G)  
MBAD 6191, Entrepreneurship, 3 (G)  
MBAD 6194, Global Strategic Management, 3 (G) |
<p>| | Spring 2015 | MBAD 6194, Global Strategic Management, 3 (G) |
| | | PhD (Strategic Management), University of Connecticut |
| | | 10 years of management teaching experience |
| | | Nearly 15 years of consulting work |
| | | 20 years of industry experience |
| | | Author or co-author of more than 75 peer reviewed journal articles, 2 books |
| | | Served as dissertation chair for 15 doctoral candidates |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>Course Codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPER 3204, Management of Service Operations, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6141M, Operations Management, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>OPER 3201, Advanced Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6141, Operations Management, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPER 3204, Management of Service Operations, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>OPER 3201, Advanced Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6141, Operations Management, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPER 3204, Management of Service Operations, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6141M, Operations Management, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Moutaz Khouja (F)**

**PhD (Production/Operations Management), Kent State University**

- More than 20 years of operations management teaching experience
- 4 years of consulting work
- Author or co-author of nearly 60 peer reviewed journal articles
- Served as dissertation chair for 3 doctoral candidates
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Course Codes</th>
<th>Credits</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6100, Leadership, Ethics and Business Environment Seminar, 1 (G)</td>
<td>1 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6164, Executive Communication, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6165H, Negotiation and Conflict Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6100, Leadership, Ethics and Business Environment Seminar, 1 (G)</td>
<td>1 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6165, Negotiation and Conflict Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6165H, Negotiation and Conflict Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6100, Leadership, Ethics and Business Environment Seminar, 1 (G)</td>
<td>1 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6164, Executive Communication, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6100, Leadership, Ethics and Business Environment Seminar, 1 (G)</td>
<td>1 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6165, Negotiation and Conflict Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6165M, Negotiation and Conflict Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6100, Leadership, Ethics and Business Environment Seminar, 1 (G)</td>
<td>1 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6164, Executive Communication, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6100, Leadership, Ethics and Business Environment Seminar, 1 (G)</td>
<td>1 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6165, Negotiation and Conflict Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gary Kohut
(F)

PhD (Management),
Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

30+ years of management teaching experience
Author or co-author of more than 10 peer reviewed journal articles
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ram Kumar (F)</th>
<th>Fall 2012</th>
<th>Spring 2013</th>
<th>Fall 2013</th>
<th>Spring 2014</th>
<th>Fall 2014</th>
<th>Spring 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>MBAD 6207, Business Project Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INFO 3234, Business Information Systems Analysis and Design, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DSBA 6207, Project Management, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6207, Business Project Management, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 7090M, Special Topics in Business, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- PhD (Information Systems and Management Science), University of Maryland
- More than 20 years of management information systems teaching experience
- 8 years of industry experience
- Author or co-author of nearly 25 peer reviewed journal articles
- Served as dissertation chair for 7 doctoral candidates
- Associate editor for *Decision Support Systems* and *Journal of Database Management*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sangkil Moon (F)</th>
<th>Fall 2013</th>
<th>PhD (Marketing), University of Iowa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MKTG 3228, Marketing Analytics, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business: Consumer Analytics, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>10+ years of marketing and analytics teaching experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6278, Innovation Analytics, 3 (G)</td>
<td>2 years of industry experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>Author or co-author of 18 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MKTG 3228, Marketing Analytics, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSBA 6276, Consumer Analytics, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6276, Consumer Analytics, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSBA 6278, Innovation Analytics, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6278, Innovation Analytics, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sungjune Park (F)</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INFO 3229, Business Data Communications, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>INFO 3229, Business Data Communications, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>INFO 3229, Business Data Communications, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6141T, Operations Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>INFO 3229, Business Data Communications, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>INFO 3229, Business Data Communications, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6141T, Operations Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>INFO 3229, Business Data Communications, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PhD (Management Information Systems), State University of New York at Buffalo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 years of management information systems teaching experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 years of consulting work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Author or co-author of more than 10 peer reviewed journal articles, 2 books</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steven Rogelberg (F)</th>
<th>Fall 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OSCI 8001, Current Topics and Events in Organizational Science, 2 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OSCI 8477, Organizational Science Practicum, 1 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OSCI 8899, Organizational Science Readings and Research, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>OSCI 8001, Current Topics and Events in Organizational Science, 1 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OSCI 8477, Organizational Science Practicum, 1 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PhD (Industrial/Organizational Psychology), University of Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 years of organizational science teaching and consulting experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Author or co-author of 67 peer reviewed journal articles, 3 edited textbooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Editor of <em>Journal of Business and Psychology</em> and the <em>Talent Management Essentials</em> book series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cem Saydam (F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>OPER 3203, Management Science, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>MBAD 6122, Decision Modeling and Analysis via Spreadsheets, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>OPER 3203, Management Science, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>MBAD 6122, Decision Modeling and Analysis via Spreadsheets, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>OPER 3203, Management Science, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSBA 6122, Decision Modeling, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6122, Decision Modeling and Analysis via Spreadsheets, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PhD (Engineering Management), Clemson University
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT) &lt;br&gt;INFO 3233, Data and Information Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>30 years of management information systems teaching experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT) &lt;br&gt;INFO 3233, Business Database Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>10+ years of consulting work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT) &lt;br&gt;INFO 3233, Data and Information Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>4 years of industry experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT) &lt;br&gt;INFO 3233, Business Database Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>Author or co-author of 35+ peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>INFO 3233, Data and Information Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>Served as dissertation chair for 8 doctoral candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>INFO 3233, Business Database Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>Editor of <em>Data Base for Advances in Information Systems</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INFO 3240, Fundamentals of E-Business, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>INFO 3401, Management Information Systems Internship, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chandra Subramaniam (F)

Ph.D. (Business Administration, Information Systems), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Nearly 10 years of management information systems teaching experience

5 years of industry experience

Author or co-author of 13 peer reviewed journal articles
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Credits</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>MKTG 3219, Marketing Strategy</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6371, Marketing Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6171H, Marketing Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>MKTG 3219, Marketing Strategy</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6271, Marketing Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>MKTG 3250, Marketing Strategy Consultancy</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6371, Marketing Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>MKTG 3250, Marketing Strategy Consultancy</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6270, Marketing Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6270M, Marketing Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>MKTG 3250, Marketing Strategy Consultancy</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6282, Marketing of Sports</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>MKTG 3250, Marketing Strategy Consultancy</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6270, Marketing Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 30 years of marketing teaching experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PhD (Marketing), University of North Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 years of consulting work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Author or co-author of 20+ peer reviewed journal articles, 13 books, edited 1 encyclopedia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Editor of <em>Case Research Journal</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Justin Webb**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Credits</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>MGMT 3277, Entrepreneurship</td>
<td>3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6194, Global Strategic Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>MBAD 6194, Global Strategic Management</td>
<td>3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PhD (Strategic Management), Texas A&amp;M University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 years of management and innovation teaching experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.5 years of consulting work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Author or co-author of more than 10 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Courses Offered</td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Experience/Other Achievements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Woehr</td>
<td>Fall 2012 MBAD 6163, Human</td>
<td>PhD (Industrial</td>
<td>25+ years of management teaching experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>and Organizational Psychology), Georgia Institute of Technology</td>
<td>10 years of consulting and industry experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2013 MBAD 6163, Human</td>
<td></td>
<td>Author or co-author of more than 60 peer reviewed journal articles, 1 book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Served as dissertation chair for 21 doctoral candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 7090T, Special Topics in</td>
<td></td>
<td>Associate editor of <em>Human Performance</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Co-Principal Investigator on $1.7M NSF grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2014 MBAD 6163, Human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Lin Yang (F) | Fall 2012  
MKTG 3216, Consumer Behavior, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6176, Consumer Behavior, 3 (G)  
Spring 2013  
MBAD 6174, Global Marketing, 3 (G)  
Fall 2013  
MKTG 3221, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
MKTG 3221, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6271, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (G)  
Fall 2014  
MKTG 3221, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6271, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (G)  
Spring 2015  
MKTG 3221, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (UT) | PhD (Marketing), Duke University | Nearly 5 years of marketing teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 2 peer reviewed journal articles |
| Kelly Zellars (F) | Fall 2012  
MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6161, Organizational Leadership and Behavior I, 3 (G)  
Fall 2013  
MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6161, Organizational Leadership and Behavior I, 3 (G)  
Spring 2014  
MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6161, Organizational Leadership and Behavior I, 3 (G) | PhD (Organizational Behavior), Florida State University | 15+ years of management teaching experience  
Author or co-author of nearly 20 peer reviewed journal articles |
| Kexin Zhao (F) | Fall 2012  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT) | Spring 2013  
INFO 3231, Business Applications Development, 3 (UT) | PhD (Business Administration), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign |
| Fall 2013  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT) | Spring 2014  
MBAD 6211, Advanced Business Analytics, 3 (G) | More than 5 years of management information systems teaching experience |
| Fall 2014  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT) | Spring 2015  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
DSBA 6211, Advanced Business Analytics, 3 (G)  
MBAD 6211, Advanced Business Analytics, 3 (G) | Author or co-author of more than 10 peer reviewed journal articles |
| | | Served as dissertation chair for 2 doctoral candidates |
| Jing Zhou (F) | Fall 2012  | OPER 3208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (UT) |
|             | Spring 2013| OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT) OPER 3208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (UT) |
|             | Fall 2013  | OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT) OPER 3208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (UT) |
|             | Spring 2014| OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT) OPER 3208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (UT) |
|             | Fall 2014  | OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT) OPER 3208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (UT) |
|             | Spring 2015| OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT) OPER 3208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (UT) |
|             |           | PhD (Operations Management), University of Texas at Dallas |
|             |           | More than 5 years of operations management teaching experience |
|             |           | 5 years of industry experience |
|             |           | Author or co-author of nearly 10 peer reviewed journal articles |
Belk College faculty members are committed to producing scholarly research. Table 10 below highlights the number of journal articles published by Belk College faculty members in the BISOM, Management, and Marketing departments in the last five years. During the past five years this data covers, the Belk College offered two executive programs in Hong Kong and Taiwan that were also taught on an overload basis. The College terminated these programs in anticipation of beginning a DBA program, enabling faculty to devote more time to other executive teaching opportunities, such as the proposed DBA program, while continuing to produce high quality scholarly research.

Table 10. Journal Articles Published by Belk College Faculty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Number of Articles Published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Information Systems and Operations Management</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Department of Marketing is the College’s smallest department. In 2013, the College hired one tenured professor and one tenure track assistant professor in Marketing. These professors have already contributed to the department’s scholarly research. The College is currently hiring two senior Accounting faculty members, one Management faculty member, and two Marketing faculty members, who will also contribute to the College’s scholarly research as well as possibly teach in the proposed executive DBA Program.

C. Estimate the need for new faculty for the proposed program over the first four years. If the teaching responsibilities for the proposed program will be absorbed in part or in whole by the present faculty, explain how this will be done without weakening existing programs.

No new faculty members are needed as courses will be taught as part of an overload. There will be no reduction in staffing of current programs.

D. Explain how the program will affect faculty activity, including course load, public service activity, and scholarly research.

We believe that the addition of the executive DBA will increase scholarly research produced by the faculty members of the College. We believe this will happen for a number of reasons. First we expect the executive DBA to generate positive net revenue. These funds will be used to support a variety of faculty activities, but especially research activities. Second, as high-level executives with the ability to direct company resources and policy are the target audience for the executive DBA, we anticipate that dissertations and projects completed by these students will lead to research synergies for faculty participating in the program. Research collaborations with executives participating in the DBA program could lead to new data sources and further research opportunities for faculty. Third, we believe that the executive DBA program will be a selling point for the involved departments when they recruit new faculty. This will allow the departments to recruit research-active faculty that otherwise might not have considered those departments.
The executive DBA will be taught on an overload basis, preventing conflicts between the executive DBA and other doctoral/graduate programs with respect to faculty teaching resources. Since teaching in the executive DBA program is a competing activity, however, we acknowledge that it has the potential to reduce faculty research productivity if not managed correctly. To avoid this, we note that at any specific point in time there will not be many faculty members teaching in the program – and as noted on the faculty roster we have a relatively large number of qualified faculty members from whom we can draw. Department Chairs, in consultation with the Dean’s Office and the DBA Program Director, will take into account faculty research productivity when approving faculty to teach in this program.

V. Library

A. Provide a statement as to the adequacy of present library holdings for the proposed program to support the instructional and research needs of this program.

A consultation on library holdings is provided in Appendix F.

B. State how the library will be improved to meet new program requirements for the next four years. The explanation should discuss the need for books, periodicals, reference material, primary source material, etc. What additional library support must be added to areas supporting the proposed program?

Presently there are no plans to increase library holdings specifically for this program. Expansion of relevant holdings will be sought as funds become available.

C. Discuss the use of other institutional libraries.

Holdings of other major libraries in the North Carolina system and beyond are accessible to faculty and students free of charge through online and interlibrary loan options.

VI. Facilities and Equipment

A. Describe facilities available for the proposed program.

Facilities at both the UNC Charlotte main campus in the Belk College of Business and at the UNC Charlotte Center City Building will be used for the DBA program. Each location provides ample classrooms and state of the art computer facilities. In addition, breakout rooms and labs will be available for student and faculty use.

B. Describe the effect of this new program on existing facilities and indicate whether they will be adequate, both at the commencement of the program and during the next decade.

The existing facilities and laboratories in the Belk College of Business as well as the classrooms and computer labs at the UNC Charlotte Center City Building will be adequate to support the new program. Furthermore, the new program will not negatively affect existing program space as classes for the DBA program will meet over long weekends.

C. Describe information technology and services available for the proposed program.

The Information and Technology Services (ITS) at UNC Charlotte, under the leadership of the Vice Chancellor and Chief Information Officer, is responsible for providing campus wide technology support and services for all of the colleges. The ITS systems and organization are designed to support the University’s goals and programs, including the proposed executive DBA program. A common architecture serves as an enabler for excellent and cost effective services. Specifically ITS:

- Promotes the use of information systems for enhancing teaching, learning, and research;
- Provides access to secure, quality, and timely information and online services;
• Provides excellent support for campus-wide systems and technologies;
• Evaluates and recommends new technologies as to their capability to promote the University's mission and goals; and
• Uses all campus information technology resources effectively to provide agreed on services and solutions.

A component of ITS, the Center for Teaching and Learning provides support for instructional technology. These combined capabilities will be adequate to support the anticipated information technology needs for the new DBA program.

D. Describe the effect of this new program on existing information technology and services and indicate whether they will be adequate, both at the commencement of the program and during the next decade.

Computers and related technology will be supported through the significant tuition increment the executive DBA program will generate, enabling adequate support for technology and services both at the commencement of the program and over the course of the next decade.

The DBA program will be internally funded for year one, and funded by the college-based tuition increment thereafter.

VII. Administration

Describe how the proposed program will be administered, giving the responsibilities of each department, division, school, or college. Explain any inter-departmental or inter-unit administrative plans. Include an organizational chart showing the "location" of the proposed new program.

The administrative structure of the planned executive DBA program is illustrated in Figure I. The program will be operated and centrally administered in the Belk College of Business. The program will be led by a Program Director. Each student will have a Doctoral Program Committee chaired by his/her doctoral research advisor who will liaise with the Business Administration Doctoral Committee. The organizational chart for the executive DBA is shown in Figure I below.

**Figure I. Organizational Chart for the Executive DBA Program.**
The following describes a proposed governance structure and processes of the DBA program:

- **Graduate School**: The Graduate School is responsible for setting policy regarding the operation of all graduate programs of the University of North Carolina at Charlotte. These policies cover minimum admission standards and performance requirements for successful completion of graduate degree programs. The executive DBA program will be subject to the rules and policies of the Graduate School. The Graduate School is responsible for collecting the material for applicants to the program and forwarding completed application packets to the DBA Program Director.

- **Dean of the Graduate School**: At UNC Charlotte, the Dean of the Graduate School is the administrative officer with primary responsibility for the supervision of graduate programs. The Dean is responsible for the executive and administrative affairs of the Graduate School in accordance with policies determined by the UNC Charlotte Graduate Council, the Graduate Faculty, and the Faculty Council. It is anticipated that the Dean of the Graduate School will communicate with the Dean of the Belk College on important programmatic issues. The Graduate Dean’s primary duties include the following:
  - Final admission of students;
  - Final appointment of dissertation and doctoral committees;
  - Approval of programs of study;
  - Admission of students to candidacy; and
  - Final approval of dissertations.

- **Dean of the Belk College of Business**: The Dean of the Belk College has the administrative responsibility for supervision of all departments and programs housed within the College. The Dean of the Belk College has primary administrative responsibility for the executive DBA program.

- **DBA Program Director**: The Program Director is appointed by the Dean of the Graduate School. The Program Director is a faculty member in the Belk College who oversees the curriculum and operations of the executive DBA program. Responsibilities for the Program Director will include:
  - Recommending operating budgets and supervising expenditures;
  - Chairing meetings of the DBA Doctoral Faculty;
  - Communicating assessment of the program and personnel to the chairs of participating departments and the Deans of Business and the Graduate School;
  - Overseeing recruitment efforts for the program;
  - Coordinating the scheduling of courses;
  - Assuring proper maintenance of graduate student records;
  - Scheduling dissertation defenses; and
  - Representing the program to external constituencies.

- **DBA Program Committee**: The Program Committee will be composed of five Graduate Faculty members from Belk College. The committee members are nominated by relevant department Chairs in the Belk College and approved by the Dean of the College. The DBA Program Director will have ex officio membership on the Program Committee and will serve as chairperson for the Committee. Membership on the Committee will be for a three-year, renewable term. The Program Committee works with the Program Director to set policy and curriculum, recommend students for admission to the program and candidates for the degree, and evaluate the program.
- **Belk College Graduate Council**: The Belk College Graduate Council (BCGC) is composed of a representative from each Department in the Belk College. BCGC reviews and approves all course proposals and major curriculum changes to graduate programs in the BCOB. The Associate Dean for Graduate Programs serves as an ex officio member of the committee.

- **DBA Doctoral Faculty**: The DBA Doctoral Faculty will be composed of those members of the Graduate Faculty at UNC Charlotte who will participate in the executive DBA program as dissertation advisors and instructors in component courses. It is expected that the credentials presented by a DBA program graduate faculty member will include a list of journal publications, externally funded research grants and prior experience in supervising master’s theses and/or doctoral dissertations, as well as a research program relevant to the goals of the program. Appointment to the DBA Doctoral Faculty will be made by the Program Director and DBA Program Committee. Appointments will be for five-year terms, with reappointment made according to the guidelines established by the Business Administration Doctoral Committee.

- **DBA Research Advisor for each student**: Each student will be assigned an interim advisor by the Program Director upon admission into the program. The interim Research Advisor, along with two other faculty members assigned by the Program Director, will comprise the Interim Committee. All faculty members on the Interim Committee must be members of the UNC Charlotte Graduate Faculty. The Interim Committee will advise the student on all matters related to his/her plan of study prior to the formation of the DBA Program Committee. The interim Research Advisor will most commonly be the faculty member with whom the student has expressed interest to work. The DBA Program Director will serve as the interim advisor until the DBA Dissertation Committee is formed. Prior to the formation of the student’s DBA Dissertation Committee and at the request of the student and the interim Research Advisor, a permanent adviser will be confirmed by the Program Director as the student’s Doctoral Research Advisor. This faculty member must be a member of the DBA Doctoral Faculty.

**VIII. Accreditation and Licensure**

A. Where appropriate, describe how all licensure or professional accreditation standards will be met, including required practica, internships, and supervised clinical experiences.

The Belk College of Business is accredited by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) International, the premier accrediting agency for degree programs in business administration and accounting. AACSB Accreditation must be maintained on a five-year cycle in which the College undergoes a rigorous internal review. The College must demonstrate its continued commitment to the 15 quality standards relating to strategic management and innovation, participants, learning and teaching, and academic and professional engagement, as well as a commitment to continuous improvement and achievement of learning goals in degree programs. The executive DBA will be included in future Belk College AACSB continuous improvement reviews.

The executive DBA does not include any required practica, internships, or supervised clinical experiences and therefore there is no impact on licensure or professional accreditation standards in this regard.

B. Indicate the names of all accrediting agencies normally concerned with programs similar to the one proposed. Describe plans to request professional accreditation.
UNC Charlotte is accredited by the Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) to award baccalaureate, master's, and doctoral degrees.

The Belk College of Business is accredited by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) and the executive DBA will be included in the College’s accreditation scope.

If the new degree program meets the SACS definition for a substantive change, what campus actions need to be completed by what date in order to ensure that the substantive change is reported to SACS on time?

The Belk College of Business will draft a substantive change prospectus per SACS requirements. The draft will be submitted to the Office of Assessment and Accreditation, who will work with the Provost and Chancellors Office to submit the prospectus at least six months prior to the start of the executive DBA degree program.

If recipients of the proposed degree will require licensure to practice, explain how program curricula and title are aligned with requirements to “sit” for the licensure exam.

No licensure to practice is required.

IX. Supporting Fields

Discuss the number and quality of lower-level and cognate programs for supporting the proposed degree program. Are other subject-matter fields at the proposing institution necessary or valuable in support of the proposed program? Is there needed improvement or expansion of these fields? To what extent will such improvement or expansion be necessary for the proposed program?

None needed.

X. Additional Information

Include any additional information deemed pertinent to the review of this new degree program proposal.

XI. Budget

A. Complete and insert the Excel budget template provided showing incremental continuing and one-time costs required each year of the first four years of the program. Supplement the template with a budget narrative for each year.

The four year operating budget is presented in Appendix G.

It is estimated that in the first year, faculty and staff stipends, salaries, and fringe benefits will cost $322,845. Student supplies and materials are estimated to cost $20,000. Additional expenses including travel, communications, printing, advertising and recruiting, and classroom expenses as well as other one-time program start-up costs are expected to cost $77,155. There are no expected expenses related to graduate stipends or libraries. It is anticipated that the total costs for the first year of the DBA program will be $420,000.

It is estimated that in the second year, program expenses will double to $840,000. The increase in expenses includes additional faculty overload stipends and associated fringe benefits as more courses will be taught, as well as an increase in student supplies and materials, printing supplies, and classroom catering and expenses as more students are expected to enroll in the program. In addition we anticipate using funds generated by the program to hire two new faculty members into the College. These new faculty members
would support not only the DBA program but also other academic programs within the College.

In years three and four, program expenses will grow to $1,260,000. The increase in expenses from year two includes additional faculty overload stipends and higher fringe benefits as well as more student supplies and materials as the expected student enrollment continues to grow. Additionally, there will be a new expense for dissertations.

B. Based on the campus’ estimate of available existing resources or expected non-state financial resources that will support the proposed program (e.g., federal support, private sources, tuition revenue, etc), will the campus:

1. Seek enrollment increase funds or other additional state appropriations (both one-time and recurring) to implement and sustain the proposed program? If so, please elaborate.

   We are not seeking enrollment increase funds or additional state appropriations to implement and sustain the program.

2. Require differential tuition supplements or program-specific fees? If so, please elaborate.

   a. State the amount of tuition differential or program-specific fees that will be requested.

      The tuition differential would be $21,000 per year for a full-time graduate student.

   b. Describe specifically how the campus will spend the revenues generated.

      Revenue generated through the tuition differential will be used to enhance academic excellence in the program through funding for:
      
      - Faculty stipends
      - Student services
      - Attracting top caliber and diverse students
      - Technology enhancements and maintenance
      - Faculty development
      - Faculty DBA Program Director stipend
      - Other operating expenses

   c. Does the campus request the tuition differential or program-specific fees be approved by the Board of Governors prior to the next Tuition and Fee cycle?

      No. A request for tuition differential is currently being prepared for the next Tuition and Fee cycle. Appendix G includes a comprehensive budget plan that includes the proposed budget items that will be funded through the tuition increment. A study of national DBA programs conducted by Hanover Research (see Appendix C) provides additional justification for including $21,000 per year increment for students. This tuition is in line with other executive doctoral programs.

C. If enrollment increase funding, differential tuition, or other state appropriations noted in the budget templates are not forthcoming, can the program still be implemented and sustained and, if so, how will that be accomplished? Please elaborate and provide documentation of campus commitments where appropriate.
No, the executive DBA program with the proposed enrollment cannot be implemented and sustained without enrollment increase funding.

XII. Evaluations Plans

All new degree program proposals must include an evaluation plan which includes:

A. Criteria to be used to evaluate the quality and effectiveness of the program, including academic program student learning outcomes.

Table 11 below highlights the proposed Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan for the executive DBA program.

**Table 11. Proposed Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Learning Outcome</th>
<th>Effectiveness Measures</th>
<th>Where the Assessment Will Occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction to Business Research Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students will demonstrate mastery of interdisciplinary business theory and advanced research methodologies.</td>
<td>Students will demonstrate knowledge of business research methods.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate knowledge of organizational theory and systems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate knowledge of professional issues.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to conduct independent scholarly research in a business related field.</td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to write a relevant literature review.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to use appropriate methodology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to appropriately analyze results.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to present scholarly research findings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Measures (metrics) to be used to evaluate the program (include enrollments, number of graduates, and student success).
The program is expected to reach a steady-state enrollment of about 60 students within four years. Students are expected to complete the program in three years. We expect to graduate about 20 students per year. Two criteria will be used to evaluate program success. First, evaluation will focus on the extent to which graduates receive competitive promotions or additional responsibilities with their current company, if they receive competitive job offers from other companies, if they have increased opportunities for consulting or entrepreneurial activities, or if graduates are recruited to academia. Second, evaluation will focus on alumni perceptions of program impact, expanded career opportunities, professional satisfaction, and overall competence. The Student Learning Outcomes Assessment plan will be used to evaluate student learning.

C. The plan and schedule to evaluate the proposed new degree program prior to the completion of its fourth year of operation.

Annual evaluations prior to the fourth year will consist of a review of achievements related to student recruitment, admissions, and retention; and in student course evaluations and satisfaction. After two years, statistics regarding time to graduation also will be collected annually. Periodic surveys of alumni will complement the data that will be collected annually. The Advisory Board and DBA Program Committee will asked for feedback on a regular basis, such as innovations in the field, which may signal a need to examine and potentially revise the curriculum.

The Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan will be used to evaluate student learning.

XIII. Reporting Requirements

Institutions will be expected to report on new program productivity as a part of the biennial low productivity program review process.

XIV. Attachments

Attach the final approved Appendix A as the first attachment following this document.

This proposal to establish a new degree program has been reviewed and approved by the appropriate campus committees and authorities.

Chancellor: ____________________________ Date: ____________________________
DBA Course Structure

The proposed executive DBA program will follow UNC Charlotte’s Academic Policy on Course Numbering. Doctoral-level courses are numbered at the 8000 level. Unique second digits are used University-wide to designate special types of courses. 89xx courses indicate research. Credit hours are provided in parentheses.

The executive DBA curriculum includes three major curricular components: (1) core DBA, (2) research, and (3) advanced seminars. As the proposed DBA will be offered in an executive format to senior-level business professionals, the curriculum proposed includes all new courses:

**Year 1 Semester 1**

*BDBA 8100. Introduction to Experimental Design and Measurement. (3)* Overview of quasi-experimental design, qualitative techniques, basic statistics, and methodological skills necessary to carry out applied research in the fields of management, marketing, operations and information systems.

*BDBA 8110. Organizational Theories and Systems. (3)* Review of a broad range of organizational theory and topics in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

*BDBA 8120. Professional Issues I. (3)* Introduction to the principles of scholarly research for both academic and industry settings, including ethics and research integrity, navigating scholarly literature, focusing on research and teaching excellence.

**Year 1 Semester 2**

*BDBA 8130. Introduction to Business Theory. (3)* Review of fundamental theories and research in the fields of strategic management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

*BDBA 8140. Advanced Business Theory. (3)* Review of micro- and meso-theories and research developed in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

*BDBA 8150. Business Statistics and Data Analysis. (3)* Development of basic skills to conduct quantitative and qualitative research in the chosen area of study within the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**Year 2 Semester 3**

*BDBA 8200. Research Methods I. (3)* Development and application of advanced research skills. Both advanced qualitative and qualitative research methods are discussed.

*BDBA 8210. Current Topics Research Seminar. (3)* Review of current topics in the fields of management, marketing and operations management and information systems and development of research skills in the respective focus areas.

*BDBA 8220. Professional Issues II. (3)* Consideration of advanced topics in the conduct and application of scholarly research within both academic and industry settings.

**Year 2 Semester 4**

*BDBA 8230. Research Methods II. (3)* Development and application of advanced research skills necessary for successfully defending a dissertation proposal, building on Research Methods I. Both advanced qualitative and qualitative research methods are discussed.
BDBA 8240. Focused Research Seminar. (3) Review of current topics identified by students in the program to support the development of dissertation idea. Topics are covered in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

BDBA 8350. Dissertation Proposal Development. (3) In-depth discussion of papers and development of research models and approaches in preparation of dissertation work. Collaboration with the intended dissertation chair is desired.

Year 3 Semesters 5 and 6

BDBA 8999. Doctoral Dissertation Research. (1-9) Each student will initiate and conduct an individual investigation culminating in the preparation and presentation of a doctoral dissertation.
November 17, 2014

Dr. Chris Brown  
Vice President for Research and Graduate Education  
General Administration  
University of North Carolina  
Post Office Box 2688  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27515-2688

Dear Dr. Brown:

Enclosed is UNC Charlotte’s request for authorization to establish a Doctor of Business Administration (DBA). The proposed program is a professional degree for senior-level business leaders who hold a master’s degree and have extensive business experience. The proposed DBA will be one of only seven similar programs in the country and the only such program in the state designed in an executive format for working business professionals.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. Provost Joan Lorden or I would be pleased to respond to any questions that you may have.

Cordially,

[Signature]

Philip L. Dubois  
Chancellor

cc: Joan F. Lorden, Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs  
Steve Ott, Dean, Belk College of Business  
Courtney Thornton, Associate Vice President, UNC General Administration  
Cody Thompson, UNC General Administration
APPENDIX C

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO ESTABLISH
A NEW DEGREE PROGRAM

INSTRUCTIONS: Each proposal should include a 2-3 page executive summary. The signature of the Chancellor is required. Please submit one hard copy and an electronic copy of the proposal to the Office of the Senior Vice President of Academic Affairs at UNC General Administration.

Date: November 11, 2014

Constituent Institution: The University of North Carolina at Charlotte

CIP Discipline Specialty Title: Business Administration and Management, General

CIP Discipline Specialty Number: 52.0201 Level: B ____ M _____ Res. Doc. _____ Prof. Doc. _X_

Exact Title of the Proposed Degree: Doctor of Business Administration

Exact Degree Abbreviation (e.g., B.S., B.A., M.A., M.S., Ed.D., Ph.D.): D.B.A.

Does the proposed program constitute a substantive change as defined by SACS?  Yes ___X__ No _____

The current SACS Substantive Change Policy Statement may be viewed at: http://www.sacscoc.org/pdf/081705/Substantive%20Change%20policy.pdf

If yes, please briefly explain.

As required by the Policy Statement on Substantive Change for Accredited Institutions of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) Commission on Colleges, the University of North Carolina at Charlotte (UNC Charlotte) is required to submit a letter of notification prior to implementation for new degree programs. Notification of this new degree program will be provided to SACS after approval by the University of North Carolina Board of Governors and prior to implementation.

Proposed date to enroll first students in degree program:           Month August Year 2015

Are there plans to offer 50% or more of program credit hours to students off-campus or online?  Yes _____ No ___X__

If yes, complete the form to be used to request establishment of a distance education program and submit it along with this request.

Note: If a degree program has not been approved by the Board of Governors, its approval for alternative, online, or distance delivery must wait until BOG program approval is received. (400.1.1[R], page 3)

The program will be offered on the UNC Charlotte Main Campus and the UNC Charlotte Center City Building. There are no plans to offer the program in any other locations.
Provide a summary of the status of this proposal in your campus review processes.

a. List the campus bodies that reviewed and commented on this Appendix C proposal before submission to UNC General Administration. What were there determinations? Include any votes, if applicable.

The following campus bodies reviewed this Appendix C proposal before submission to UNC General Administration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campus Body</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Votes, If Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doctor of Business Planning Committee</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>5 for, 0 against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belk College Graduate Council</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>7 for, 0 against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belk College Graduate Faculty</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>39 for, 0 against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belk College Dean’s Office</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNC Charlotte Graduate Council</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>9 for, 0 against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean of the Graduate School</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provost’s Office</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chancellor’s Office</td>
<td>Approved</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Summarize any issues, concerns or opposition raised throughout the campus process and comment periods. Describe revisions made to address areas of concern.

The UNC Graduate Council reviewed Appendix A and was broadly supportive of the proposed executive DBA program. The concerns they expressed primarily focused on student and societal demand and who can serve on the program’s dissertation committees. These concerns have been addressed and accounted for in this Request for Authorization to Establish a New Degree Program.

The Belk College Graduate Council (BCGC) considered Appendix C at the request of the DBA Planning Committee. The BCGC unanimously voted in favor of the proposal pending two key sets of revisions, which have been made. First, BCGC asked that the titles and course descriptions for some courses be modified to more closely reflect the content in the syllabi provided. Second, BCGC recommended that the planning committee consider adding an accounting track after the program becomes operational.

The BCGC submitted Appendix C to the Belk College graduate faculty for their review. At the faculty meeting, the Belk College graduate faculty unanimously voted in favor of the proposal. No significant issues, concerns, or opposition were raised.

The Belk College submitted Appendix C to the UNC Charlotte Graduate Council for their review. The Graduate Council requested more details about the program structure and funding, both of which were addressed in the on-campus approval form and budget. Following the Graduate Council meeting, the DBA proposal was approved unanimously.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview:

The proposed Doctor of Business Administration (DBA) is a professional degree taught in an executive format that will provide doctoral-level preparation for senior-level business professionals who wish to enhance their understanding of business theory, integrate cutting edge business theory and practice, and learn to address complex business problems through advanced research methodology. The purpose of this doctoral program is to provide a sound theoretical grounding and understanding of research methodology to address emerging issues in the practice of business. More than a Ph.D., the executive DBA is broad in scope, cutting across business disciplines to address applied problems facing executives in the workplace. The executive DBA emphasizes the testing, application, and extension of existing theory more than the development of theory.

The proposed executive DBA program will target a select group of senior executives who hold an MBA or a master’s degree in a related field and have extensive business experience but who do not want to leave their careers to pursue a full-time Ph.D. Individuals who are attracted to the executive DBA often seek to deepen their overall business knowledge and understanding of high-level research skills in order to better address the complex challenges facing them in today’s dynamic business environment. Others pursue the executive DBA as a means of transitioning into a career in higher education or to enhance their expertise for a career in consulting.

Need for the program:

Few options for business executive education beyond the master’s degree level currently exist. This is particularly true for executives who choose to pursue advanced education while still fully employed. However, senior executives who participate in executive education frequently request information about furthering their education beyond the MBA or specialized business master’s degree. The explosion of data, complex technology, and the increasing complexities of operating in a rapidly changing global environment require abilities that exceed the MBA or executive MBA level. There has been an evolution of executive education needs from the bachelor’s degree to the MBA/Executive MBA (EMBA).

While the primary focus for the proposed executive DBA program will be senior executives pursuing career goals in industry or consulting, there are also opportunities to attract senior executives who plan at some point in their careers to transition into higher education. The shortage of doctoral faculty in business was identified by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) International Management Education Task Force (METF) in the document, Management Education at Risk and was further analyzed by the Doctoral Faculty Commission (DFC) and reported in Sustaining Scholarship in Business School. Expanding doctoral programs for executives was presented as a key strategy to address the faculty needs of business schools with non-research missions and to augment the teaching capacity in research focused business schools.

Executive doctoral programs are gaining traction in the U.S. as evidenced by the increasing number of universities offering these programs and the discussion of these programs by the news media, businesses, and business accrediting organizations like AACSB International. The demand for doctorates in industry has long been the norm in Europe.

In an effort to gain information about demand for the proposed executive DBA, the program concept and an outline of the program was presented to the Belk College Board of Advisors. The reaction to the proposed executive DBA was favorable and subsequent meetings with individual members confirmed that Board members may have a personal interest in the executive DBA program. They were also interested in making this educational opportunity available to top executives in their companies.
To establish demand for the executive DBA, the Belk College of Business initiated a three-phase demand analysis consisting of a review of secondary sources of information and existing program websites, in-depth interviews with 25 potential students and five human resource executives in major Charlotte-region companies and a survey of alumni from the Belk College MBA and specialized master’s programs. Hanover Research conducted the secondary research and alumni studies. A local consultant conducted the in-depth interviews. Findings from the three research efforts included:

- A growing number of American EDBA programs attract highly qualified students from around the country and world. Current programs have successfully grown and maintained student enrollment.
- In in-depth interviews respondents suggested that they knew very little about executive doctorate programs. However, 60 percent of the prospective students (15 individuals) interviewed expressed some interest in the program. Most indicated they would need more information about the specific program that would be offered by the Belk College before making a decision. Of the 99 alumni who responded to the Belk College alumni survey, approximately one third (31 respondents) indicated they would be interested in enrolling in the proposed executive DBA program. Approximately 30 percent of this interested respondent group indicated that they would be willing to consider enrolling “immediately” or “within the next year”.
- Given that the target population for each cohort is around 15-20 students, the in-depth interviews and the alumni survey provided an encouraging demand picture.

Objectives:

The educational objectives of the proposed executive DBA can be summarized as follows:

1. To foster executive-scholars’ pursuit of interdisciplinary business theory and advanced research methodologies to inform the practice of business decision making in an increasingly complex business environment. Graduates of the program will be prepared to:
   a. Lead organizations as senior executives and change agents.
   b. Acquire faculty positions in academic institutions, addressing the shortage of doctoral-trained business faculty.
   c. Launch successful careers in management consulting.

2. To facilitate the dissemination of complex academic research into the practice of business. Exposure to leading business research and research methods will provide graduates with the knowledge, skills, and abilities to lead the application and adaptation of theoretical research to business practice. The executive DBA program will offer the opportunity to develop information channels between academia and practice leading to:
   a. A better understanding by business practitioners of the value of academic research in solving practical business problems.
   b. Collaborative partnerships between businesses and the University that provide faculty access to corporate data sources for academic research and provide businesses with access to cutting-edge academic research. This collaboration may open new avenues for funding academic and applied research.
   c. Integration of academic business research with the practice of business to benefit organizations, employees, customers, the larger community and other stakeholders.

3. Effective communication skills that facilitate the effective presentation of analysis results to non-technical, business audiences.
4. The ability to manage and lead analytics projects and work in cross-functional business teams.

I. Description of the Program

A. Describe the proposed degree program (i.e., its nature, scope, and intended audience).

The proposed executive DBA is a professional degree that will provide doctoral-level preparation for senior-level business professionals who wish to enhance their understanding of business theory, integrate cutting edge theory and practice, and learn to address complex business problems through advanced research methodology. The focus of this degree is on providing a sound theoretical grounding and understanding of research methodology to address emerging issues in the practice of business. More so than a Ph.D., the executive DBA is broad in scope, cutting across business disciplines to address applied problems facing executives in the workplace. The executive DBA emphasizes the testing, application, and extension of existing theory more than the development of theory.

The executive DBA will be a three-year (six-semester), cohort program consisting of 18, three credit hour courses (54 credit-hour total). Students will complete three courses per semester, for a total of nine credit hours. Courses in the DBA program will be offered in an executive format (e.g., five, three-day residencies per semester) to accommodate the needs of business executives who work full time.

The initial focus of the program will be on research methodology courses. As students progress in the program, the emphasis will shift toward applied research content courses and projects that address issues confronting contemporary business leaders. The program will culminate with a dissertation that addresses a complex, cross-functional business problem of strategic interest to a company or non-profit organization.

The proposed executive DBA program will target a select group of senior executives who hold an MBA or a master’s degree in a related field and have extensive business experience but who do not want to leave their careers to pursue a full-time Ph.D. Individuals who are attracted to the executive DBA often seek to deepen their overall business knowledge and understanding of high-level research skills in order to better address the complex challenges facing them in today’s dynamic business environment. Others pursue the executive DBA as a means of transitioning into a career in higher education or to enhance their expertise for a career in consulting.

B. List the educational objectives of the program.

1. To foster executive-scholars’ pursuit of interdisciplinary business theory and advanced research methodologies to inform the practice of business decision making in an increasingly complex business environment. Graduates of the program will be prepared to:

   a. Lead organizations as senior executives and change agents.

---

1 The executive DBA will require 54 credit hours, including 18 hours of dissertation credit. Since the UNC Charlotte Graduate School requires that any student earning a doctoral degree must have completed at least 72 post-baccalaureate semester-hours, students entering the program must have already completed an MBA or master’s degree in a business related field with more than 18 hours credit. The Graduate School 18 hours of research credit requirement will be met by 18 credit hours of dissertation research.
b. Acquire faculty positions in academic institutions, addressing the shortage of doctoral-trained business faculty.

c. Launch successful careers in management consulting.

2. To facilitate the dissemination of complex academic research into the practice of business. Exposure to leading business research and research methods will provide graduates with the knowledge, skills, and abilities to lead the application and adaptation of theoretical research to business practice. The executive DBA program will offer the opportunity to develop information channels between academia and practice leading to:

a. A better understanding by business practitioners of the value of academic research in solving practical business problems.

b. Collaborative partnerships between businesses and the University that provide faculty access to corporate data sources for academic research and provide businesses with access to cutting-edge academic research. This collaboration may open new avenues for funding academic and applied research.

c. Integration of academic business research with the practice of business to benefit organizations, employees, customers, the larger community and other stakeholders.

3. Effective communication skills that facilitate the effective presentation of analysis results to non-technical, business audiences.

4. The ability to manage and lead analytics projects and work in cross-functional business teams.

C. Describe the relationship of the program to other programs currently offered at the proposing institution, including the common use of:

The proposed executive DBA provides the opportunity to create an entirely new doctoral program at the institution that is offered in a unique, executive format. Little opportunity exists to create relationships between the executive DBA and other existing programs at the institution. The focus on engaging real business problems with research methods that drive solutions as well as the executive format precludes sharing of doctoral courses with other doctoral programs. There may be some overlap in the skills that are developed in doctoral-level research methods courses, but the executive format limits including courses that are designed for full-time Ph.D. students.

There is little relationship between the executive DBA and the current Business Administration Ph.D. (BPHD) program. Executive DBA students will have much different skill sets and career aspirations than those enrolled in the BPHD, requiring different course content and delivery. While graduates of the executive DBA program may transition into academic positions, the executive DBA program focuses on individuals who intend to stay in industry. The industry focus dictates that courses in the executive DBA program and the type of research conducted by executive DBA students will likely be significantly more applied in nature than would be the case for courses and research in the
BPHD program. Currently, the finance track is the only track in the BPHD program with coursework and research focusing almost exclusively on finance, as opposed to the executive DBA which will have a more general business focus. The two programs are sufficiently different, both in terms of focus and research methods, that there will be little overlap in the degree programs, suggesting few opportunities for sharing of classes.

We do not anticipate the executive DBA to directly affect enrollment in the BPHD program. The two programs will be taught by different faculty members. The BPHD program only offers one major in finance. As a result, that program utilizes only faculty members from the Departments of Finance, Economics, and Mathematics and Statistics. That program is at its capacity given faculty and funding constraints, and is unlikely to grow in the near future. The curriculum of the proposed DBA program focuses in areas other than finance. Specifically the executive DBA program will primarily utilize faculty members in the Departments of Management, Marketing, and Business Information Systems/Operations Management. The executive DBA will be taught on an overload basis, preventing conflicts between the executive DBA and other doctoral/graduate programs with respect to faculty teaching resources. The tuition increment for the executive DBA is expected to generate the necessary resources for the program and student support.

Since teaching in the executive DBA program is a competing activity, it has the potential to reduce faculty research productivity. However, relatively few faculty members will participate and the program is expected to generate resources to enhance research productivity throughout the College. As high-level executives with the ability to direct company resources and policy are the target audience for the executive DBA, it is anticipated that dissertations and projects completed by these students will lead to research synergies for faculty participating in the program. Research from student dissertations could lead to new data sources and further research opportunities. We also see little relationship to the existing MBA program. Although the emphasis on research is not as great as a Ph.D., the executive DBA is a research degree and will have a significantly higher level of research expectations than the MBA. Further, the weekend/executive format is not well suited to our current MBA student population of part-time working professionals. Those students strongly prefer to attend classes on weekday evenings as opposed to weekend classes.

Rather than overlapping with existing programs, the executive DBA opens a new pool of students for doctoral education in business because of the uniqueness of its content and delivery. Business executives who are able to self-fund their doctoral education or who are supported by their organization create the opportunity for a new doctoral model. Executive scholars also link the University to the business community, fostering business commitment and funding opportunities. Existing programs will benefit from resources generated from the executive DBA and from the synergy that will come from high-level executive scholars working with our faculty. These industry relationships will foster the sharing of ideas and data while producing both academic research and applied business research.
1. Courses

We anticipate that all courses in the executive DBA will be developed specifically for the program. Given the executive format and the general business format, there is little opportunity for executive DBA courses to serve other programs.

2. Faculty

The executive DBA faculty will be comprised of the Belk College Graduate Faculty members, whose expertise is relevant to the executive DBA. Faculty from other institutions with proper credentials and expertise may serve as Adjunct Faculty and on dissertation committees. In addition, any member of the Graduate Faculty at the University with proper credentials and expertise and interest in teaching and/or serving on committees of the executive DBA may apply to become an adjunct faculty member of the executive DBA faculty. The executive DBA faculty will be appointed by the executive DBA Program Director in consultation with the Program Committee. Faculty from other institutions must also be approved through the normal approval process. The appointments will be for five-year terms with re-appointments made according to guidelines established by the executive DBA Program Director and approved by the Dean of the Belk College.

Faculty teaching in the executive DBA program and serving on dissertation committees will do so in addition to their normal faculty load. Compensation will be provided through tuition increment funds from the program.

3. Facilities, and

The executive DBA will employ existing facilities of the Belk College of Business at the main campus as well as the UNC Charlotte Center City Building.

4. Other resources

N/A

II. Justification for the Program – Narrative Statement

A. Describe the proposed program as it relates to:

1. Institutional mission

The executive DBA is consistent with the Mission Statement of UNC Charlotte. As North Carolina’s urban research university, UNC Charlotte seeks opportunities to engage business and other community organizations in partnerships that enhance its research and educational goals. The executive DBA is designed as a program for senior-level executives and organizational leaders. Bringing these individuals into the University over an extended period of time strengthens our relationships with them and their organizations thus facilitating “collaborations among university, business and community leaders” (UNC Charlotte Mission Statement).

Engaging business professionals in applied academic research enhances the practice of business in the region and aids in the diffusion of academic research to the profession. Both activities help realize UNC Charlotte’s mission to achieve a leadership position in higher education and to offer internationally competitive programs of research. Programs that foster the understanding of academic research and advanced research methodology by practitioners enhance the reputation of research faculty and the institution. Business schools have been criticized for ignoring business practice. Executive DBA programs offer synergistic
platforms for integrating business theory and practice. Collaborative, cross-disciplinary research and engagement can expose business faculty to ideas and provides data that produces research of interest to the business community.

2. Strategic plan

The executive DBA supports UNC Charlotte’s strategic plan. The University Goal #1 is to “improve educational opportunities that respond to the intellectual and professional needs of the region”. The first action step for meeting this goal is to “increase number of doctoral programs in high demand fields”. The demand for doctoral-level business faculty is high with many universities in the United States paying increasingly high salaries to attract business faculty. Moreover, European executives regularly seek to advance their careers through executive doctorates, a trend that is spreading to the United States. Universities currently offering executive business doctorates prepare students to meet the demand in the academy and in the profession.

The executive DBA is also aligned with the UNC Compact’s commitment to pursue and share knowledge and research that advances the state’s economy and improves the quality of life for North Carolinians.

3. Student demand. Provide any update to the documented evidence of student demand presented in Appendix B.

No institution in North Carolina offers an executive format DBA program, so we cannot point to enrollment data for existing majors, minors, concentrations, or certificate programs on our campus or elsewhere in the UNC System. We do note, however, that these programs are relatively common in Europe and that a number of programs have started here in the United States. We look to these programs, as well as extensive survey and market studies that we have commissioned, to demonstrate that there is adequate student demand.

Few options for practitioner-focused education beyond the master’s degree level currently exist. This is particularly true for executives who choose to pursue advanced education while still fully employed. However, senior executives who participate in executive education frequently request information about furthering their education beyond the MBA or specialized business master’s degree. The explosion of data, complex technology, and the increasing complexities of operating in a rapidly changing global environment require abilities that exceed the MBA or executive MBA level. There has been an evolution of executive education needs from the bachelor’s degree to the MBA/EMBA.

Between 2002 and 2007, the compounded annual growth rate in master’s-level degrees in business administration, management and operations grew at 4.3 percent, only marginally more than that of master’s-level degrees awarded in all disciplines. Between 2007 and 2012, the compound annual growth rate in master’s-level business degrees grew to 6 percent while the growth rate of master’s-level degrees awarded grew to 4.9 percent. Given the recent growth in business master’s degrees, master’s-level executive education no longer provides the competitive advantage executives need to resolve complex business problems and to advance their careers. Many see the executive doctorate as the next step in this evolutionary process.

While professional doctoral programs are more prevalent in Europe and Australia, with at least 16 programs in the U.K., an estimated 58.5 percent of executives
holding doctoral degrees in Germany and 20 programs created in Australia from 1993 to 2005, few U.S. universities offer executive doctorates. The Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) International lists six AACSB-accredited DBA and Executive Doctorate Programs in the U.S. (http://www.bestbizschools.com/doctorate/professional_doctorate_degrees.asp). Two of the six, Cleveland State University and Louisiana Tech University offer DBA programs, patterned after traditional Ph.D. programs (i.e., full time, non-executive format). Case Western Reserve University, Georgia State University, and Kennesaw State University offer doctorates in an executive format. Oklahoma State University’s Executive Ph.D. in Business began in January 2012.

Colleges of Business offering executive doctorates report strong demand, even at relatively high tuitions. The program director at Kennesaw State University reports receiving 400 inquiries to fill 15 spots in the DBA program2 and that more than 250 students have shown up at the program’s information session in each of the past two years3. The Case Western Reserve University program began in 1995 and they accept, on average, 25 students per year. Georgia State University’s program began in 2009. The program enrolled 17 students in 2009, 19 students in 2010 and 23 students in 2014, for a current total enrollment of 59 students. Kennesaw State University enrolled its first class in 2009 with 17 students. By August 2010, 43 students were enrolled in the program. The most recent program at Oklahoma State University enrolled 19 students into the first cohort that began January 2012.

Executive doctoral programs at Georgia State, Case Western Reserve and Oklahoma State focus on executives who pursue an executive doctorate to enhance their careers in business or consulting. Statistics from Georgia State’s first cohort indicate that 25 percent of students come from Fortune 500 companies, 25 percent come from independent companies and the remaining 50 percent come from small- to medium-sized companies. Georgia State reports that virtually all graduates seek the executive doctorate to enhance career opportunities in industry or consulting. Similarly, of the 19 students enrolled in the Oklahoma State program, 18 indicate a primary interest in pursuing business career advancement. Case Western Reserve program administrators report that about one-half of their graduates pursue advancement in business careers and one-half transition to academic careers.

The population characteristics and business climate in the Charlotte region and the state compare favorably with those of universities with successful executive doctoral programs. Population provides an indication of the potential demand for educational programs. Table 1 considers Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)

---


population for MSAs within a 100 and 200 mile radius of UNC Charlotte (Charlotte), Georgia State (Atlanta), Case Western Reserve (Cleveland) and Oklahoma State (Stillwater). Charlotte, Atlanta and Cleveland are major population centers with more than 6 million people in MSAs within 200 miles. Stillwater is a significantly smaller population center with just a little over 2 million people. (See Table 1.)

Table 1: MSA Population Within 100 and 200 Miles of UNC Charlotte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSA</th>
<th>2010 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNC Charlotte</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asheville</td>
<td>425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, NC-SC</td>
<td>1,758,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham-Chapel Hill, NC</td>
<td>504,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayetteville, NC</td>
<td>366,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensboro-High Point, NC</td>
<td>724,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC</td>
<td>637,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, NC</td>
<td>365,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh-Cary, NC</td>
<td>1,130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartanburg, SC</td>
<td>284,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington, NC</td>
<td>362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston Salem, NC</td>
<td>478,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 100 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,246,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 200 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,033,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Georgia State / Kennesaw State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSA</th>
<th>2010 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA</td>
<td>5,269,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC</td>
<td>557,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus, GA-AL</td>
<td>295,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC</td>
<td>637,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartanburg, SC</td>
<td>284,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 100 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,564,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 200 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,042,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case Western Reserve**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSA</th>
<th>2010 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canton-Massillon, OH</td>
<td>404,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, OH</td>
<td>2,077,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus, OH</td>
<td>1,837,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton, OH</td>
<td>842,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo, OH</td>
<td>651,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA</td>
<td>566,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 100 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,047,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 200 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,377,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oklahoma State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSA</th>
<th>2010 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City, OK</td>
<td>1,253,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulsa, OK</td>
<td>937,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 100 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,190,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MSA Population 200 Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,190,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2012 Statistical Abstract of the United States, U.S. Census Bureau

A better indication of demand comes from population statistics qualified by age and educational attainment. Table 2 provides state population with advanced
degrees. Since executive doctoral programs generally attract students in the 45-59 age demographic, the number of individuals with advanced degrees is also provided for that age group. The North Carolina profile compares favorably with that of Georgia and Ohio and is significantly more positive than Oklahoma.

Table 2: 2009 Comparison of North Carolina with States with Comparable Executive DBA Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>6,316,000</td>
<td>1,970,000</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>555,808</td>
<td>173,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>6,227,000</td>
<td>1,965,000</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>616,473</td>
<td>194,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>7,705,000</td>
<td>2,529,000</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>678,040</td>
<td>222,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>2,440,000</td>
<td>762,000</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>180,560</td>
<td>56,388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2012 Statistical Abstract of the United States, U.S. Census Bureau

Most programs also draw students from other states and internationally, increasing the geographic reach of executive doctoral programs. The extent to which students are willing to travel to complete the program requirements illustrates the potential value of developing these programs in a greater number of regions. Because so few programs exist, many business schools could be losing local talent to institutions that, traditionally, may not have been considered competitors. Based on available information for executive doctoral students and alumni at these three schools, Case Western Reserve has enrolled students from 31 states plus the District of Columbia and 11 countries with 25 percent of its students from Ohio; Georgia State has enrolled 77 percent of students from Georgia; Oklahoma State enrolled 47 percent of students from Oklahoma and an additional 26 percent from Texas. Table 3 summarizes the reported residencies of current and former DBA students from Case Western Reserve University, Oklahoma State University, Kennesaw State University and Georgia State University.

Table 3. Student Enrollment Patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohorts Represented in Student Profiles</th>
<th>Enrollments</th>
<th>Average Distance Traveled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Students</td>
<td>Domestic In-State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Western Reserve 2014,2015</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma State 2015,2016</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennesaw State 2012,2013,2014</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia State 2012,2013</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: University websites
The Charlotte region provides opportunities to attract business executives into a business doctoral program. According to the Charlotte Chamber:

*Seven of the nation’s 500 largest corporations, listed by Fortune magazine, have headquarters in the Charlotte area. Nine hundred fifty seven firms with annual revenues exceeding $1 million and 31 companies with revenues exceeding $1 billion have operations in Mecklenburg County. Of the companies with over $1 million in revenue, 525 are headquartered here.*

While students in existing business doctoral programs are employed in large, independent and small- to medium-sized businesses as well as consulting, nonprofit and government, the number of Fortune 500 company headquarters offer a way to compare potential opportunity for UNC Charlotte’s executive DBA program with that of other successful programs. Table 4 provides information that suggests that UNC Charlotte should have a business community with the ability to sustain an executive DBA program.

### Table 4: 2011 Fortune 500 Company Headquarters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fortune 500 Comp. Headquarters</th>
<th>UNC Charlotte</th>
<th>Case Western Reserve</th>
<th>Georgia State / Kennesaw State</th>
<th>Oklahoma State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In an effort to establish demand for the executive DBA, the Belk College of Business initiated a three-phase demand analysis consisting of a review of secondary sources of information and existing program websites, in-depth interviews with 25 potential students and five human resource executives in major Charlotte-region companies and a survey of alumni from the Belk College MBA and specialized master’s programs. Hanover Research conducted the secondary research and alumni studies. A local consultant conducted the in-depth interviews.

Key findings from the secondary research study include:

- A growing number of American executive DBA programs attract highly qualified students from around the country and world. Current programs have successfully grown and maintained student enrollment.
• Labor market trends indicate mixed levels of growth for occupations most closely associated with business administration. Slow growth for some occupations, including chief executives and general managers, may indicate that workers will seek new opportunities to demonstrate their qualifications. In other cases, occupations with significant proportions of employees with master’s level degrees may seek and reward applicants with more significant levels of education. However, because successful candidates for admission to executive DBA programs typically already possess a considerable level of professional success, employment projections may prove less relevant to degree-seekers than potential for academic exploration.

• Existing executive DBA and Ph.D. programs vary significantly in terms of commitment and total cost.

The Hanover secondary research study also concluded that candidates in executive doctorate programs may be motivated by more than the promise of financial gain. They quote one Georgia State University executive DBA student who said: “People don’t go into a program like this at 45 or 50 to make another $100,000 on their base salary. It seems like we’re all doing this for a personal quest and the desire to make a difference somehow in the world at large.”

In the in-depth interview component of the research, 25 prospective students who have an MBA or a master’s degree in a business field plus significant executive experience participated in 30-45 minute executive interviews. In addition, five human resource executives, who represented major employers in the Charlotte region, participated in an in-depth discussion aimed at understanding company interest and willingness to participate in the executive DBA program. Although the executive doctorate is a new type of degree program in the U.S. and few of the participants were familiar with the executive degree concept, 60 percent of the prospective students (15 individuals) expressed some interest in the program. Most indicated they would need more information about the specific program that would be offered by the Belk College before making a decision. However, three of the respondents indicated that they were highly interested and would be very likely to participate in a program if it were offered by the Belk College. Both potential students and human resource executives perceived the program to be unique with the potential to attract students beyond the Charlotte region.

Human resource executives were mixed in their perception of company support for the executive DBA program. Most saw the executive DBA as a degree that would benefit the individual more than the company and while companies may provide some support, most of the cost would be borne by the student. However, when asked about company benefit most of the human resource executives believed that there would be benefit to the company. Two comments that summarize this appear below:

“It would be a huge value-add to use a company problem as the focus of the dissertation.”

“The executive DBA candidate employee would gain new perspectives and critical thinking skills that could enhance the success of the organization through innovative thought and strategic vision.”

In support letters from senior executives, the value of executive scholars to the company is reiterated. (See Appendix B). Typical comments include:
“I believe the vision you have set forth for this program can grow to become an answer to the challenges I see before our organization. I also see specific opportunity in the lack of market-proven options for professionals who wish to deeply improve their rigor and skills as we lead increasingly complex organizations in the future.” Richard Maltsbarger, Senior VP, Lowe’s Inc.

“I endorse the EDBA because it appears to afford businesses such as mine the opportunity to enhance the skillset of senior level personnel (in a time efficient manner) to support them undertaking long term projects of strategic importance to the business and industry.” Michael Mulligan, President, General Dynamics

“...provide an opportunity to develop senior managers beyond the present academic opportunities now available locally. To be successful in this new environment, executives need additional tools beyond those provided by the MBA or executive MBA.” Manuel Zapata, President, Zapata Engineering

The Hanover Research Survey of alumni who graduated five to seven years ago from one of the Belk College of Business graduate programs support the findings of the in-depth interviews. Of the 99 alumni who responded to the survey, approximately one third (31 respondents) indicated they would be interested in enrolling in the proposed executive DBA program. Approximately 30 percent of this interested respondent group indicated they would be willing to consider enrolling “immediately” or “within the next year”. While Belk College alumni would be one audience for the program, the experience of other business executive doctorate programs in the U.S. suggests that the executive DBA program would attract students beyond graduate program alumni and region. With a maximum cohort size in the 15-20 student range, the results of the in-depth interviews and the alumni survey add further support to our belief that there is adequate student demand for this program.

4. Societal demand and employability of graduates. Provide any update to the documented evidence of societal demand and employment opportunities presented in Appendix B.

Few options for executive education beyond the master’s degree level currently exist. This is particularly true for executives who choose to pursue advanced education while still fully employed. However, senior executives who participate in executive education frequently request information about furthering their education beyond the MBA or specialized business master’s degree. The explosion of data, complex technology and the increasing complexities of operating in a rapidly changing global environment require abilities that exceed the MBA or executive MBA level. There has been an evolution of executive education needs from the bachelor’s degree to the MBA/EMBA.

Between 2002 and 2007, the compounded annual growth rate in master’s-level degrees in business administration, management and operations grew at 4.3 percent, only marginally more than that of master’s-level degrees awarded in all disciplines. Between 2007 and 2012, the compound annual growth rate in master’s-level business degrees grew to 6 percent while the growth rate of master’s-level degrees awarded grew to 4.9 percent. Given the recent growth in business master’s degrees, master’s-level executive education no longer provides
the competitive advantage executives need to resolve complex business problems and to advance their careers. Many see the executive doctorate as the next step in this evolutionary process. Executive doctoral programs draw students who are interested in furthering their careers within their organization or as a consultant and those who may later in their careers transition into academics, full or part-time. The following discussion will provide support for the demand for executive scholars pursuing those two career goals.

In an effort to gain information about demand for the proposed executive DBA, the program concept and an outline of the program was presented to the Belk College Board of Advisors. The Board of Advisors is a group of accomplished business and civic leaders who work closely with the Belk College to ensure our relevance to the business community. The Board is frequently used as a sounding board for refining and improving our curriculum and programing. The reaction to the proposed executive DBA was favorable and subsequent meetings with individual members confirmed that Board members may have a personal interest in the executive DBA program. They were also interested in making this educational opportunity available to top executives in their companies. Board members also suggested that the executive DBA would provide necessary education beyond the MBA level and enhanced credibility for executives, especially in consulting firms. Informal conversations with other business and civic leaders reinforce the belief by the Belk Board of Advisors that the proposed executive DBA would generate significant interest and demand within the Charlotte region and beyond.

Employment Opportunities in Industry and Consulting

Since it is expected that most graduates of the proposed executive DBA program will pursue career goals within their current organization or as consultants within their industry, general employment data provide little insight as to the demand for graduates. That said, the growing complexity in business and government will create a need for business doctorates beyond those acquired by executives within organizations. The 2012 Survey of Earned Doctorates estimates that slightly more than 20 percent of traditional U.S. business doctorates will be employed in industry or government. It is likely that executive DBA degrees, focused on blending theory and practice with strong research skills to drive business solutions may better prepare students for these fields and may be preferred by industry or government employers. To get a sense of the demand for these students in industry, we focus on the management consulting field. While we recognize that these students would not enter this field exclusively, our view is that it is a field in which they would be broadly employable and which is comparable to the other types of senior positions for which they would be eligible.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) maintains the Occupational Outlook Handbook on the web (www.bls.gov/data/). Within this Handbook the management consulting field is referred to as “Management Analyst” (SOC code 13-1111). The BLS estimates that over the ten year period from 2012 to 2022, employment in this field will grow by 19% from 718,700 to 852,500. The challenge in interpreting these projections, however, is that the BLS includes all levels of positions, including entry-level positions. Our expectation for graduates of the
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The DBA program is that they will generally be senior-level employees prior to entering the program and they will certainly be looking for very senior level positions upon graduation.

If one digs deeper within the BLS data, however, it is possible to tease out some additional information. Specifically the Bureau provides their projections on “Management Analyst” job growth by industry. One such industry is “Management, Scientific, and Technical Consulting Services.” This industry would typically employ the more senior and highly skilled people that are the target market graduates of this program. The BLS estimates that this field will see 41% job growth between 2012 and 2022, with growth from 142,000 positions to 200,400 positions. A second such industry is “Administrative and Support Services.” The BLS estimates that this field will see 27.7% growth from 25,800 to 33,000 between 2012 and 2022.

In addition to the BLS data, the Census Bureau also provides employment information by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code by state. These codes tend to be somewhat broader than those used by BLS. Students graduating with the DBA degree that enter management consulting positions would tend to fall into either NAICS code 540000, “Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services” or NAICS code 550000, “Management of Companies and Enterprises.” The Census Bureau reports that in the second quarter of 2013, 292,446 people were employed in North Carolina in these two fields. While we again acknowledge that these are rather broad sectors which include entry level positions which will not be appropriate for graduates of this program, these data do indicate that these are vibrant and healthy employment sectors in North Carolina, and that there should be significant opportunities for graduates of these programs to obtain positions.

Employment Opportunities in Universities

The primary focus for the proposed executive DBA program will be senior executives pursuing career goals in industry or consulting. There are, however, also opportunities to attract senior executives who plan at some point in their careers to transition into higher education jobs with a heavy teaching component. The shortage of doctoral faculty in business was identified by the AACSB International Management Education Task Force (METF) in the document, Management Education at Risk and was further analyzed by the Doctoral Faculty Commission (DFC) and reported in Sustaining Scholarship in Business School. Both documents are available online at http://www.aacsb.edu/resources/doctoral/publications.asp. These studies suggest that significant demand exists for doctoral-trained faculty. In fact, the DFC proposed creating alternative educational models to attract other sources of doctoral candidates as a way to address the shortage of business doctoral faculty. Expanding doctoral programs for executives was presented as a key strategy to address the faculty needs of business schools with non-research missions and to augment the teaching capacity in research focused business schools.
The DFC analysis confirms that the problem facing business schools is one of demand outstripping supply. Based on data from the National Science Foundation, the DFC reports that U.S. business doctorates decreased by 19 percent to 1,071 in 1999-2000 from 1,327 in 1994-1995. By contrast most other fields remained stable or increased over the same period. More recently, AACSB reports that U.S. universities awarded 1,029 doctoral degrees in 2010-2011, down from 1,062 in 2009-2010 and 1,129 in 2008-2009. This shortage is exacerbated by an increased rate of faculty retirement. Data from the U.S. Department of Education, *Digest of Educational Statistics, 2010* provides a clear picture of the looming retirement issue. Even if it were assumed that all full-time business faculty who were 60 and older in 2003 have already retired, 9,372 additional doctoral-trained full-time business faculty would be needed in the next 10 years to maintain the status quo.

Although the number of business doctorates is projected to continue to decline, several trends suggest strong demand for doctoral degrees in business: (1) increased undergraduate and graduate business school enrollment in the U.S. and worldwide, (2) enhanced research focus of many business schools, (3) AACSB International and EQUIS requirements for higher faculty qualification standards and (4) graduates pursuing positions in industry. The DFC report estimates approximately 302,000 U.S. business undergraduate degrees awarded in 2011-2012, up from 253,000 in 1999-2000. Similarly, the DFC report estimates the number of U.S. master’s-level degrees awarded to grow to 125,250 in 2011-2012 from 111,664 in 1999-2000. Based on this analysis the DFC concluded that the shortage of business doctorates would continue to grow. The DFC estimated a shortage of 1,142 by 2007 and 2,419 by 2012. Under the worst-case scenarios, shortages were expected to be 3,043 by 2007 and 5,689 by 2012. More recent analysis of hiring needs of AACSB accredited schools indicate that “many schools reported that efforts to attract and retain qualified faculty members are becoming increasingly difficult in a highly competitive and resource-constrained hiring environment.”

The DFC report was issued in 2002 and ideally would have been updated in the intervening time. There are, however, other indications that there is continuing and strong demand for business faculty and that this demand will continue. There are approximately 1,600 business schools at U.S. universities and colleges. AACSB is the premier accrediting body for business schools, and it accredits slightly more than 650 of those 1,600 business schools, or slightly more than one third of the market. Each year AACSB conducts a faculty salary and hiring survey of its membership. Included in this survey are data on new hires by the association’s members. Table 5 presents information extracted from these AACSB surveys for a ten year period.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Schools Responding</th>
<th>Professor</th>
<th>Associate Professor</th>
<th>Assistant Professor</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Total New Hires</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>2338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>2194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>1785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>1448</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>2507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>2284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>2295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>2111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>1847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


These data demonstrate that demand for business school faculty members is strong, and has remained very robust even during the recession period. Even at the height of the recession the demand for new assistant professor hires never dropped below 1,000 positions per year. We further note that AACSB includes in the “Instructor” rank not only non-doctoral faculty members but also doctoral-holding faculty members in non-tenure track roles, such as “clinical professors” or “professors of practice.” We anticipate that at least some DBA graduates would take those types of positions. When combined with the assistant professor positions this brings the number of potential positions open in each year to between 1,500 and 2,000.

The new hires presented in Table 5 include both new doctorates hired as assistant professors or instructors, and when a faculty member is hired away from another school. The AASCB salary survey also reports how many of the new hires were new doctorates or ABDs. These data are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Number of New Doctorate and ABD hires at US Business Schools 2003-2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>New Doctorates</th>
<th>ABDs</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 demonstrates that typically between 400 and 500 new doctorates are hired by AACSB accredited business schools. Given that Table 5 demonstrates that total new assistant professor and instructor new hires range between 1,500 and 2,000, it stands to reason that roughly 1,000 or so of the new hires each year are situations where faculty at one school are recruited away by another institution. We do recognize that some of these moves are due to frictional reasons, i.e., a faculty member seeks to move to a new school for a pay raise, to reset their tenure clock, or because of geographic preference. We also recognize that some schools prefer to recruit more seasoned assistant professors. Our sense, however, is that in the majority of cases new doctorates and seasoned assistant professors are competing for the same positions. The fact that in two-thirds of the cases where business schools seek to hire assistant professor or instructors they hire seasoned assistant professors from other schools is strong evidence that there is unfulfilled demand for new assistant professors.

This argument is further buttressed by salary data. The AACSB survey presents salary data on newly hired seasoned assistant professors as well as newly hired assistant professors that have new doctorates. Table 7 presents the 75th percentile of the salary distribution at AACSB accredited schools in the three disciplines in which graduates of the proposed DBA program will most likely find employment: management, marketing, and management information systems. Further, to demonstrate the evolution in this trend over time, Table 7 presents this data for both 2003 and 2012.

Table 7. Salary Data for Newly Hired Assistant Professors in Selected Disciplines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Doctorate</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasoned Assistant Professor</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marketing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Doctorate</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>145,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasoned Assistant Professor</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>142,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Doctorate</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td>138,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasoned Assistant Professor</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We note that over the roughly ten year period covered in this report that salaries in these disciplines have risen very rapidly, with annualized growth rates of between three and five percent. Additionally, this growth occurred despite the very significant recession that occurred during this time period. Further, we note that new doctorates command a significant premium relative to seasoned assistant professors. That is, business schools are evidently willing to pay more to hire a new doctorate than to hire away a faculty member from another institution. We again take this as very strong evidence that there is significant demand for faculty members with new doctorates, and that there remains a significant shortage for new faculty in business schools.

B. Provide any update to the discussion of similar degree programs and opportunities for collaboration presented in Appendix A. Discuss here the feasibility of a joint or collaborative degree program with one or more UNC institutions.

No universities in North Carolina offer an executive DBA or any other executive doctorate in business. This will be the first executive DBA offered in the state. The closest similar programs are the Executive Doctorate in Business at Georgia State University and the Doctor of Business Administration at Kennesaw State University. Since no other universities in public or private institutions of higher education in North Carolina offer an executive DBA or any other business doctoral program in an executive format, we see no opportunity at this time to collaborate with other universities.

Two universities in North Carolina offer a Ph.D. in Business Administration (distance from UNC Charlotte):

1. Duke University, The Fuqua School of Business (132 miles);

2. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Kenan-Flagler Business School (132 miles)

The University of North Carolina at Greensboro (83 miles from Charlotte) offers a Ph.D. in Economics. None of these North Carolina programs are designed to provide doctoral-level preparation for senior business professionals in an executive format.

Since none of the universities in the state offer doctoral business classes in an executive format, developing reciprocal course sharing agreements would be difficult. If other institutions develop executive doctoral programs in business, we will seek opportunities to collaborate. We would be very interested in including faculty from other universities in the state as adjunct professors and dissertation committee members for the program.

Hanover Research was hired by the Belk College of Business to study peer and competitor programs in the U.S. and abroad. A copy of their research report is provided in Appendix C. A summary of key features of U.S. programs appears in Table 8 below:
Table 8: Key Features of U.S. Programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>DEGREE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF RESIDENCIES</th>
<th>LENGTH OF RESIDENCIES</th>
<th>YEARS TO COMPLETION</th>
<th>TUITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Western Reserve University</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennesaw State University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Kennesaw, GA</td>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$96,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma State University</td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Stillwater, OK</td>
<td>18 or more</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia State University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Atlanta, GA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$109,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$124,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollins College</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Winter Park, FL</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$67,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pace University</td>
<td>DPS</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$63,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averages: 17.9, 3.3 days, 3.2, $104,352

Source: Institutional websites.

These programs are very similar regarding number of residencies, length of residency and years of completion. The more prominent programs offer programs in the $100,000 to $150,000 tuition range. We set ourselves apart from these schools by providing a more rigorous academic program at a premier location with easy access for students.

No licensure or professional accreditation is required for the program. Academic accreditation is discussed in Section VIII of this document.

C. Enrollment (baccalaureate programs should include only upper division majors, that is, juniors and seniors).

Please indicate the anticipated first year and fourth year steady-state enrollment (head count) for the proposed program.

Year 1: Full Time _______ Part-time ___15___ Total ___15___

Year 4: Full-time _______ Part-time ___45___ Total ___45___

III. Program Requirements and Curriculum

A. Program Planning

1. List the names of institutions with similar offerings regarded as high quality programs by the developers of the proposed program.

Case Western Reserve University
Weatherhead School of Management
Doctor of Management
http://weatherhead.case.edu/degrees/doctor-management/

Georgia State University
Robinson College of Business
Executive Doctorate in Business
http://robinson.gsu.edu/execdoctorate/index.html

Kennesaw State University
Coles College of Business
Doctor of Business Administration
http://coles.kennesaw.edu/dba/
2. List institutions visited or consulted in developing this proposal. Also discuss or append any consultants' reports or committee findings generated in planning the proposed program.

Meeting with Belk College Board of Advisors

In an effort to gain information about demand for the proposed executive DBA, the program concept and an outline of the program was presented to the Belk College Board of Advisors. The Board of Advisors is a group of accomplished business and civic leaders who work closely with the Belk College to ensure our relevance to the business community. The Board is frequently used as a sounding board for refining and improving our curriculum and programing. The reaction to the proposed executive DBA was favorable and subsequent meetings with individual members confirmed that Board members may have a personal interest in the executive DBA program. They were also interested in making this educational opportunity available to top executives in their companies. Board members also suggested that the executive DBA would provide necessary education beyond the MBA level and enhanced credibility for executives, especially in consulting firms. Informal conversations with other business and civic leaders reinforce the belief by the Belk Board of Advisors that the proposed executive DBA would generate significant interest and demand within the Charlotte region and beyond. Letters of support are provided in Appendix B.

Alumni Survey

Hanover Research conducted a survey of alumni who graduated five to seven years ago from the Belk College of Business master's programs. Results of the survey have been discussed above in the student demand section and provided in Appendix D.

In-Depth Interviews

A consultant was hired by the Belk College of Business to conduct in-depth interviews with prospective students who have an MBA or master's degree in a business field and significant executive experience. In addition, a small group of human resource executives were also interviewed. Results of the interviews have been discussed above in the student demand section and a consultant report is provided in Appendix E.

Consultation with other Executive Doctorate Programs

Dr. Torsten Pieper, a professor heavily involved in the DBA program at Kennesaw State University, visited UNC Charlotte and provided detailed insights into the direction of the Kennesaw program, their challenges, and their success. As the program closest to Charlotte, his visit gave us unique insights into the positioning of the program.
We also engaged in a telephone conference with representatives from the Executive Doctorate of Management program at the Weatherhead School of Management at Case Western Reserve University. We spoke with Dr. Kalle Lyytinen and Mrs. Sue Nartker. Similar to Kennesaw State University, their program is interdisciplinary in nature, though more established. Here again, challenges and best practices were discussed.

B. Admission. List the following:

1. Admissions requirements for proposed program (indicate minimum requirements and general requirements).
   
   The minimum admission requirements for the program are:
   
   a. An earned MBA or master's degree in a related field from a regionally accredited college or university;
   
   b. A grade point average of at least 3.0 on a 4.0 scale;
   
   c. Five or more years of professional working experience;
   
   d. Two essays: one addressing the candidate’s goals and motivations for pursuing the executive DBA and the second outlining the candidate's general areas of research interest;
   
   e. Three positive letters of recommendation;
   
   f. A minimum TOEFL score of 220 (computer-based), 557 (paper-based), or 83 (internet-based) or a minimum IELTS band score of 6.5 is required from any applicant whose native language is not English; and
   
   g. Other credentials as required by the Graduate School or the executive DBA Program Committee.

2. Documents to be submitted for admission (listing or attach sample).

Documents to be submitted for admission include:

   a. Official transcripts from all colleges and universities attended;
   
   b. Official TOEFL or IELTS scores for applicants whose native language is not English;
   
   c. The UNC Charlotte application for graduate admission form;
   
   d. Three positive letters of recommendation;
   
   e. Current resume; and
   
   f. Two essays: one addressing the candidate’s goals and motivations for pursuing the executive DBA and the second outlining the candidate's general areas of research interests.
   
   g. Other materials as required by the Graduate School or the executive DBA Program Committee.
C. Degree requirements. List the following:

1. Total hours required. State requirements for Major, Minor, General Education, etc.

   The DBA is a three-year (six semester), lockstep program consisting of 18, three credit hour courses. The program requires 54 post-master’s credit hours and 18 credits of transferred master’s-level coursework. The curriculum has three major components:

   Research Methodology (21 credits)
   - BDBA 8100 Introduction to Experimental Design and Measurement (3)
   - BDBA 8130 Introduction to Business Theory (3)
   - BDBA 8140 Advanced Business Theory (3)
   - BDBA 8150 Business Statistics and Data Analysis (3)
   - BDBA 8200 Research Methods I (3)
   - BDBA 8230 Research Methods II (3)
   - BDBA 8350 Dissertation Proposal Development (3)

   Practice-Focus Areas (15 credits)
   - BDBA 8110 Organizational Theories and Systems (3)
   - BDBA 8120 Professional Issues I (3)
   - BDBA 8210 Current Topics Research Seminar (3)
   - BDBA 8220 Professional Issues II (3)
   - BDBA 8240 Focused Research Seminar (3)

   Dissertation (18 credits)
   - BDBA 8999 Doctoral Dissertation Research (18)

   Transferred Coursework (18 credits)

   TOTAL DEGREE = 72 credits

2. Other requirements (e.g. residence, comprehensive exams, thesis, dissertation, clinical or field experience, "second major," etc.).

   The student will be assigned an advisor at the beginning of the program. In the second year, the student will pick an advisor preparing him/her for the dissertation work.

   After course work is completed, the student will need to be continuously enrolled in dissertation hours.

   It is a Graduate School requirement that each doctoral candidate must complete and defend a dissertation. The student must orally present and defend the dissertation before the Advisory Committee in a defense that is open to the University Community.

   For graduate programs only, please also list the following:

3. Proportion of courses open only to graduate students to be required in program
All coursework that will count toward the DBA will be at the 6000 level or above and unavailable to undergraduate students. The majority of the coursework will be at the 8000 level.

4. Grades required

A student is expected to earn an A or B in all courses included in the program and must maintain a minimum cumulative 3.0 GPA (on a 4.0 scale) to graduate. The dissertation is graded on a Pass/Unsatisfactory basis, and therefore, will not be included in the cumulative average. An accumulation of more than two marginal (C) grades will result in suspension of the student’s enrollment in the program. If a student earns a grade of U in any course, their enrollment will be suspended and the student cannot take further coursework without being readmitted to the program.

5. Amount of transfer credit accepted

Due to the cohort nature of the program, transfer credit will not be accepted.

6. Language and/or research requirements

Students must complete and defend a dissertation.

7. Any time limits for completion

Students are allowed a maximum of eight calendar years from formal admission to the DBA program to complete the program successfully.

D. For all programs, list existing courses by title and number and indicate (*) those that are required. Include an explanation of numbering system. List (under a heading marked "new") and describe new courses proposed.

The proposed executive DBA program will follow UNC Charlotte’s Academic Policy on Course Numbering. Doctoral-level courses are numbered at the 8000 level. Unique second digits are used University-wide to designate special types of courses. 89xx courses indicate research. Credit hours are provided in parentheses.

The executive DBA curriculum includes three major curricular components: (1): core DBA, (2) research, and (3), advanced seminars. As the proposed DBA will be offered in an executive format to senior-level business professionals, the curriculum proposed includes all new courses:

**BDBA8100 Introduction to Experimental Design and Measurement:** Overview of quasi-experimental design, qualitative techniques, basic statistics, and methodological skills necessary to carry out applied research in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8110 Organizational Theories and Systems:** Review of a broad range of organizational theory and topics in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.
**BDBA8120 Professional Issues I:** Introduction to the principles of scholarly research for both academic and industry settings, including ethics and research integrity, navigating scholarly literature, focusing on research and teaching excellence.

**BDBA8130 Introduction to Business Theory:** Review of fundamental theories and research in the fields of strategic management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8140 Advanced Business Theory:** Review of micro- and meso-theories and research developed in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8150 Business Statistics and Data Analysis:** Development of basic skills to conduct quantitative and qualitative research in the chosen area of study within the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8200 Research Methods I:** Development and application of advanced research skills. Both advanced quantitative and qualitative research methods are discussed.

**BDBA8210 Current Topics Research Seminar:** Review of current topics in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems and development of research skills in the respective focus areas.

**BDBA8220 Professional Issues II:** Consideration of advanced topics in the conduct and application of scholarly research within both academic and industry settings.

**BDBA8230 Research Methods II:** Development and application of advanced research skills necessary for successfully defending a dissertation proposal, building on Research Methods I. Both advanced quantitative and qualitative research methods are discussed.

**BDBA8240 Focused Research Seminar:** Review of current topics identified by the students in the program to support the development of dissertation idea. Topics are covered in the fields of management, marketing, operations management and information systems.

**BDBA8350 Dissertation Proposal Development:** In-depth discussion of papers and development of research models and approaches in preparation of dissertation work. Collaboration with the intended dissertation chair is desired.

**BDBA8999 Doctoral Dissertation Research:** Each student will initiate and conduct an individual investigation culminating in the preparation and presentation of a doctoral dissertation.

### IV. Faculty

A. (For undergraduate and master’s programs) List the names, ranks and home department of faculty members who will be directly involved in the proposed program. The official
roster forms approved by SACS may be submitted. For master’s programs, state or attach the criteria that faculty must meet in order to be eligible to teach graduate level courses at your institution.

B. (For doctoral programs) List the names, ranks, and home department of each faculty member who will be directly involved in the proposed program. The official roster forms approved by SACS may be submitted. Provide complete information on each faculty member’s education, teaching and research experience, research funding, publications, and experience directing student research including the number of theses and dissertations directed.

Table 9 below highlights the Belk College faculty members who are interested in teaching in the proposed executive DBA program.
Table 9. Faculty Interested in Teaching in the Proposed Executive DBA Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (F, P*)</th>
<th>COURSES TAUGHT</th>
<th>ACADEMIC DEGREES &amp; COURSEWORK</th>
<th>OTHER QUALIFICATIONS &amp; COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Including Term, Course Number &amp; Title, Credit Hours (D, UN, UT, G)</td>
<td>Relevant to Courses Taught, Including Institution &amp; Major List specific graduate coursework, if needed</td>
<td>Related to Courses Taught</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie Amato (F)</td>
<td>Fall 2013 MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business, 3 (G)</td>
<td>PhD (Marketing), University of Alabama</td>
<td>30+ years of marketing teaching experience Author or co-author of 17 peer reviewed journal articles Served as dissertation chair for 5 doctoral candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Ames-Stuart (F)</td>
<td>Fall 2013 MKTG 3222, Marketing Analysis and Decision Making, 3 (UT) MBAD 6172, Marketing Research, 3 (G) Spring 2014 MKTG 3222, Marketing Analysis and Decision Making, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Marketing), Columbia University</td>
<td>10+ years of marketing and brand management corporate experience Author or co-author of 3 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denis Arnold (F)</td>
<td>MBAD 6192, Business Ethics and Corporate Responsibility, 3 (G)</td>
<td>PhD (Philosophy), University of Minnesota, Twin Cities</td>
<td>9 years of management teaching experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MGMT 3282, Managerial Ethics, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Author or co-author of 20 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce Beggs (F)</td>
<td>MGMT 3277, Entrepreneurship, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Business, Strategic Management Major), University of Tennessee at Knoxville</td>
<td>Nearly 25 years of management teaching experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Author or co-author of nearly 20 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Bodkin (F)</td>
<td>MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Marketing), Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University</td>
<td>Nearly 25 years of marketing teaching experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>Author or co-author of 25 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MKTG 3110, Marketing Concepts, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 3275, Global Marketing Strategy, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Courses Offered</td>
<td>Qualifications and Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Chen</td>
<td>Fall 2013: MGMT 3274, International Business Process and Problems, 3 (UT) Spring 2014: MBAD 6197, Managing the Multinational Enterprise, 3 (G)</td>
<td>PhD (Strategy and International Business), Simon Fraser University 3 years of management and international business teaching experience Author or co-author of 14 peer reviewed journal articles Co-founder and associate editor of* Transitional Corporations Review*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Cooper</td>
<td>Fall 2013: OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT) Spring 2014: OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Economics, Operations Research), North Carolina State University Nearly 30 years of operations management teaching experience Author or co-author of 10 peer reviewed journals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Davis</td>
<td>Fall 2013: MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT) Spring 2014: MGMT 3280, Business Policy, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Business Administration, Strategic Management), University of South Carolina 25+ years of management teaching experience Author or co-author of 30 peer reviewed journal articles, 3 books Served as dissertation chair for 5 doctoral candidates Associate editor of* International Business: Research, Teaching, and Practice*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Courses</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>Experience/Contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunil Erevelles</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>PhD (Business Administration/Marketing), The Ohio State University</td>
<td>20+ years of marketing teaching experience, Author or co-author of more than 20 peer reviewed journal articles, 6 books, Special issue editor for the Journal of Business Research and the Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MKTG 3000, Topics in Marketing:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marketing Change and Innovation, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janaki Gooty</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>PhD (Organizational Behavior, Management), Oklahoma State University</td>
<td>7 years of management teaching experience, Author or co-author of 13 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F)</td>
<td>MBAD 6161, Organizational</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leaderships and Behavior I, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MGMT 3140, Management and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jared Hansen (F)</td>
<td>MKTG 3000, Topics in Marketing: Mobile Marketing and Analytics, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>DSBA 6100, Big Data Analytics for Competitive Advantage, 3 (G)</td>
<td>PhD (Business Administration, Marketing), Texas Tech University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MKTG 3224, Brand Building and New Product Strategy, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business: Brand Building and New Product Innovation, 3, (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xuili He (F)</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>OPER 3206, Managing for Quality, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Supply Chain and Operations Management), University of Texas at Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ed Jernigan (F) | Fall 2013  
MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
MGMT 3140, Management and Organizational Behavior, 3 (UT) | PhD (Management), Memphis State University | Nearly 25 years of management teaching experience  
Author or co-author of nearly 20 peer reviewed journal articles |
|---|---|---|---|
| Monica Johar (F) | Fall 2013  
INFO 3236, Business Analytics, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6201, Business Intelligence and Analytics, 3 (G)  
Spring 2014  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
INFO 3231, Business Applications Development, 3 (UT) | PhD (Management Science, Information Systems)  
University of Texas at Dallas | Nearly 10 years of management information systems teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 6 peer reviewed journal articles  
Served as dissertation chair for 3 doctoral candidates |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Name</th>
<th>Term(s)</th>
<th>Courses Offered</th>
<th>Degrees Held/Professional Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Franz Kellermanns (F)</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>MBAD 6194, Global Strategic Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>PhD (Strategic Management), University of Connecticut, 10 years of management teaching experience, Nearly 10 years of consulting work, Author or co-author of more than 75 peer reviewed journal articles, 2 books, Served as dissertation chair for 15 doctoral candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>OPER 3201, Advanced Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Production/Operations Management), Kent State University, More than 20 years of operations management teaching experience, Author or co-author of nearly 60 peer reviewed journal articles, Served as dissertation chair for 3 doctoral candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPER 3204, Management of Service Operations, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moutaz Khouja (F)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Kohut (F)</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Management), Southern Illinois University at Carbondale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBAD 6100, Leadership, Ethics and Business Environment Seminar, 1 (G)</td>
<td>30+ years of management teaching experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBAD 6164, Executive Communication, 3 (G)</td>
<td>Author or co-author of more than 10 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBAD 6100, Leadership, Ethics and Business Environment Seminar, 1 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBAD 6165, Negotiation and Conflict Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ram Kumar (F)</th>
<th>Fall 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Information Systems and Management Science), University of Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFO 3234, Business Information Systems Analysis and Design, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>More than 20 years of management information systems teaching experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>Author or co-author of nearly 25 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBAD 6207, Business Project Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td>Served as dissertation chair for 7 doctoral candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Associate editor for <em>Decision Support Systems</em> and <em>Journal of Database Management</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sangkil Moon</td>
<td>MKTG 3228, Marketing Analytics, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 7090, Special Topics in Business: Consumer Analytics, 3 (G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PhD (Marketing), University of Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sungjune</td>
<td>INFO 3229, Business Data Communications, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park (F)</td>
<td>INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PhD (Management Information Systems), State University of New York at Buffalo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Steven Rogelberg (F) | Fall 2013  
OSCI 8001, Current Topics and Events in Organizational Science, 2 (G)  
OSCI 8477, Organizational Science Practicum, 1 (G)  
OSCI 8899, Organizational Science Readings and Research, 3 (G) | Spring 2014  
OSCI 8001, Current Topics and Events in Organizational Science, 1 (G)  
OSCI 8477, Organizational Science Practicum, 1 (G) | PhD (Industrial/Organizational Psychology), University of Connecticut | 20 years of organizational science teaching and consulting experience  
Author or co-author of 67 peer reviewed journal articles, 3 edited textbooks  
Editor of *Journal of Business and Psychology* and the *Talent Management Essentials* book series |
| Cem Saydam (F) | Fall 2013  
OPER 3203, Management Science, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
MBAD 6122, Decision Modeling and Analysis via Spreadsheets, 3 (G) | PhD (Engineering Management), Clemson University | 30 years of engineering and operations management teaching experiences  
Author or co-author of 30+ peer reviewed journal articles, 1 book  
Served as dissertation chair for 5 doctoral candidates  
Associate editor of *Information Technology and Management* |
| Antonis Stylianou (F) | Fall 2013  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
INFO 3233, Data and Information Management, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
INFO 3233, Business Database Systems, 3 (UT) | PhD (Management Information Systems), Kent State University  
30 years of management information systems teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 35+ peer reviewed journal articles  
Served as dissertation chair for 8 doctoral candidates  
Editor of *Data Base for Advances in Information Systems* |  
| Chandra Subramaniam (F) | Spring 2014  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
INFO 3240, Fundamentals of E-Business, 3 (UT)  
INFO 3401, Management Information Systems Internship, 3 (UT) | Ph.D. (Business Administration, Information Systems), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Nearly 10 years of management information systems teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 13 peer reviewed journal articles |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Experience and Achievements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linda Swayne</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>MKTG 3250, Marketing Strategy Consultancy, 3 (UT)</td>
<td>PhD (Marketing), University of North Texas</td>
<td>More than 30 years of marketing teaching experience&lt;br&gt;Author or co-author of 20+ peer reviewed journal articles, 13 books, edited 1 encyclopedia&lt;br&gt;Editor of <em>Case Research Journal</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>MBAD 6371, Marketing Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MKTG 3250, Marketing Strategy Consultancy, 3 (UT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MBAD 6270, Marketing Management, 3 (G)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin Webb</td>
<td></td>
<td>Newly hired as of Fall 2014</td>
<td>PhD (Strategic Management), Texas A&amp;M University</td>
<td>5 years of management and innovation teaching experience&lt;br&gt;Author or co-author of more than 10 peer reviewed journal articles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| David Woehr     | Fall 2013  | MBAD 6163, Human Resource Management, 3 (G)   | PhD (Industrial and Organizational Psychology), Georgia Institute of Technology | 25+ years of management teaching experience<br>Author or co-author of more than 60 peer reviewed journal articles, 1 book<br>Served as dissertation chair for 21 doctoral candidates
associated with $1.7M NSF grant<br><br><br>
| Lin Yang (F) | Fall 2013  
MKTG 3221, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
MKTG 3221, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6271, Consumer Behavior and Strategy, 3 (G) | PhD (Marketing), Duke University | Nearly 5 years of marketing teaching experience  
Author or co-author of 2 peer reviewed journal articles |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kelly Zellars (F) | Fall 2013  
MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6161, Organizational Leaderships and Behavior I, 3 (G)  
Spring 2014  
MGMT 3287, Managerial Leadership, 3 (UT)  
MBAD 6161, Organizational Leaderships and Behavior I, 3 (G) | PhD (Organizational Behavior), Florida State University | 15+ years of management teaching experience  
Author or co-author of nearly 20 peer reviewed journal articles |
| Kexin Zhao (F) | Fall 2013  
INFO 3130, Management Information Systems, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
MBAD 6211, Advanced Business Analytics, 3 (G) | PhD (Business Administration), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign | More than 5 years of management information systems teaching experience  
Author or co-author of more than 10 peer reviewed journal articles  
Served as dissertation chair for 2 doctoral candidates |
| Jing Zhou (F) | Fall 2013  
OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)  
OPER 3208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (UT)  
Spring 2014  
OPER 3100, Operations Management, 3 (UT)  
OPER 3208, Supply Chain Management, 3 (UT) | PhD (Operations Management), University of Texas at Dallas | More than 5 years of operations management teaching experience  
Author or co-author of nearly 10 peer reviewed journal articles |

*F, P: Full-time or Part-time; D, UN, UT, G: Developmental, Undergraduate Nontransferable, Undergraduate Transferable, Graduate
Belk College faculty members are committed to producing scholarly research. Table 10 below highlights the number of journal articles published by Belk College faculty members in the BISOM, Management, and Marketing departments in the last five years. During the past five years this data covers, the Belk College offered two executive programs in Hong Kong and Taiwan that were also taught on an overload basis. The College terminated these programs in anticipation of beginning a DBA program, enabling faculty to devote more time to other executive teaching opportunities, such as the proposed DBA program, while continuing to produce high quality scholarly research.

Table 10. Journal Articles Published by Belk College Faculty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Number of Articles Published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Information Systems and Operations Management</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Department of Marketing is the College’s smallest department. In 2013, the College hired one tenured professor and one tenure track assistant professor in Marketing. These professors have already contributed to the department’s scholarly research. The College is currently hiring two senior Accounting faculty members, one Management faculty member, and two Marketing faculty members, who will also contribute to the College’s scholarly research as well as possibly teach in the proposed executive DBA Program.

C. Estimate the need for new faculty for the proposed program over the first four years. If the teaching responsibilities for the proposed program will be absorbed in part or in whole by the present faculty, explain how this will be done without weakening existing programs.

No new faculty members are needed as courses will be taught as part of an overload. There will be no reduction in staffing of current programs.

D. Explain how the program will affect faculty activity, including course load, public service activity, and scholarly research.

We believe that the addition of the executive DBA will increase scholarly research produced by the faculty members of the College. We believe this will happen for a number of reasons. First we expect the executive DBA to generate positive net revenue. These funds will be used to support a variety of faculty activities, but especially research activities. Second, as high-level executives with the ability to direct company resources and policy are the target audience for the executive DBA, we anticipate that dissertations and projects completed by these students will lead to research synergies for faculty participating in the program. Research collaborations with executives participating in the DBA program could lead to new data sources and further research opportunities for faculty. Third, we believe that the executive DBA program will be a selling point for the involved departments when they recruit new faculty. This will allow the departments to recruit research-active faculty that otherwise might not have considered those departments.
The executive DBA will be taught on an overload basis, preventing conflicts between the executive DBA and other doctoral/graduate programs with respect to faculty teaching resources. Since teaching in the executive DBA program is a competing activity, however, we acknowledge that it has the potential to reduce faculty research productivity if not managed correctly. To avoid this, we note that at any specific point in time there will not be many faculty members teaching in the program – and as noted on the faculty roster we have a relatively large number of qualified faculty members from whom we can draw. Department Chairs, in consultation with the Dean’s Office and the DBA Program Director, will take into account faculty research productivity when approving faculty to teach in this program.

V. Library

A. Provide a statement as to the adequacy of present library holdings for the proposed program to support the instructional and research needs of this program.

A consultation on library holdings is provided in Appendix F.

B. State how the library will be improved to meet new program requirements for the next four years. The explanation should discuss the need for books, periodicals, reference material, primary source material, etc. What additional library support must be added to areas supporting the proposed program?

Presently there are no plans to increase library holdings specifically for this program. Expansion of relevant holdings will be sought as funds become available.

C. Discuss the use of other institutional libraries.

Holdings of other major libraries in the North Carolina system and beyond are accessible to faculty and students free of charge through online and interlibrary loan options.

VI. Facilities and Equipment

A. Describe facilities available for the proposed program.

Facilities at both the UNC Charlotte main campus in the Belk College of Business and at the UNC Charlotte Center City Building will be used for the DBA program. Each location provides ample classrooms and state of the art computer facilities. In addition, breakout rooms and labs will be available for student and faculty use.

B. Describe the effect of this new program on existing facilities and indicate whether they will be adequate, both at the commencement of the program and during the next decade.

The existing facilities and laboratories in the Belk College of Business as well as the classrooms and computer labs at the UNC Charlotte Center City Building will be adequate to support the new program. Furthermore, the new program will not negatively affect existing program space as classes for the DBA program will meet over long weekends.

C. Describe information technology and services available for the proposed program.

The Information and Technology Services (ITS) at UNC Charlotte, under the leadership of the Vice Chancellor and Chief Information Officer, is responsible for providing campus wide technology support and services for all of the colleges. The ITS systems and organization are designed to support the University’s goals and programs, including the proposed executive DBA program. A common architecture serves as an enabler for excellent and cost effective services. Specifically ITS:

- Promotes the use of information systems for enhancing teaching, learning, and research;
- Provides access to secure, quality, and timely information and online services;
• Provides excellent support for campus-wide systems and technologies;
• Evaluates and recommends new technologies as to their capability to promote the University's mission and goals; and
• Uses all campus information technology resources effectively to provide agreed on services and solutions.

A component of ITS, the Center for Teaching and Learning provides support for instructional technology. These combined capabilities will be adequate to support the anticipated information technology needs for the new DBA program.

D. Describe the effect of this new program on existing information technology and services and indicate whether they will be adequate, both at the commencement of the program and during the next decade.

Computers and related technology will be supported through the significant tuition increment the executive DBA program will generate, enabling adequate support for technology and services both at the commencement of the program and over the course of the next decade.

The DBA program will be internally funded for year one, and funded by the college-based tuition increment thereafter.

VII. Administration

Describe how the proposed program will be administered, giving the responsibilities of each department, division, school, or college. Explain any inter-departmental or inter-unit administrative plans. Include an organizational chart showing the "location" of the proposed new program.

The administrative structure of the planned executive DBA program is illustrated in Figure I. The program will be operated and centrally administered in the Belk College of Business. The program will be led by a Program Director. Each student will have a Doctoral Program Committee chaired by his/her doctoral research advisor who will liaise with the Business Administration Doctoral Committee. The organizational chart for the executive DBA is shown in Figure I below.

**Figure I. Organizational Chart for the Executive DBA Program.**
The following describes a proposed governance structure and processes of the DBA program:

- **Graduate School**: The Graduate School is responsible for setting policy regarding the operation of all graduate programs of the University of North Carolina at Charlotte. These policies cover minimum admission standards and performance requirements for successful completion of graduate degree programs. The executive DBA program will be subject to the rules and policies of the Graduate School. The Graduate School is responsible for collecting the material for applicants to the program and forwarding completed application packets to the DBA Program Director.

- **Dean of the Graduate School**: At UNC Charlotte, the Dean of the Graduate School is the administrative officer with primary responsibility for the supervision of graduate programs. The Dean is responsible for the executive and administrative affairs of the Graduate School in accordance with policies determined by the UNC Charlotte Graduate Council, the Graduate Faculty, and the Faculty Council. It is anticipated that the Dean of the Graduate School will communicate with the Dean of the Belk College on important programmatic issues. The Graduate Dean’s primary duties include the following:
  - Final admission of students;
  - Final appointment of dissertation and doctoral committees;
  - Approval of programs of study;
  - Admission of students to candidacy; and
  - Final approval of dissertations.

- **Dean of the Belk College of Business**: The Dean of the Belk College has the administrative responsibility for supervision of all departments and programs housed within the College. The Dean of the Belk College has primary administrative responsibility for the executive DBA program.

- **DBA Program Director**: The Program Director is appointed by the Dean of the Graduate School. The Program Director is a faculty member in the Belk College who oversees the curriculum and operations of the executive DBA program. Responsibilities for the Program Director will include:
  - Recommending operating budgets and supervising expenditures;
  - Chairing meetings of the DBA Doctoral Faculty;
  - Communicating assessment of the program and personnel to the chairs of participating departments and the Deans of Business and the Graduate School;
  - Overseeing recruitment efforts for the program;
  - Coordinating the scheduling of courses;
  - Assuring proper maintenance of graduate student records;
  - Scheduling dissertation defenses; and
  - Representing the program to external constituencies.

- **DBA Program Committee**: The Program Committee will be composed of five Graduate Faculty members from Belk College. The committee members are nominated by relevant department Chairs in the Belk College and approved by the Dean of the College. The DBA Program Director will have ex officio membership on the Program Committee and will serve as chairperson for the Committee. Membership on the Committee will be for a three-year, renewable term. The Program Committee works with the Program Director to set policy and curriculum, recommend students for admission to the program and candidates for the degree, and evaluate the program.
Belk College Graduate Council: The Belk College Graduate Council (BCGC) is composed of a representative from each Department in the Belk College. BCGC reviews and approves all course proposals and major curriculum changes to graduate programs in the BCOB. The Associate Dean for Graduate Programs serves as an ex officio member of the committee.

DBA Doctoral Faculty: The DBA Doctoral Faculty will be composed of those members of the Graduate Faculty at UNC Charlotte who will participate in the executive DBA program as dissertation advisors and instructors in component courses. It is expected that the credentials presented by a DBA program graduate faculty member will include a list of journal publications, externally funded research grants and prior experience in supervising master's theses and/or doctoral dissertations, as well as a research program relevant to the goals of the program. Appointment to the DBA Doctoral Faculty will be made by the Program Director and DBA Program Committee. Appointments will be for five-year terms, with reappointment made according to the guidelines established by the Business Administration Doctoral Committee.

DBA Research Advisor for each student: Each student will be assigned an interim advisor by the Program Director upon admission into the program. The interim Research Advisor, along with two other faculty members assigned by the Program Director, will comprise the Interim Committee. All faculty members on the Interim Committee must be members of the UNC Charlotte Graduate Faculty. The Interim Committee will advise the student on all matters related to his/her plan of study prior to the formation of the DBA Program Committee. The interim Research Advisor will most commonly be the faculty member with whom the student has expressed interest to work. The DBA Program Director will serve as the interim advisor until the DBA Dissertation Committee is formed. Prior to the formation of the student’s DBA Dissertation Committee and at the request of the student and the interim Research Advisor, a permanent adviser will be confirmed by the Program Director as the student’s Doctoral Research Advisor. This faculty member must be a member of the DBA Doctoral Faculty.

VIII. Accreditation and Licensure

A. Where appropriate, describe how all licensure or professional accreditation standards will be met, including required practica, internships, and supervised clinical experiences.

The Belk College of Business is accredited by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) International, the premier accrediting agency for degree programs in business administration and accounting. AACSB Accreditation must be maintained on a five-year cycle in which the College undergoes a rigorous internal review. The College must demonstrate its continued commitment to the 15 quality standards relating to strategic management and innovation, participants, learning and teaching, and academic and professional engagement, as well as a commitment to continuous improvement and achievement of learning goals in degree programs. The executive DBA will be included in future Belk College AACSB continuous improvement reviews.

The executive DBA does not include any required practica, internships, or supervised clinical experiences and therefore there is no impact on licensure or professional accreditation standards in this regard.

B. Indicate the names of all accrediting agencies normally concerned with programs similar to the one proposed. Describe plans to request professional accreditation.
UNC Charlotte is accredited by the Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) to award baccalaureate, master's, and doctoral degrees.

The Belk College of Business is accredited by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) and the executive DBA will be included in the College's accreditation scope.

C. If the new degree program meets the SACS definition for a substantive change, what campus actions need to be completed by what date in order to ensure that the substantive change is reported to SACS on time?

The Belk College of Business will draft a substantive change prospectus per SACS requirements. The draft will be submitted to the Office of Assessment and Accreditation, who will work with the Provost and Chancellors Office to submit the prospectus at least six months prior to the start of the executive DBA degree program.

D. If recipients of the proposed degree will require licensure to practice, explain how program curricula and title are aligned with requirements to “sit” for the licensure exam.

No licensure to practice is required.

IX. Supporting Fields

Discuss the number and quality of lower-level and cognate programs for supporting the proposed degree program. Are other subject-matter fields at the proposing institution necessary or valuable in support of the proposed program? Is there needed improvement or expansion of these fields? To what extent will such improvement or expansion be necessary for the proposed program?

None needed.

X. Additional Information

Include any additional information deemed pertinent to the review of this new degree program proposal.

XI. Budget

A. Complete and insert the Excel budget template provided showing incremental continuing and one-time costs required each year of the first four years of the program. Supplement the template with a budget narrative for each year.

The four year operating budget is presented in Appendix G.

It is estimated that in the first year, faculty and staff stipends, salaries, and fringe benefits will cost $322,845. Student supplies and materials are estimated to cost $20,000. Additional expenses including travel, communications, printing, advertising and recruiting, and classroom expenses as well as other one-time program start-up costs are expected to cost $77,155. There are no expected expenses related to graduate stipends or libraries. It is anticipated that the total costs for the first year of the DBA program will be $420,000.

It is estimated that in the second year, program expenses will double to $840,000. The increase in expenses includes additional faculty overload stipends and associated fringe benefits as more courses will be taught, as well as an increase in student supplies and materials, printing supplies, and classroom catering and expenses as more students are expected to enroll in the program. In addition we anticipate using funds generated by the program to hire two new faculty members into the College. These new faculty members
would support not only the DBA program but also other academic programs within the College.

In years three and four, program expenses will grow to $1,260,000. The increase in expenses from year two includes additional faculty overload stipends and higher fringe benefits as well as more student supplies and materials as the expected student enrollment continues to grow. Additionally, there will be a new expense for dissertations.

B. Based on the campus’ estimate of available existing resources or expected non-state financial resources that will support the proposed program (e.g., federal support, private sources, tuition revenue, etc), will the campus:

1. Seek enrollment increase funds or other additional state appropriations (both one-time and recurring) to implement and sustain the proposed program? If so, please elaborate.

   We are not seeking enrollment increase funds or additional state appropriations to implement and sustain the program.

2. Require differential tuition supplements or program-specific fees? If so, please elaborate.
   a. State the amount of tuition differential or program-specific fees that will be requested.

      The tuition differential would be $16,700 per semester (Fall and Spring) for a full-time graduate student.

   b. Describe specifically how the campus will spend the revenues generated.

      Revenue generated through the tuition differential will be used to enhance academic excellence in the program through funding for:
      - Faculty stipends
      - Student services
      - Attracting top caliber and diverse students
      - Technology enhancements and maintenance
      - Faculty development
      - Faculty DBA Program Director stipend
      - Other operating expenses

   c. Does the campus request the tuition differential or program-specific fees be approved by the Board of Governors prior to the next Tuition and Fee cycle?

      No. A request for tuition differential is currently being prepared for the next Tuition and Fee cycle. Appendix G includes a comprehensive budget plan that includes the proposed budget items that will be funded through the tuition increment. A study of national DBA programs conducted by Hanover Research (see Appendix C) provides additional justification for including $16,700 per semester increment for students. This tuition is in line with other executive doctoral programs.

C. If enrollment increase funding, differential tuition, or other state appropriations noted in the budget templates are not forthcoming, can the program still be implemented and sustained and, if so, how will that be accomplished? Please elaborate and provide documentation of campus commitments where appropriate.
No, the executive DBA program with the proposed enrollment cannot be implemented and sustained without enrollment increase funding.

XII. Evaluations Plans

All new degree program proposals must include an evaluation plan which includes:

A. Criteria to be used to evaluate the quality and effectiveness of the program, including academic program student learning outcomes.

Table 11 below highlights the proposed Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan for the executive DBA program.

**Table 11. Proposed Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Learning Outcome</th>
<th>Effectiveness Measures</th>
<th>Introduction to Business Research Methods</th>
<th>Organizational Theories and Systems</th>
<th>Professional Issues I</th>
<th>Dissertation and Defense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students will demonstrate mastery of interdisciplinary business theory and advanced research methodologies.</td>
<td>Students will demonstrate knowledge of business research methods.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate knowledge of organizational theory and systems.</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate knowledge of professional issues.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to conduct independent scholarly research in a business related field.</td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to write a relevant literature review.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to use appropriate methodology.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to appropriately analyze results.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will demonstrate the ability to present scholarly research findings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Measures (metrics) to be used to evaluate the program (include enrollments, number of graduates, and student success).
The program is expected to reach a steady-state enrollment of about 45-60 students within four years. Students are expected to complete the program in three years. We expect to graduate about 15-20 students per year. The program will be deemed successful if graduates receive competitive promotions or additional responsibilities with their current company, if they receive competitive job offers from other companies, or if graduates are recruited to academia. The Student Learning Outcomes Assessment plan will be used to evaluate student learning.

C. The plan and schedule to evaluate the proposed new degree program prior to the completion of its fourth year of operation.

Annual evaluations prior to the fourth year will consist of a review of achievements related to student recruitment, admissions, and retention; and in student course evaluations and satisfaction. After two years, statistics regarding time to graduation also will be collected annually. Periodic surveys of alumni will complement the data that will be collected annually. The Advisory Board and DBA Program Committee will asked for feedback on a regular basis, such as innovations in the field, which may signal a need to examine and potentially revise the curriculum.

The Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan will be used to evaluate student learning.

XIII. Reporting Requirements

Institutions will be expected to report on new program productivity as a part of the biennial low productivity program review process.

XIV. Attachments

Attach the final approved Appendix A as the first attachment following this document.

This proposal to establish a new degree program has been reviewed and approved by the appropriate campus committees and authorities.

Chancellor: [Signature] Date: 11/19/14
Appendix: Letters of Program Support

Richard Maltsbarger
Senior Vice President, Strategy
Lowe’s Companies, Inc.

Richard Maltsbarger has served as senior vice president of strategy for Lowe’s since January 2011, having previously served as vice president of strategic planning, vice president of research and director of customer analytics since joining the company in 2004.

In his role, Mr. Maltsbarger is responsible for leading three functions with Lowe’s including guiding corporate strategy planning and alignment processes; managing the strategic realization, delivery and portfolio management office for six key corporate initiatives and leading the business process management and improvement organization. Through these three roles, he is accountable for helping to guide the connection between corporate strategy, business planning, initiative delivery and ongoing business process design and improvement to realize Lowe’s future.

Lowe’s is a FORTUNE® 50 company that serves approximately 15 million customers a week at more than 1,745 home improvement stores in the United States, Canada and Mexico. Lowe’s stores stock 40,000 products in 16 product categories ranging from appliances to tools, to paint, lumber and nursery products. Lowe’s has more than 250,000 items available online at Lowes.com. Lowe’s is the second-largest home improvement chain, after Home Depot, and the second-largest U.S. home appliance retailer after Sears.
Dr. Steve Ott  
Dean  
Belk College of Business Administration  
9201 University City Boulevard  
Charlotte, NC 28223

Dear Dean Ott:

Please allow me to offer my support and recommendation for our college moving forward with the proposed Executive Doctorate in Business Administration. I offer support from two different angles – one personally and one professionally.

On the personal angle, I specifically would have qualified for the type of student you are looking to engage. I can tell you about the great disappointment I have in the opportunity to advance my education while maintaining my role as a senior executive in a Fortune 50 company – I simply cannot find a good option. For background, I completed my MBA program within the part-time program at Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. I can personally vouch for the power of being able to learn the academic theory one evening and then immediately apply it the next morning. I fundamentally believe this immediate theory to practice connection is one of the most important foundations upon which the success of my career to date was built. I have explored how to replicate that experience within a doctorate program and, despite my interest and research into the matter, cannot find a suitable offering for location or rigor. This lack of market-proven offerings inhibits my desire to transition to academia later in life.

From a professional angle, I lead a dynamic, challenging department that includes three functions, all of which could benefit from having graduates of this program. Specifically,

- In Strategic Planning, our group could use the rich systems thinking and challenging doctrine of academic research to push our assumptions and lead us to better insights and plans for how to grow and improve Lowe’s fortunes and meaning to our stakeholders.
- In Strategic Realization, we could leverage skills of these graduates in understanding the latest in academic rigor on organizational behavior and economics in order to guide the change management required to realize the benefits in over $2 billion of capital investments.
- In Business Process Management, we need skilled leaders who understand the dynamics of business process design, operations research and organizational behavior in order to build highly effective, replicable service-based processes.

I believe the vision you have set forth for this program can grow to become an answer to the challenges I see before our organization. I also see specific opportunity in the lack of market-proven options for professionals who wish to deeply improve their own rigor and skills as we lead increasingly complex organizations in the future.

Thank you for your time and consideration and please let me know if there are any further steps I can provide to assist in the success of this program.

Senior Vice President – Strategy

1000 Lowe's Blvd., Mooresville, NC 28117
Michael Mulligan  
President  
General Dynamics Armaments and Technical Products

Mike Mulligan was appointed President of General Dynamics Armament and Technical Products in November 2006. In December 2006, Mr. Mulligan was elected a Vice President of the General Dynamics Corporation. In this capacity, Mike leads a diverse, 2,300 person organization providing gun systems, reactive armor, rockets and warheads, chemical and biological detection, countermeasure systems and advanced composites that Armament and Technical Products provides for its customers. Mike joined Armament and Technical Products in July 2006 as the Vice President of Operations responsible for all manufacturing and supply chain functions for the company.

General Dynamics Armament and Technical Products has a broad portfolio that offers high-performance weapon and armament systems, survivability systems for combat vehicles, composite products for aircraft and ground systems, and heavy-duty off-road axle and suspension systems to the U.S. military, allied forces and select commercial customers.

A recognized industry leader in quality performance, the Office of Naval Research’s Best Manufacturing Practices Program identified 19 GDATP company business practices as "Best Practices" industry-wide. GDATP is ISO 9001:2000 registered, and the company earned Software Engineering Institute Capability Maturity Model Level 3 certification for our software process capability. GDATP continues to receive many prestigious aerospace supplier, Department of Defense, industry and environmental awards.
March 26, 2012

Dr. Steve Ott
Dean
Belk College of Business Administration
9201 University City Boulevard
Charlotte, NC 28223

Dear Dean Ott:

I am pleased to write a letter supporting the proposed Executive Doctorate in Business Administration (EDBA). In a complex, global business environment executives need skills that go beyond the MBA or executive MBA. Executives today are looking for research methodology and analytics skills that help them integrate cutting edge business theory and practice to drive decision-making. Unfortunately, most senior executives are unable to leave their careers to pursue a traditional doctorate. The proposed EDBA, offered in an executive format, provides a program focused on addressing practical, emerging business issues, offered in a format that works for “executive scholars”.

In our business I am interested in building a capability in our strategic planning organization which does not exist today which I am extremely excited about the EDBA as a tool to achieve our needs. Our challenge is to transition professionals with extensive customer and market knowledge into senior roles with the responsibility to establish the strategic direction of the business over the next decade or more. MBA’s may have the background in business theory but lack the detailed market knowledge coupled with research knowledge necessary to dive deep and rigorously into specific topic fundamental to the future course of the business. I endorse the EDBA because it appears to afford businesses such as mine the opportunity to enhance the skill set of senior level personnel (in a time efficient manner) to support them undertaking long term projects of strategic importance to the business and industry.

The Belk College has the unique opportunity to leverage the EDBA to form strong partnerships with the business community. Bringing “executive scholars” into the University will facilitate collaborations among university, business and community leaders. Engaging professionals in applied academic research enhances the practice of business in the region. I see this as a win-win situation for the Belk College and for the business community.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance in making this a successful degree program.

Sincerely,

Michael Mulligan
President
Manuel Zapata
President
Zapata Engineering

Manuel Zapata, President of Zapata Engineering, has proven expertise in the field of engineering management with more than 30 years of successful experience applying his engineering and managerial skills. Under his leadership, the firm has earned a reputation for providing innovative solutions to engineering challenges. Mr. Zapata has instilled his dynamic entrepreneurial spirit in the culture of the firm. He has developed a business committed to making trust, integrity, and quality a cornerstone of its operations. In addition to building a successful engineering firm, Mr. Zapata is also recognized as a civic leader. As Chairman of the Sister Cities Committee, he revitalized the Committee by bringing the support and participation of the business community to the committee’s activities. At the request of the North Carolina Secretary of Commerce, Mr. Zapata organized and led a delegation from the North Carolina Ports Authority in a recruiting mission to South America. As a result of his civic work on behalf of the community, Mr. Zapata was featured by The Charlotte Observer as an industry recruiter and a Goodwill Ambassador for the City of Charlotte. Mr. Zapata studied civil engineering in his native Chile and earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering and his Master's degree in Business Administration from the University of North Carolina at Charlotte. He is a licensed Professional Engineer in North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Virginia, West Virginia, Mississippi, Alabama, Louisiana, and Georgia.

Zapata Incorporated (ZAPATA) is a full service engineering and field services firm that provides energy engineering and management, architecture and engineering construction management, environmental engineering and remediation, geophysics, mining, munitions response services, and water resources engineering.
February 29, 2012

Dr. Steve Ott, Dean
Belk College of Business Administration
9201 University City Boulevard
Charlotte, NC 28223

Dear Dean Ott:

I am pleased to write this letter in support of the proposed Executive Doctorate in Business Administration (EDBA). This program will fill a great need in our business community by providing an opportunity to develop senior managers beyond the present academic opportunities now available locally.

Most businesses presently operate in a global and very complex environment facing competition from all corners of the planet. To be successful in this new environment, executives need additional tools beyond those provided by the MBA or executive MBA. Executives today need a solid grounding in research methodology and analytics skills to help them integrate cutting edge business theory and practice into their decision making process. Unfortunately, most senior executives are unable to leave their careers to pursue a traditional doctorate. The proposed EDBA, offered in an executive format, provides a program focused on addressing practical, emerging business issues, offered in a format that works for “executive scholars”.

This program is of particular interest to me personally, since I cannot leave my business to go to another city to get this level of education. However, my case is not unique since Charlotte has a very large pool of executives in the banking, insurance, defense, and energy industries that will benefit by acquiring additional formal education at the doctoral level. We are the second largest financial center in the country, and we need this program.

The Belk College has a unique opportunity to leverage the EDBA and form strong partnerships with the business community. The Belk College, since its creation, has had a very strong record of bringing together the academic and business worlds for the benefit of the community and the University at large. This partnership will be strengthened by the EDBA program and will generate new research opportunities for academicians and improve the competitive skills of those in the business arena.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance in making this a successful degree program.

With kindest regards,

[Signature]

Manuel L. Zapata, P.E.
President

6302 Fairview Road • Suite 600 • Charlotte NC 28210
888.529.7243 • www.zapatainc.com
APPENDIX B

Support Letters from Senior Executives
Dr. Steve Ott
Dean
Belk College of Business Administration
9201 University City Boulevard
Charlotte, NC 28223

Dear Dean Ott:

Please allow me to offer my support and recommendation for our college moving forward with the proposed Executive Doctorate in Business Administration. I offer support from two different angles – one personally and one professionally.

On the personal angle, I specifically would have qualified for the type of student you are looking to engage. I can tell you about the great disappointment I have in the opportunity to advance my education while maintaining my role as a senior executive in a Fortune 50 company – I simply cannot find a good option. For background, I completed my MBA program within the part-time program at Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. I can personally vouch for the power of being able to learn the academic theory one evening and then immediately work to apply it the next morning. I fundamentally believe this immediate theory to practice connection is one of the most important foundations upon which the success of my career to date was built. I have explored how to replicate that experience within a doctorate program and, despite my interest and research into the matter, cannot find a suitable offering for location or for rigor. This lack of market-proven offerings inhibits my desire to transition to academia later in life.

From a professional angle, I lead a dynamic, challenging department that includes three functions, all of which could benefit from having graduates of this program. Specifically,

- In Strategic Planning, our group could use the rich systems thinking and challenging doctrine of academic research to push our assumptions and lead us to better insights and plans for how to grow and improve Lowe’s fortunes and meaning to our stakeholders
- In Strategic Realization, we could leverage skills of these graduates in understanding the latest in academic rigor on organizational behavior and economics in order to guide the change management required to realize the benefits in over $2 billion of capital investments
- In Business Process Management, we need skilled leaders who understand the dynamics of business process design, operations research and organizational behavior in order to build highly effective, replicable service-based processes

I believe the vision you have set forth for this program can grow to become an answer to the challenges I see before our organization. I also see specific opportunity in the lack of market-proven options for professionals who wish to deeply improve their own rigor and skills as we lead increasingly complex organizations in the future.

Thank you for your time and consideration and please let me know if there are any further steps I can provide to assist in the success of this program.

Senior Vice President – Strategy

1000 Lowe’s Blvd., Mooresville, NC 28117
March 26, 2012

Dr. Steve Ott
Dean
Belk College of Business Administration
9201 University City Boulevard
Charlotte, NC 28223

Dear Dean Ott:

I am pleased to write a letter supporting the proposed Executive Doctorate in Business Administration (EDBA). In a complex, global business environment executives need skills that go beyond the MBA or executive MBA. Executives today are looking for research methodology and analytics skills that help them integrate cutting edge business theory and practice to drive decision-making. Unfortunately, most senior executives are unable to leave their careers to pursue a traditional doctorate. The proposed EDBA, offered in an executive format, provides a program focused on addressing practical, emerging business issues, offered in a format that works for “executive scholars”.

In our business I am interested in building a capability in our strategic planning organization which does not exist today which I am extremely excited about the EDBA as a tool to achieve our needs. Our challenge is to transition professionals with extensive customer and market knowledge into senior roles with the responsibility to establish the strategic direction of the business over the next decade or more. MBA’s may have the background in business theory but lack the detailed market knowledge coupled with research knowledge necessary to dive deep and rigorously into specific topic fundamental to the future course of the business. I endorse the EDBA because it appears to afford businesses such as mine the opportunity to enhance the skill set of senior level personnel (in a time efficient manner) to support them undertaking long term projects of strategic importance to the business and industry.

The Belk College has the unique opportunity to leverage the EDBA to form strong partnerships with the business community. Bringing “executive scholars” into the University will facilitate collaborations among university, business and community leaders. Engaging professionals in applied academic research enhances the practice of business in the region. I see this as a win-win situation for the Belk College and for the business community.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance in making this a successful degree program.

Sincerely,

Michael Mulligan
President

Four LakePointe Plaza
2118 Water Ridge Parkway
Charlotte, NC 28217-4536
Tel: 704 714 8001
Fax: 704 714 8002
mmulligan@gdatp.com
February 29, 2012

Dr. Steve Ott, Dean
Belk College of Business Administration
9201 University City Boulevard
Charlotte, NC 28223

Dear Dean Ott:

I am pleased to write this letter in support of the proposed Executive Doctorate in Business Administration (EDBA). This program will fill a great need in our business community by providing an opportunity to develop senior managers beyond the present academic opportunities now available locally.

Most businesses presently operate in a global and very complex environment facing competition from all corners of the planet. To be successful in this new environment, executives need additional tools beyond those provided by the MBA or executive MBA. Executives today need a solid grounding in research methodology and analytics skills to help them integrate cutting edge business theory and practice into their decision making process. Unfortunately, most senior executives are unable to leave their careers to pursue a traditional doctorate. The proposed EDBA, offered in an executive format, provides a program focused on addressing practical, emerging business issues, offered in a format that works for “executive scholars”.

This program is of particular interest to me personally, since I cannot leave my business to go to another city to get this level of education. However, my case is not unique since Charlotte has a very large pool of executives in the banking, insurance, defense, and energy industries that will benefit by acquiring additional formal education at the doctoral level. We are the second largest financial center in the country, and we need this program.

The Belk College has a unique opportunity to leverage the EDBA and form strong partnerships with the business community. The Belk College, since its creation, has had a very strong record of bringing together the academic and business worlds for the benefit of the community and the University at large. This partnership will be strengthened by the EDBA program and will generate new research opportunities for academicians and improve the competitive skills of those us in the business arena.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance in making this a successful degree program.

With kindest regards,

Manuel L. Zapata, P.E.
President
APPENDIX C

Hanover Research Report
In the following report, Hanover Research analyzes the market for an executive doctorate of business administration (EDBA) at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte. This report examines potential student demand for an EDBA, presents regional and national employment projections for occupations related to business administration, and profiles EDBA programs offered domestically and internationally.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND KEY FINDINGS

INTRODUCTION

In an effort to assist the University of North Carolina at Charlotte as it considers the development of an executive doctorate in business administration (EDBA), this report considers academic and labor market trends related to doctoral business education. First, this report examines available degree completions data to estimate student demand for business degrees on the doctoral level and for the EDBA in particular. Second, this report examines labor market demand to assess the extent to which the labor market may require graduates with more advanced business degrees. Finally, this report analyzes executive doctoral programs operated by seven American institutions and 23 international institutions.

KEY FINDINGS

- **A growing number of American EDBA programs attract highly-qualified students from around the country and world.** The residency instructional format allows students to enroll in programs outside of their local regions. An examination of enrollment data published by four American institutions indicates that, on average, students enrolled in these EDBA programs travel more than 400 miles to complete program requirements.

- **Labor market trends indicate mixed levels of growth for occupations most closely associated with business administration.** Slow growth for some occupations, including chief executives and general managers, may indicate that workers will seek new opportunities to demonstrate their qualifications. In other cases, occupations with significant proportions of employees with master’s level degrees may seek and reward applicants with more significant levels of education. However, because successful candidates for admission to EDBA programs typically already possess a considerable level of professional success, employment projections may prove less relevant to degree-seekers than potential for academic exploration.

- **Existing executive DBA and Ph.D. programs vary significantly in terms of time commitment and total cost.** Total tuition for American EDBA programs ranges from $63,270 at Pace University to $150,000 at Case Western Reserve University. Most American programs last approximately three years, while the average time to completion for international programs ranges from 1.5 to four years. In terms of curriculum, American and international programs share many commonalities, including the residency instructional format, opportunities for online collaboration, program sequences that include methodological and content-based courses, and opportunities for support throughout the dissertation process.
SECTION I: STUDENT DEMAND

This section examines the viability of an executive Doctor of Business Administration (EDBA) at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte through an analysis of total doctoral degrees awarded in business administration nationally and within institutions with executive or traditional DBA programs.

METHODODOLOGY

To assess student demand, this report partially relies upon degree completions reported through the National Center for Education Statistics’ (NCES) Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS). NCES uses a taxonomic system of numeric codes to classify higher education academic programs, known as the Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP). Virtually all higher education institutions nationwide submit degree completions data, classified by CIP code and academic award level, to the NCES Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS). All completions data analyzed in this report were drawn from IPEDS.¹

IPEDS data, while comprehensive and useful on a broad level, have limitations. First, institutions are able to classify their degrees as they see fit, and although program descriptions for each CIP code exist, there is no single standard by which degree programs are judged. Thus, individual institutions may classify equivalent degree programs under different CIP codes. Second, the IPEDS data set distinguishes neither the DBA from the Ph.D. nor executive programs from programs with more traditional forms of instructional delivery. Thus, Hanover cannot precisely establish the number of students that have earned EDBAs or any increase in the rate at which students have earned these degrees.

To assess potential demand for executive DBA programs, this report separately examines three sets of completions data:

- Total doctoral and master’s-level degree completions in business administration;
- Total doctoral degree completions for institutions that offer DBA programs; and
- Self-reported executive DBA completions published on four institutional websites.

For the purpose of this analysis, Hanover identifies all graduate-level program completions for business as classified under Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) Code 52.02 – “Business Administration, Management, and Operations.” CIP 52.02 includes instructional content defined by an additional 14 six-digit CIP codes defined in Figure 1.1.²

### Figure 1.1: Six-Digit Business Administration, Management, and Operations CIP Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIP CODE</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52.0201</td>
<td>Business Administration and Management, General</td>
<td>A program that generally prepares individuals to plan, organize, direct, and control the functions and processes of a firm or organization. Includes instruction in management theory, human resources management and behavior, accounting and other quantitative methods, purchasing and logistics, organization and production, marketing, and business decision-making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0202</td>
<td>Purchasing, Procurement / Acquisitions and Contracts Management</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to manage and administer the processes by which a firm or organization contracts for goods and services to support its operations, as well as contracts it to sell to other firms or organizations. Includes instruction in contract law, negotiations, buying procedures, government contracting, cost and price analysis, vendor relations, contract administration, auditing and inspection, relations with other firm departments, and applications to special areas such as high-technology systems, international purchasing, and construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0203</td>
<td>Logistics, Materials, and Supply Chain Management</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to manage and coordinate all logistical functions in an enterprise, ranging from acquisitions to receiving and handling, through internal allocation of resources to operations units, to the handling and delivery of output. Includes instruction in acquisitions and purchasing, inventory control, storage and handling, just-in-time manufacturing, logistics planning, shipping and delivery management, transportation, quality control, resource estimation and allocation, and budgeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0204</td>
<td>Office Management and Supervision</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to supervise and manage the operations and personnel of business offices and management-level divisions. Includes instruction in employee supervision, management, and labor relations; budgeting; scheduling and coordination; office systems operation and maintenance; office records management, organization, and security; office facilities design and space management; preparation and evaluation of business management data; and public relations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0205</td>
<td>Operations Management and Supervision</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to manage and direct the physical and/or technical functions of a firm or organization, particularly those relating to development, production, and manufacturing. Includes instruction in principles of general management, manufacturing and production systems, plant management, equipment maintenance management, production control, industrial labor relations and skilled trades supervision, strategic manufacturing policy, systems analysis, productivity analysis and cost control, and materials planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0206</td>
<td>Non-Profit / Public / Organizational Management</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to manage the business affairs of non-profit corporations, including foundations, educational institutions, associations, and other such organizations, and public agencies and governmental operations. Includes instruction in business management, principles of public administration, principles of accounting and financial management, human resources management, taxation of non-profit organizations, and business law as applied to non-profit organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP Code</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0207</td>
<td>Customer Service Management</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to supervise and monitor customer service performance and manage frontline customer support services, call centers/help desks, and customer relations. Includes instruction in customer behavior, specialized information technology and systems management, developing and using customer service databases, user surveys and other feedback mechanisms, strategic and performance planning and analysis, operations management, personnel supervision, and communications and marketing skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0208</td>
<td>E-Commerce / Electronic Commerce</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to plan, manage, supervise, and market electronic business operations, products, and services provided online via the Internet. Includes instruction in business administration, information technology, information resources management, web design, computer and Internet law and policy, computer privacy and security, e-trading, insurance, electronic marketing, investment capital planning, enterprise operations, personnel supervision, contracting, and product and service networking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0209</td>
<td>Transportation / Mobility Management</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to plan, administer, and coordinate physical transportation operations, networks, and systems. Includes instruction in transportation systems and technologies; traffic logistics and engineering; multi- and intermodal transportation systems; regional integration; facilities design and construction; transportation planning and finance; demand analysis and forecasting; carrier management; behavioral issues; transportation policy and law; intelligent systems; and applications to aviation, maritime, rail, and highway facilities and systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0210</td>
<td>Research and Development Management</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to manage projects, programs and organizations involving basic and applied research, or specialized technology applications, in the public or private sectors. Includes instruction in all aspects of business management applied to the leadership and control of scientific, clinical, engineering and social scientific enterprises; the management of related operational, security and logistical issues; and technical competence in one or more research disciplines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0211</td>
<td>Project Management</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to apply quantitative and qualitative knowledge, skills, tools, and techniques to manage projects in a wide range of fields and occupations. Includes instruction in project planning, risk management, cost and time management, contracts and procurement, accounting, statistics, decision making, and human resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0212</td>
<td>Retail Management</td>
<td>A program that prepares individuals to manage the operations of a wide variety of retail businesses, including department stores; independent and chain stores; fashion, food and beverage, and pharmaceutical establishments; and online retail businesses. Includes instruction in retail management, merchandise management, customer service management, marketing, organizational behavior, accounting, finance, business law, and personnel management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CIP Code | Title | Description
--- | --- | ---
52.0213 | Organizational Management | A program that focuses on leadership skills that can be applied to a business, government, non-profit, or educational setting. Includes instruction in organizational planning, dynamics of leadership, finance, team building, conflict resolution and mediation, communication and other management skills.
52.0299 | Business Administration, Management, and Operations, Other | Any instructional program in business and administration not listed above.

Source: IPEDS.

**Doctoral and Master’s-Level Degree Completions**

In recent years, many leaders in business education have expressed concern that the growing rate at which business schools have begun to award MBAs will ultimately devalue the degree, thus preventing employers from accurately distinguishing among job applicants and driving down average salaries.\(^3\) To assess the theory of MBA inflation, Hanover examined completions for master’s- and doctoral-level degrees in business administration, management, and operations in comparison with the total number of master’s-level and doctoral degree completions. To assess the extent to which trends in growth reflect more recent shifts, rather than longstanding patterns, Hanover assessed data for the 2007-2012 and 2002-2007 academic years separately.

Figure 1.2 summarizes completions data between 2002 and 2012 as well as year-to-year trends. Year-to-year trends in completions are analyzed in terms of Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR), Average Annual Change (AAC), and Standard Deviation (STDEV). CAGR reflects the percentage growth that would occur each year if one assumed the same change occurred yearly between the first year and the final year. This measure offers an impression of a theoretical, steady growth rate by ignoring data presented during middle years. By contrast, AAC is determined by calculating the average numerical year-to-year difference, allowing for a better gauge of annual fluctuations. Standard deviation highlights the variation or “dispersion” that exists from the AAC. It provides a metric to understand the variance between the AAC and the actual year-to-year change. By using all three measures, Hanover aims to measure not only the magnitude of completions growth, but also the consistency of this growth over time.

---


Between 2002 and 2007, the compound annual growth rate in master’s-level degrees in business administration, management, and operations grew at 4.3 percent, a rate only marginally more than that of master’s-level degrees awarded in all disciplines. Between 2007 and 2012, however, the compound annual growth rate in master’s-level business degrees grew to 6 percent, while the growth rate of master’s-level degrees awarded grew to 4.9 percent. This set of completions data supports assertions that the growth in MBA completions has been comparatively rapid and that this shift has been relatively recent.

On the doctoral level, the number of business administration, management, and operations degrees awarded each year has remained small, totaling fewer than 2,000 degrees per year. However, the rate of growth in the number of business doctorates awarded each year has consistently outpaced growth in the total number doctoral degrees awarded, potentially indicating rapid growth in student demand for business programs on the doctoral level.

**DOCTORAL COMPLETIONS FOR INSTITUTIONS WITH DBA PROGRAMS**

Figure 1.3 lists all institutions identified by Hanover as offering a Doctorate of Business Administration, Doctor of Management, or executive Ph.D. in business administration. As a comprehensive list of such degrees does not exist, Hanover used organization websites, accreditation websites, news reports, and search engines to compile the list referenced for this report. Not all programs are styled “Doctorate of Business Administration,” but all are similar in design and purpose.
In total, Hanover identified seven institutions that offer an executive DBA or Ph.D. in business administration and 19 institutions that offer a DBA or similar degree in a more traditional format. Figure 1.3 lists doctoral completions between 2007 and 2012, but does not list year-to-year trends, as these data for programs that report so few completions may be misleading. Not all programs report degree completions for every year from 2007-2008 through 2011-2012, which may indicate that a program has recently launched or has classified degree completions using an alternative CIP code. Note that many institutions in Figure 1.3 offer more than one doctoral degree in business administration, and, therefore, this set of completions data likely reflects completions across multiple doctoral degrees.

Overall, six of the eight institutions included in Figure 1.3 that report degree completions from each year between 2007-2008 and 2011-2012 report awarding more doctoral degrees in the 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 academic years than in the 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 academic years.

### Figure 1.3: Doctoral Completions for Identified DBA Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>DEGREE TITLE</th>
<th>TOTAL DOCTORAL COMPLETIONS</th>
<th>CIP 52.02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07-08</td>
<td>08-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutions With EDBA Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Western Reserve University</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Doctor of Management</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennesaw State University</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma State University-Main Campus</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>PhD in Business for Executives</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple University</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Executive Doctorate in Business Administration</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia State University</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Executive Doctorate in Business</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pace University-New York</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Doctor of Professional Service</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollins College</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Executive Doctorate in Business Administration</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Identified Institutions with DBA Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argosy University-Sarasota</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

5 "DBA Program." Kennesaw State University. http://coles.kennesaw.edu/dba/
6 "Ph.D. In Business for Executives." Oklahoma State University. http://phdexec.okstate.edu/
8 "Executive Doctorate." Georgia State University. http://robinson.gsu.edu/execdoctorate/index.html
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>DEGREE TITLE</th>
<th>TOTAL DOCTORAL COMPLETIONS CIP 52.02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baker College Center for Graduate Studies</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Doctorate of Business Administration (12)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: 4 11-12: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland State University</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (13)</td>
<td>07-08: 3 08-09: 1 09-10: 8 10-11: 2 11-12: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Southern University</td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (14)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 11-12: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Fox University</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (15)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: 2 10-11: 1 11-12: 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globe University Minnesota School of Business</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (16)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 11-12: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gate University-San Francisco</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (17)</td>
<td>07-08: 5 08-09: 14 09-10: 6 10-11: 2 11-12: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (18)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 11-12: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International School of Management</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (19)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 11-12: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville University</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (20)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 11-12: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Tech University</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (21)</td>
<td>07-08: 4 08-09: 9 09-10: 6 10-11: 3 11-12: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Southeastern University</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (22)</td>
<td>07-08: 45 08-09: 32 09-10: 29 10-11: 16 11-12: 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Leo University</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration (23)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 11-12: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Maryland-University College</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Doctor of Management (24)</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 11-12: -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13 “Doctor of Business Administration.” Argosy University. [http://online.argosy.edu/college/business/dba/](http://online.argosy.edu/college/business/dba/)
23 “Doctor of Business Administration.” Louisiana Tech University. [http://www.business.latech.edu/graduate/dba.htm](http://www.business.latech.edu/graduate/dba.htm)
25 “D.B.A.” Saint Leo University. [http://www.saintleo.edu/academics/graduate/business/dba.aspx](http://www.saintleo.edu/academics/graduate/business/dba.aspx)
26 “Doctor of Management.” University of Maryland-University College. [http://www.umuc.edu/academic-programs/doctor-of-management.cfm](http://www.umuc.edu/academic-programs/doctor-of-management.cfm)
### Table 1.1: Five American Institutions Offering Executive Doctoral Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Degree Title</th>
<th>TOTAL DOCTORAL COMPLETIONS CIP 52.02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Northern Virginia</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration²⁵</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 10-11: - 11-12: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Phoenix-Online Campus</td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration²⁶</td>
<td>07-08: 53 08-09: 249 09-10: 257 10-11: 241 11-12: 191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of South Alabama</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration²⁷</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 10-11: - 11-12: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsh College of Accountancy and Business Administration</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Doctor of Management²⁸</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 10-11: - 11-12: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington University</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>Doctor of Business Administration²⁹</td>
<td>07-08: - 08-09: - 09-10: - 10-11: - 11-12: 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS.

### Self-Reported Student Enrollment Data

Among the seven American institutions that offer executive DBAs or Ph.D.’s, four publish profiles of current students and alumni that list each current or former student’s city of residence. Using data published from these profiles, Hanover determined that many students that enroll in executive doctoral programs travel long distances for the opportunity to earn the executive doctorate. The residency instructional format used by nearly all executive doctoral programs reviewed for this report supports institutional efforts to enroll students from many different regions.

The extent to which students are willing to travel to complete the program requirements illustrates the potential value of developing these programs in a greater number of regions. Because so few programs exist, many business schools could be losing local talent to institutions that, traditionally, may not have been considered competitors. Figure 1.4 summarizes the reported residencies of current and former DBA students from Case Western Reserve University, Oklahoma State University, Kennesaw University, and Georgia State University. The data contained in Figure 1.4 represent the total values across all cohorts for which institutions have published residency information.

---

### Figure 1.4: Student Enrollment Patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Cohorts Represented in Student Profiles</th>
<th>Total Number of Students</th>
<th>Total In-State</th>
<th>Total Out-of-State*</th>
<th>Total International</th>
<th>Average Distance Traveled*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Western Reserve University</td>
<td>2014, 2015</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>734 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma State University</td>
<td>2015, 2016</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>505 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennesaw State University</td>
<td>2012, 2013, 2014</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>266 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia State University</td>
<td>2012, 2013</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>212 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>146</strong></td>
<td><strong>69 (47%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>71 (49%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>6 (4%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>414 miles</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Institutional websites.  
*Excludes international students.

---

30 Student enrollment data are further examined and cited for each of these institutions in Section III. All enrollment data are based on published student profiles, and may not account for changes in residency before, during, or after program completion. Average travel distances were calculated based on driving distances reported by Google Maps.
SECTION II: LABOR MARKET DEMAND

This section examines demand for the DBA in the marketplace by examining national, regional, and state labor market projections.

LABOR MARKET DEMAND METHODOLOGY

State and national labor market projections are made for occupations as defined by the Bureau of Labor Statistics’ (BLS) Standard Occupational Code (SOC) system. The SOC system is analogous to the CIP system, and the two are connected by the CIP-SOC crosswalk, which maps individual degree programs to individual (six-digit) occupations. These connections, however, are not geared to the levels of education obtained, and the strength of the connection between a degree program and an occupation is not necessarily clear. To partially account for this deficiency, Hanover eliminated from this analysis occupations associated with CIP code 52.02, Business Administration, Management, and Operations, for which a below average proportion of workers have earned master’s degrees. Figure 2.1 describes relevant occupations as defined by the BLS, and Figure 2.2 describes the levels of educational attainment for workers in each occupation.

Figure 2.1: SOC Codes, Titles, and Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOC Code</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-1011</td>
<td>Chief Executives</td>
<td>Determine and formulate policies and provide overall direction of companies or private and public sector organizations within guidelines set up by a board of directors or similar governing body. Plan, direct, or coordinate operational activities at the highest level of management with the help of subordinate executives and staff managers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-1021</td>
<td>General and Operations Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate the operations of public or private sector organizations. Duties and responsibilities include formulating policies, managing daily operations, and planning the use of materials and human resources, but are too diverse and general in nature to be classified in any one functional area of management or administration, such as personnel, purchasing, or administrative services. Excludes First-Line Supervisors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-2022</td>
<td>Sales Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate the actual distribution or movement of a product or service to the customer. Coordinate sales distribution by establishing sales territories, quotas, and goals and establish training programs for sales representatives. Analyze sales statistics gathered by staff to determine sales potential and inventory requirements and monitor the preferences of customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3011</td>
<td>Administrative Services Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate one or more administrative services of an organization, such as records and information management, mail distribution, facilities planning and maintenance, custodial operations, and other office support services. Medical records administrators are included in “Medical and Health Services Managers” (11-9111). Excludes “Purchasing Managers” (11-3061).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOC Code</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-3021</td>
<td>Computer and Information Systems Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate activities in such fields as electronic data processing, information systems, systems analysis, and computer programming. Excludes “Computer Occupations” (15-1111 through 15-1199).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3051</td>
<td>Industrial Production Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate the work activities and resources necessary for manufacturing products in accordance with cost, quality, and quantity specifications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3061</td>
<td>Purchasing Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate the activities of buyers, purchasing officers, and related workers involved in purchasing materials, products, and services. Includes wholesale or retail trade merchandising managers and procurement managers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3071</td>
<td>Transportation, Storage, and Distribution Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate transportation, storage, or distribution activities in accordance with organizational policies and applicable government laws or regulations. Includes logistics managers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-9121</td>
<td>Natural Sciences Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate activities in such fields as life sciences, physical sciences, mathematics, statistics, and research and development in these fields. Excludes “Architectural and Engineering Managers” (11-9041) and “Computer and Information Systems Managers” (11-3021).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-9151</td>
<td>Social and Community Service Managers</td>
<td>Plan, direct, or coordinate the activities of a social service program or community outreach organization. Oversee the program or organization’s budget and policies regarding participant involvement, program requirements, and benefits. Work may involve directing social workers, counselors, or probation officers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-9199</td>
<td>Managers, All Other</td>
<td>All managers not listed separately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-1011</td>
<td>Agents and Business Managers of Artists, Performers, and Athletes</td>
<td>Represent and promote artists, performers, and athletes in dealings with current or prospective employers. May handle contract negotiation and other business matters for clients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-1081</td>
<td>Logisticians</td>
<td>Analyze and coordinate the logistical functions of a firm or organization. Responsible for the entire life cycle of a product, including acquisition, distribution, internal allocation, delivery, and final disposal of resources. Excludes “Transportation, Storage, and Distribution Managers” (11-3071).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-1111</td>
<td>Management Analysts</td>
<td>Conduct organizational studies and evaluations, design systems and procedures, conduct work simplification and measurement studies, and prepare operations and procedures manuals to assist management in operating more efficiently and effectively. Includes program analysts and management consultants. Excludes “Computer Systems Analysts” (15-1121) and “Operations Research Analysts” (15-2031).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-1011</td>
<td>Business Teachers, Postsecondary</td>
<td>Teach courses in business administration and management, such as accounting, finance, human resources, labor and industrial relations, marketing, and operations research. Includes both teachers primarily engaged in teaching and those who do a combination of teaching and research.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BLS.33

Figure 2.2: Levels of Educational Attainment by Occupation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Less Than High School Diploma</th>
<th>High School Diploma or Equivalent</th>
<th>Some College, No Degree</th>
<th>Associate’s Degree</th>
<th>Bachelor’s Degree</th>
<th>Master’s Degree</th>
<th>Doctoral or Professional Degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total, All Occupations</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Executives</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and Operations Managers</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Managers</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Services Managers</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer and Information Systems Managers</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Sciences Managers</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and Community Service Managers</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers, All Other</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agents and Business Managers of Artists, Performers, and Athletes</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logisticians</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Production Managers</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing Managers</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Analysts</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary Teachers</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BLS.34

**Occupational Projections**

This sub-section presents national and state governmental occupational projections data for business-related occupations. Based on available data regarding student enrollment patterns that indicates that students may travel a considerable distance to complete EDBA program requirements, this report includes state-level employment projections for North Carolina, Washington, D.C., Virginia, and South Carolina. Note that labor market projections are made on a national level by the BLS, while state-level data are assembled by the state departments of labor.

The BLS offers guidance for the interpretation of employment projections between 2010 and 2020. Hanover uses the BLS interpretation of projected 10-year growth described in Figure 2.3 to assess occupational projections.

---

Figure 2.3: Interpretation of Occupational Growth Projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECTED 10-YEAR GROWTH</th>
<th>INTERPRETATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29% or more</td>
<td>Much faster than average growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 20% and 28%</td>
<td>Faster than average growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 10% and 19%</td>
<td>About as fast as average growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 3% and 9%</td>
<td>More slowly than average growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 2% and -2%</td>
<td>Little or no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between -3% and -9%</td>
<td>Slow or moderate decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10% or less</td>
<td>Rapid decline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BLS.  

Figure 2.4 summarizes national employment projections for the 14 occupations examined for this report. Nationally, the BLS anticipates growth that occurs more slowly than average for five of the 14 occupations examined for this report. For some of these professions, such as chief executives and general and operations managers, additional credentials may make candidates more competitive. Three of the fourteen occupations reviewed for this report are expected to grow at a faster than average rate. Two of the occupations that are expected to grow at a faster than average rate, social and community service managers and management analysts, employ a large proportion of workers with master’s degrees or higher, and, thus, although these occupations may grow more quickly, employers may expect potential candidates for these positions to possess more advanced degrees than employers expect of candidates for other positions. 

Figure 2.4: National Employment Projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOC Code</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>NUMBER EMPLOYED (IN HUNDRED-THOUSANDS)</th>
<th>CHANGE 2010-20</th>
<th>OPENINGS FROM GROWTH AND REPLACEMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-1011</td>
<td>Chief Executives</td>
<td>369.9</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-1021</td>
<td>General and Operations Managers</td>
<td>1,767.1</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-2022</td>
<td>Sales Managers</td>
<td>342.1</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3011</td>
<td>Administrative Services Managers</td>
<td>254.3</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3021</td>
<td>Computer and Information Systems Managers</td>
<td>307.9</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3051</td>
<td>Industrial Production Managers</td>
<td>150.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3061</td>
<td>Purchasing Managers</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-9121</td>
<td>Natural Sciences Managers</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-9151</td>
<td>Social and Community Service Managers</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

36 BLS data indicate that in 2009, 30.8 percent of social and community service managers and 26 percent of management analysts held a master’s degree or higher.
Regionally, growth for many occupations is somewhat less robust than that anticipated at the national level. As indicated on the national level, growth in positions for chief executives and general operations managers is projected to be slower than average. These circumstances potentially indicate a need for aspiring chief executives and managers to seek new ways to distinguish themselves among other candidates for these positions.

Figure 2.5: Regional Employment Projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCCUPATION</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>NUMBER EMPLOYED</th>
<th>CHANGE 2010-20</th>
<th>OPENINGS FROM GROWTH AND REPLACEMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chief Executives</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>4,210</td>
<td>4,240</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>8,327</td>
<td>8,943</td>
<td>616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>8,722</td>
<td>9,539</td>
<td>817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>6,266</td>
<td>6,640</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and Operations Managers</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>52,170</td>
<td>52,940</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>31,807</td>
<td>31,833</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>54,846</td>
<td>59,361</td>
<td>4,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>22,462</td>
<td>23,991</td>
<td>1,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Managers</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>7,870</td>
<td>8,350</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1,494</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>6,214</td>
<td>7,149</td>
<td>935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>4,290</td>
<td>4,798</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Services Managers</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>3,680</td>
<td>4,110</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>3,757</td>
<td>4,255</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>4,575</td>
<td>5,415</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>3,489</td>
<td>4,048</td>
<td>559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer and Information Systems Managers</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>10,530</td>
<td>11,870</td>
<td>1,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>4,199</td>
<td>4,954</td>
<td>755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>15,837</td>
<td>20,270</td>
<td>4,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>2,471</td>
<td>2,976</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Production Managers</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>4,920</td>
<td>5,430</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1,802</td>
<td>1,864</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2,469</td>
<td>2,773</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BLS.  
*National projections do not distinguish among postsecondary teachers of different disciplines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCCUPATION</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>NUMBER EMPLOYED 2010</th>
<th>NUMBER EMPLOYED 2020</th>
<th>CHANGE 2010-2020</th>
<th>OPENINGS FROM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing Managers</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>2,360</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1,802</td>
<td>1,864</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>3.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2,822</td>
<td>3,238</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Sciences Managers</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>2,640</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1,274</td>
<td>1,319</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and Community Service</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2,910</td>
<td>3,240</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers, All Other</td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>1,915</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>22.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2,502</td>
<td>3,024</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>1,571</td>
<td>1,978</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers, All Other</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>20,420</td>
<td>22,100</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>38,545</td>
<td>37,314</td>
<td>-1,231</td>
<td>-3.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>35,901</td>
<td>37,833</td>
<td>1,932</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>5,980</td>
<td>6,826</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agents and Business Managers of</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artists, Performers, and Athletes</td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logisticians</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2,630</td>
<td>3,450</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1,011</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>20.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>6,635</td>
<td>9,156</td>
<td>2,521</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Analysts</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>11,720</td>
<td>13,450</td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>23,606</td>
<td>25,654</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>8.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>57,441</td>
<td>72,140</td>
<td>14,699</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>7,224</td>
<td>8,926</td>
<td>1,702</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Teachers, Postsecondary</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>2,790</td>
<td>3,390</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>1,462</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>19.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2,590</td>
<td>3,352</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>1,452</td>
<td>1,731</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>510,131</td>
<td>563,480</td>
<td>53,349</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Regional Occupation Projections Websites. 38

---


**Analysis**

Although state and national labor projections provide some indication of future demand for job candidates with business degrees on the doctoral level, some evidence suggests that employment potential may not be a primary concern among potential candidates for executive doctoral programs. Surveys conducted by the Graduate Management Admission Council (GMAC) between 2009 and 2013 found that in 2012 and 2013, students who enrolled in executive MBA programs were more likely to have secured post-graduation employment by February and March of the final year of the MBA program than students enrolled in full-time MBA programs. The GMAC report posited that one reason graduates of executive MBA programs gain employment more quickly than graduates of other programs is that *students enrolled in executive programs frequently remain employed throughout the program and continue to work for that employer after graduation*. The format of EDBA programs, which ensures students can remain employed while earning the degree, may similarly ensure that graduates are somewhat less likely to be affected by a difficult labor market.

Other factors further illuminate the limitations of labor market analysis to guide the decision to develop an EDBA program. On the master’s level, some evidence suggests that graduates of executive programs experience a smaller salary increase following graduation than graduates of more traditional one- and two-year MBA programs. GMAC attributes the less pronounced salary increases for graduates of executive programs to the significant amount of work experience many of these students possess prior to entering the program, which suggests that these graduates entered executive MBA programs with higher salaries than their counterparts enrolled in traditional programs. The high admissions standards set by many EDBA programs, which frequently require applicants to possess significant executive or managerial experience, make it difficult to predict the extent to which the EDBA would increase the salaries of students who earn the degree.

Ultimately, however, many successful applicants to EDBA programs already possess a considerable amount of professional success. The fact that these candidates continue to seek further education potentially indicates that these students are motivated by more than the promise of financial gain. In the words of one Georgia State University EDBA student:

> People don't go into a program like this at 45 or 50 years old to make another $100,000 on their base salary. It seems like we're all doing this more for a personal quest and the desire to make a difference somehow in the world at large.

---

40 Ibid., p. 6.
41 “Job Search: Class of 2013,” p. 10.
42 “Job Search: Class of 2013,” p. 10.
SECTION III: PEER AND COMPETITOR PROFILES

This section examines 7 executive DBA and executive Ph.D. programs offered by American institutions and 11 programs offered by 23 international institutions. Depending upon information availability, profiles describe program enrollment, curriculum, admissions procedures, and cost.

Nearly all executive programs examined for this report deliver instruction in a residency format that requires students to travel to campus a limited number of times throughout the program to earn required course credit. Figures 3.1 and 3.2 summarize key program characteristics, including the number of required residences, the length of each residency, the total time to completion, and the total cost of tuition.

Overall, these statistical summaries indicate that international institutions frequently charge less, award degrees over a shorter period of time, and require students to attend fewer residencies, although each residency lasts for more days. However, while these data summaries describe general trends, they are in no way exact. Some institutions publish vague or contradictory program descriptions, and many institutions have implemented varying policies to determine which activities constitute a credit-bearing residency and which activities exist as supplementary workshops or seminars. Costs included in published tuition figures also vary from institution to institution, which partially obscures some comparisons. Thus, the figures included in these summary tables represent the best estimations based upon available information.

The profiles that follow these summary tables provide a more complete description of practices within each program as a whole.

Figure 3.1: Summary of Key Features of American Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>DEGREE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF RESIDENCIES</th>
<th>LENGTH OF RESIDENCIES</th>
<th>YEARS TO COMPLETION</th>
<th>TUITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case Western Reserve University</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennesaw State University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Kennesaw, GA</td>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$96,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma State University</td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Stillwater, OK</td>
<td>18 or more</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia State University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Atlanta, GA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$109,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$124,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollins College</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Winter Park, FL</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$67,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pace University</td>
<td>DPS</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>$63,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>3.3 days</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>$104,352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Institutional websites.

44 For the profiles created for this section, the programs offered through the United International Business Schools are treated as a single program. Similarly, programs offered jointly through two institutions are treated as one program.
Figure 3.2: Summary of Key Features of International Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>DEGREE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF RESIDENCIES</th>
<th>LENGTH OF RESIDENCIES</th>
<th>YEARS TO COMPLETION</th>
<th>TUITION</th>
<th>TUITION IN $(US)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paris-Dauphine University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>€23,000</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranfield University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Bedfordshire, England</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>£56,000</td>
<td>$85,467.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilburg University and City University London</td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Tilburg, Netherlands</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>€65,000</td>
<td>$85,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris School of Business</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>1.5-3</td>
<td>€59,000</td>
<td>$77,496.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of South Australia</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Adelaide, South Australia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Not Indicated</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$33,452(AUD)</td>
<td>$30,752.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scuola Superiore Sant’Anna and Grenoble Ecole de Management</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Pisa, Italy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40 hours</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>€40,000</td>
<td>$52,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Economics of the Polish Academy of Sciences</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Warsaw, Poland</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>€12,000</td>
<td>$15,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic International Management Institute (NIMI) China and Nyenrode University</td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Sichuan, China</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3-4 days</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>€48,000</td>
<td>$63,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skema Business School</td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
<td>Lille, France</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>€24,000</td>
<td>$31,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aalto University</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Helsinki, Finland</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>€87,000</td>
<td>$114,770.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United International Business Schools: Belgium and Spain Campuses</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Antwerp, Belgium</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>€30,000</td>
<td>$39,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United International Business Schools: Switzerland Campuses</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Lausanne, Switzerland</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60,000 CHF</td>
<td>$63,952.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United International Business Schools: Japan Campus</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>¥6,600,000</td>
<td>$65,986.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United International Business Schools: Online Program</td>
<td>DBA</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60,000 CHF</td>
<td>$63,952.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Averages</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>8.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.3 days</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Institutional websites.

*All tuition estimations have been converted to $US using a publically-available online currency converter.
AMERICAN PROGRAMS

CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY

Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland, Ohio is a private institution that, as of the fall of 2011, enrolled 9,636 students, including 4,016 undergraduate students and 5,620 graduate students. The Weatherhead School of Management offers both a doctor of management (DM) and a Ph.D. in management and sustainable systems.

Students earn the DM over the course of three years of on- and off-campus study. In the fall and spring semesters, students complete four on-campus residencies of three to four days in length and two virtual residencies of two days in length. During the summer session, students attend a two-day research methods workshop. Faculty members assess student progress through multiple assignments and exams, including a conceptual paper that includes a research proposal in the first year, a qualitative research paper and quantitative research methods capstone exam in the second year, and a dissertation in the third year. The dissertation requirement consists of qualitative and quantitative research papers completed in the first two years as well as an integrative paper “which organizes the research into a coherent whole.”

In the second year of the DM program, students may apply to complete a fourth year of study to earn a Ph.D. in management and sustainable systems. To earn the Ph.D., students complete two dissertation research seminars in both the fall and spring semesters and write and defend a Ph.D. dissertation. Ph.D. candidates draft the thesis proposal in the third year of the program and plan for the comprehensive examination. In the fourth year, Ph.D. candidates present and defend their manuscripts in two separate workshops before the final presentation and dissertation defense.

Figure 3.3 describes the course content of residencies completed each year of the program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year One</th>
<th>FALL SEMESTER</th>
<th>SPRING SEMESTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflict and Cooperation in the Global Arena</td>
<td>Systems Thinking and Complex Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leading Change</td>
<td>Structured Qualitative Research Methods I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction to Research Methods</td>
<td>Theories of Decision Making</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

49 Ibid
Year Two
- Structured Qualitative Research Methods II
- Model Building and Validation I
- Business as a Dynamic System
- Leadership, Sustainability, and the Global Agenda
- Model Building and Validation II
- Foundations of Quantitative Research

Year Three
- Technology and Social System Design
- Critical Mixed Method Research Issues
- Advanced Analytical Methods
- Research Dissemination
- Designing Sustainable Systems Project
- Theories of Organizing

Year Four
- Dissertation research, including participation in two research workshops/seminars
- Dissertation research, including participation in two research workshops/seminars

Source: Case Western Reserve University.

“Ideal” candidates for admission to Case Western’s program hold a graduate degree, possess at least 15 years of professional experience, and can commit to a minimum of 30 hours of study each week. The admissions process is rolling, and students may apply for admission as late as June 30 for an August enrollment. Applicants must submit official transcripts, a current resume, two letters of recommendation, and a minimum of seven essays of approximately one double-spaced page in length that address the following prompts:

- Describe the activities and experiences that demonstrate your commitment to personal learning and development
- Explain your learning objectives for the DM Program
- Beyond your own learning, to what values, actions, and/or visions are you committed?
- Discuss what you see as the most significant qualities or characteristics that you would bring to the DM Program. In other words, what would be your value-added to the program?
- Briefly describe an organizational or societal issue or problem that concerns you, and indicate the intellectual contribution you would like to make to it.
- Indicate how you would ideally envision the remainder of your career, and what role the DM Program might play in that development.
- Describe in concrete terms how you plan to restructure your time and existing responsibilities, both personal and professional, so that you will be able to attend all residency sessions of the DM Program and devote at least 30 hours per week to your studies.

50 Taken verbatim from: Ibid.
52 Ibid.
Following an initial application review, viable candidates participate in an admissions interview.54

A review of published profiles of current DM students in the graduating classes of 2014 and 2015 indicates that a majority of currently-enrolled students travel to complete the program from out of state. Of the 44 students listed in the classes of 2014 and 2015, 34 students live outside of Ohio, including three students who reside outside of the country. International students enrolled in the program list official addresses in Chile, Singapore, and the United Arab Emirates. Figure 3.4 depicts the cities of residence for all currently-enrolled American students for which such information is available. Hanover estimates an average travel distance of 734 miles for enrolled students living in the United States.55

Figure 3.4: Cities of Residence for the Classes of 2014 and 201556

Tuition fees total $25,000 per semester for a total three-year tuition cost of $150,000. Tuition covers university fees and instructional costs as well as some meals during residencies, but does not include costs associated with transportation, lodging, or books. Case Western further notes that some students receive a partial or full sponsorship from their employer, or receive employer reimbursement for their program-related fees. Candidates with significant nonprofit experience may apply for a nonprofit fellowship that includes a partial tuition scholarship. To apply, students complete an additional application

56 Red pegs indicate cities of residence for one student, while blue pegs represent cities of residence for two or more students. Stars indicate the location of the institution.
essay describing their experience in the nonprofit sector. The program website does not specify additional tuition costs for the completion of the executive Ph.D.

**Kennesaw State University**

Kennesaw State University in Kennesaw, Georgia is a public institution that enrolled 24,175 students, including 22,333 undergraduate students and 1,842 graduate students, as of the fall of 2011. The Coles College of Business offers executives the opportunity to earn a DBA in preparation “for expanded roles within academia or industry.”

Students earn the DBA over a period of three and a half years. In both the first and second year, students complete eight to ten on-campus residencies of three to four days in length. In the first year of the program, students complete a combination of foundational and methods courses as well as discipline-specific courses. In the second year, students complete a combination of methods and dissertation preparation courses. In the third year, students spend a total of four days on campus to complete dissertation research. Students earn 18 credit hours each year for coursework completed in the first two years of the program, and 12 credit hours for work completed in the third year of the program, for a total of 48 credit hours over the course of the entire program. Figure 3.5 lists the coursework required in the first two years of the program.

**Figure 3.5: First and Second Year Courses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>COURSEWORK</th>
<th>DISCIPLINE-SPECIFIC COURSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FOUNDATION AND METHODS COURSES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year One</td>
<td>Business Research I and II</td>
<td>Seminars in Accounting Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quantitative Research Methods I</td>
<td>Seminars in Management Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survey Design and Research Methods</td>
<td>Seminars in Marketing Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seminars in Information Systems Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Two</td>
<td>Quantitative Research Methods II</td>
<td>Research Methods and Dissertation Design I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qualitative Research Methods</td>
<td>Research Methods and Dissertation Design II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Kennesaw State University.

---


61 “A Quality Research Doctorate for Professionals.” Kennesaw State University. http://coles.kennesaw.edu/graduate/dba/


63 Ibid.
A review of published profiles of the 52 students in the graduating classes of 2012, 2013, and 2014 indicates that more than 40 percent of students in these cohorts live outside of Georgia. Of the classes of 2012, 2013, and 2014, 23 student profiles list out-of-state residencies and 29 list in-state residencies. Figure 3.6 depicts the cities of residence for all students in these cohorts for which such information is available. Hanover estimates an average travel distance of 266 miles for these students.64

**Figure 3.6: Cities of Residence for the Classes of 2012, 2013, and 2014.**

As a whole, Kennesaw State University DBA students possess significant professional and academic experience. The program website reports that all students possessed at least 10 years of professional experience prior to enrollment, and 61 percent previously held full-time or part-time academic positions. Figure 3.7 describes the demographics of the 101 students who have earned a DBA from the program to date.

---


65 Ibid.
Applicants must submit a resume and cover letter, transcripts, a statement of interest, and two letters of recommendation. Following an initial review of the application, applicants may be invited to attend a research workshop and complete a 12- to 15-page research interest overview. Students who submit a successful research interest overview may be invited to interview with the DBA Executive Director and two or more faculty members.  

---

66 A total of 63 students entered the DBA program with experience as a full-time or part-time faculty member. This chart describes the proportion of full-time and part-time faculty members among these 63 students.

67 A total of 77 students entered the DBA program with teaching experience. This chart describes the years of teaching experience among these 77 students.


Tuition fees total $96,500 for completion of the program. Kennesaw State University notes that tuition does not include costs associated with travel and lodging, research, or membership in academic associations.\footnote{“Overall Investment.” Kennesaw State University. http://coles.kennesaw.edu/graduate/dba/program-costs.htm}

\textbf{Oklahoma State University}

Oklahoma State University is a public institution with an enrollment of 24,390 on the Stillwater, Oklahoma main campus, including 19,136 undergraduate students and 5,253 graduate students as of the fall of 2011.\footnote{“Oklahoma State University – Main Campus.” NCES College Navigator. Institute of Education Sciences. http://nces.ed.gov/collegenavigator/?q-oklahoma+state+university&s=all&id=207388} The Spears School of Business offers a three-year executive Ph.D. in business.\footnote{“Ph.D. in Business for Executives.” Oklahoma State University. http://phdexec.okstate.edu/}

Officially, enrolled students commit to attending residencies four days each month, ten months out of the year.\footnote{“Executive Lifestyle.” Oklahoma State University. http://phdexec.okstate.edu/tailored-for-professionals/} A review of scheduled residencies for the 2013 and 2014 cohorts, however, lists three, three-day residencies in the fall, spring, and summer semesters, for a total of nine annual three-day residencies each year of the program.\footnote{“Residency Dates.” Oklahoma State University. http://phdexec.okstate.edu/residency-dates/} Residency course content covers “macro and micro organizational issues, creativity, innovation, and a broad and comprehensive spectrum of research methods – qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods.”\footnote{“Executive Lifestyle,” Op. cit.} Figure 3.8 lists required courses for each semester of the program. Although the three-year course sequence lists fewer courses beyond the first year, the official residency schedule does not list fewer residency dates for the cohort currently enrolled in the second year of the program.\footnote{“Residency Dates,” Op. cit.} The program sequence begins in the spring semester.\footnote{“Visionary Curriculum.” Oklahoma State University. http://phdexec.okstate.edu/curriculum-development/}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{YEAR} & \textbf{SPRING SEMESTER} & \textbf{SUMMER SEMESTER} & \textbf{FALL SEMESTER} \\
\hline
\textbf{Year One} & \begin{itemize}
\item Organizational Sciences I and II
\item Contemporary Research in Management
\item Concentration Course
\end{itemize} & \begin{itemize}
\item Theory Building and Scientific Research
\item Advanced Methods in Management Research
\item Creativity, Innovation, and Leadership
\item Corporate Governance
\end{itemize} & \begin{itemize}
\item Quantitative Methods
\item Applied Research Practicum I
\item Two Concentration Courses
\end{itemize} \\
\hline
\textbf{Year Two} & \begin{itemize}
\item Applied Research Practicum II
\end{itemize} & \begin{itemize}
\item Applied Research Practicum III
\end{itemize} & \begin{itemize}
\item Applied Research Practicum IV
\end{itemize} \\
\hline
\textbf{Year Three} & \begin{itemize}
\item Dissertation I
\end{itemize} & \begin{itemize}
\item Dissertation II
\end{itemize} & \begin{itemize}
\item Dissertation III
\end{itemize} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Required Courses}
\end{figure}

Source: Oklahoma State University.\footnote{Ibid.}
The program website lists 18 participants who began the program in 2012 and 17 participants who began the program in 2013. Cities of residence listed for 2013 participants indicate that only four of the 17 students who entered the program in 2013 live in Oklahoma. Of the remaining 13 students, two reside in Canada and one resides in the Netherlands. Hanover estimates an average travel distance for the 10 American participants of 505 miles. Figure 3.9 depicts the cities of residence for each of the American participants who entered the program in 2013.79

![Figure 3.9: Cities of Residence for the Class of 2013](image)

Candidates for admission must hold at least a master’s degree and possess “extensive management experience.”81 The application requires a cover letter, resume, statement of interest of three to five pages, two letters of recommendation, and a 12- to 15-page research proposal. Applicants may attend a one-day research workshop on the Tulsa campus for guidance on the preparation of the research proposal. Candidates for admission must meet an early action application deadline of April 1 or a regular decision application deadline of September 1.82

Oklahoma State University charges $2,000 per credit hour, for an annual tuition cost of $40,000 and a total program cost of $120,000. Tuition includes books, meals during residencies, and other selected materials, but does not cover the cost of travel and lodging.83

---

79 “Participants.” Oklahoma State University. https://phdexec.okstate.edu/participants/
80 Ibid.
81 “Admissions.” Oklahoma State University. https://phdexec.okstate.edu/admissions/
82 Ibid.
83 Ibid.
GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Georgia State University is a public institution in Atlanta with a fall 2011 enrollment of 32,022, including 24,096 undergraduate students and 7,926 graduate students. The J. Mack Robinson College of Business offers an “interdisciplinary, globally oriented” three-year EDBA program designed “for professionals who wish to continue their education to the highest level.”

Students enrolled in the EDBA program complete three courses worth three credits each in both the fall and spring semesters of the program. Including research activities and lab requirements, students attend four, three-day residencies held Thursday through Saturday each semester. Figure 3.10 lists the content courses, method courses, and research activities and labs that comprise the EDBA curriculum.

Figure 3.10: Required Courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>.YEAR ONE</th>
<th>CONTENT COURSES</th>
<th>METHOD COURSES</th>
<th>RESEARCH ACTIVITIES AND LABS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leadership to Create Human Value</td>
<td>Philosophy and Practice of Science and Research</td>
<td>Individual reading, presentation, and critiquing of research papers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Designing Qualitative Research Studies</td>
<td>Lab for business driven research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analyzing Qualitative Research Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Designing Quantitative Research Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR TWO</th>
<th>CONTENT COURSES</th>
<th>METHOD COURSES</th>
<th>RESEARCH ACTIVITIES AND LABS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theory and Practice of Collective Action</td>
<td>Quantitative Research Methods 2</td>
<td>Lab for business driven research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emerging Markets and Global Models for Business and Culture and World Politics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dissertation lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global Economic Systems and Issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR THREE</th>
<th>CONTENT COURSES</th>
<th>METHOD COURSES</th>
<th>RESEARCH ACTIVITIES AND LABS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Influences and Limitations on Executive Decision Making</td>
<td>Institutional Research and Knowledge Dissemination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethical Issues Confronting Contemporary Business Leaders and the Role of Business in Social Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Georgia State University.  

84 “Georgia State University.” NCES College Navigator. The Institute of Education Sciences. http://nces.ed.gov/collegenavigator/?q=Georgia+State+University&s=all&id=139940  
85 “Beyond the MBA: the Next Degree in Business.” Georgia State University. http://edb.robinson.gsu.edu/  
86 “Program Structure.” Georgia State University. http://edb.robinson.gsu.edu/about/program-structure/  
87 “Curriculum.” Georgia State University. http://edb.robinson.gsu.edu/about/overview/curriculum/
The program requires an average commitment of 25-30 hours per week for enrolled students to complete assignments. Students submit a group research paper at the end of their first year, a dissertation proposal at the end of the second year, and a dissertation at the end of the third year. Students must defend both the dissertation and the dissertation proposal.

Published student profiles list 17 members of the class of 2012, 19 members of the class of 2013, 14 members of the class of 2014, and 15 members of the class of 2015. Alumni profiles list cities of residence for 16 members of the class of 2012 and 17 members of the class of 2013. Of the students for whom the alumni website lists city of residence, 26 live in Georgia and seven live outside of Georgia. Hanover estimates an average travel distance of 212 miles for these two cohorts. Figure 3.11 depicts the cities of residence for the classes of 2012 and 2013.

Figure 3.11: Cities of Residence for the Classes of 2012 and 2013

Candidates for admission must possess a “relevant post-baccalaureate degree” as well as a minimum of ten years of professional experience, including a minimum of seven years’ work experience “with significant management responsibilities.” Applicants must also submit transcripts, a resume, and three essays addressing the following prompts:

- Reasons for pursuing the degree
- Goals and expectations for the program
- Strategy for successful participation, including plans to commit the time and effort necessary to excel in the program

---

90 “Students and Alumni.” Georgia State University. http://edb.robinson.gsu.edu/students-and-alumni/
91 Ibid.
92 “Admissions.” Georgia State University. http://edb.robinson.gsu.edu/admissions/
93 “Application Procedure.” GSU. http://edb.robinson.gsu.edu/admissions/application-procedure/
A selection committee assesses applications and interviews viable candidates. The admissions process is rolling, with a final application deadline of April 1.94

Tuition cost totals $109,000 for the completion of the three-year program. Tuition includes books and meals during residencies, but does not include travel or lodging.95

**TEMPLE UNIVERSITY**

Temple University in Philadelphia is a public institution that enrolls 36,855 students, including 27,710 undergraduate students and 9,145 graduate students as of the fall of 2011.96 The Fox School of Business offers a three-year EDBA program.97

The EDBA curriculum offers a combination of on-campus residencies and online learning.98 Students complete foundational courses and methods courses in the first two years of the program, as described in Figure 3.12. Students attend six on-campus residencies during each of the first two years of the program. Each residency lasts three days, scheduled Friday through Sunday.99 Between residencies, students “participate in interactive online class sessions using web conferencing to reinforce course concepts and prepare for the next on-campus residency.”100

![Figure 3.12: Required Courses](http://www.fox.temple.edu/cms_academics/dba/program/courses/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year One</th>
<th>FOUNDATIONAL COURSES</th>
<th>METHODS COURSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Understanding the Firm through Management and Organizational Theory</td>
<td>Problem Solving Using Quantitative Research Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Navigating the Global Marketplace</td>
<td>Scientific Inquiry through Applied Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrative Perspectives on Business Knowledge</td>
<td>Problem Solving and Using Qualitative Research Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Two</td>
<td>Innovation and Entrepreneurship in the New Economy</td>
<td>Advanced Quantitative Research Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Topics in Business Research</td>
<td>Creation and Dissemination of Business Knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to these course requirements, students also complete one research project in their first year, two research projects in their second year, and write and defend a
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dissertation and dissertation proposal in their third year. Students earn a total of 51 credits for the completion of required coursework, research projects, and dissertation components.¹⁰²

Candidates for admission must hold an MBA or a master’s degree in a business-related discipline and possess at least 15 years of managerial experience, including at least seven years of experience at the senior or executive level. Each applicant submits a transcript, resume, two letters of recommendation, and two essays, including one essay that describes the applicant’s motivation for applying to the program and one essay that describes how the applicant will balance approximately 25 hours of coursework per week with his or her other professional demands. The admissions process is rolling, with a final deadline of June 30.¹⁰³

Tuition for the three-year program totals $124,200, including books, meals, and lodging during residencies. Tuition does not include the cost of transportation.¹⁰⁴

ROLLINS COLLEGE

Rollins College in Winter Park, Florida is a private institution with a fall 2011 enrollment of 3,272 students, including 2,614 undergraduate students and 658 graduate students.¹⁰⁵ In February 2013, the Crummer Graduate School of Business announced plans to establish a three-year EDBA program.¹⁰⁶

Students who enroll in this program will complete one 1.5-credit course each month in the fall and spring semesters of the first two years in the program.¹⁰⁷ Rollins schedules each on-campus residency to occur on a Friday and Saturday.¹⁰⁸ In addition to the core residency courses, students will complete three, three-credit electives and four six-credit research and dissertation requirements.¹⁰⁹ Students participate in online learning opportunities throughout each year.¹¹⁰

Figure 3.13 lists all credit-bearing requirements for each year of the program.

¹⁰² Ibid.
¹⁰⁴ Ibid.
Figure 3.13: Credit-Bearing Program Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>FALL</th>
<th>SPRING</th>
<th>SUMMER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Theory and Contemporary Thought in Leadership</td>
<td>▪ Theory and Contemporary Thought in Marketing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Introduction to the Philosophy of Science</td>
<td>▪ Introduction to Methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Introduction to Research Methods for Managers</td>
<td>▪ Introduction to Quantitative Methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Introduction to Qualitative Methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Two</td>
<td>▪ Theory and Contemporary Thought in Global Business</td>
<td>▪ Theory and Contemporary Thought in Operations Management</td>
<td>▪ Applied Research Part II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Theory and Contemporary Thought in Organizational Behavior and Human Resources</td>
<td>▪ Theory and Contemporary Thought in Strategy and Strategic Marketing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Approved Elective Course</td>
<td>▪ Approved Elective Course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Three</td>
<td>▪ Integrative Experience</td>
<td>▪ Dissertation Part I</td>
<td>▪ Dissertation Part II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Approved Elective Course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Rollins College.  

Students may fulfill elective requirements through “existing Crummer School electives that are being taught, directed study with a faculty member, undertaking a domestic or international consulting project, or even the transfer of coursework into the program from another AACSB accredited program.”  

Candidates for admission must hold either an MBA or another business-related master’s degree and possess at least 10 years of professional experience at the senior level, including “several years of progressive management experience.” The initial application requires candidates to submit transcripts, a resume, and a statement of purpose. As students progress through the application process, they must also submit two letters of recommendation and three essays. The admissions process is rolling, with a final application deadline of March 1. Rollins College limits each cohort to approximately ten students.
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Rollins College charges approximately $1,259.17 per credit, which equates to a total of $7,555 in tuition for each of the fall, spring, and summer semesters, and $67,995 for completion of the program as a whole.  

**PACE UNIVERSITY**

Pace University in New York City is a private institution with a fall 2011 enrollment of 12,593, including 8,025 undergraduate students and 4,568 graduate students. The Lubin School of Business offers an executive doctorate of professional studies (DPS) in business. To date, Pace University has awarded the degree to 194 business professionals.

Students earn the DPS over the course of a three- to five-year period. In total, students complete four foundations seminars, four methodology seminars, two publishing tutorials, five concentration courses (in the areas of finance, management, or marketing), and four courses devoted to the dissertation process. Seminars meet on campus for four hours on five Fridays throughout the course of the semester and include opportunities for students to participate in weekly discussions online. Elective courses meet each weekend for 14 weeks and align with the student’s chosen concentration in finance, management, or marketing. Students who live outside of New York may complete two of the elective requirements at a local institution and a third online. Figure 3.14 describes a typical five-year program sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>FALL</th>
<th>SPRING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year One</td>
<td>Doctoral Foundation Seminar in Management</td>
<td>Doctoral Foundation Seminar in Finance and Economics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Explorations in Business Research</td>
<td>Doctoral Foundation Seminar in Marketing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Two</td>
<td>Regression Analysis</td>
<td>Selected Topics in Multivariate analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Publishing Tutorial 1</td>
<td>Publishing Tutorial 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Candidates for admission must possess at least 10 years of professional experience and hold an MBA or an equivalent master’s degree. The application requires candidates to submit transcripts, an essay, four letters of recommendation, and an unspecified number of essays detailing the applicant’s academic and professional experience. Students may choose whether to submit GMAT scores.

The total cost of tuition and other fees varies by year, as described in Figure 3.15. Tuition does not include books or supplies.

### Figure 3.15: Tuition and Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>TUITION</th>
<th>TECHNOLOGY FEE</th>
<th>GENERAL INSTITUTIONAL FEE</th>
<th>TOTAL TUITION AND FEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year One</td>
<td>$19,980</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$512</td>
<td>$20,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Two</td>
<td>$16,650</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$448</td>
<td>$17,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Three</td>
<td>$13,320</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$384</td>
<td>$13,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Four</td>
<td>$6,660</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$264</td>
<td>$7,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Five</td>
<td>$6,660</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$264</td>
<td>$7,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$63,270</strong></td>
<td><strong>$650</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,1872</strong></td>
<td><strong>$65,792</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the Pace website describes the program as three to five years in length, neither the materials describing the program curriculum nor the tuition schedule indicate a path toward attainment in the minimum three-year period.
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INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS

PARIS-DAUPHINE UNIVERSITY

Paris-Dauphine University offers a three-year EDBA described as “a degree with business relevance and scientific quality.” Faculty members conduct courses in both French and English unless any student indicates that he or she is not comfortable with French, in which case instructors conduct all courses in English.

The EDBA program includes 10 seminars offered roughly once per month over a 16-month period. Students complete five mandatory seminars in research design, qualitative research methods, quantitative research methods, organization, and epistemology. Cohorts may collectively choose three optional seminars among the following:

- Research in Finance
- Research in Marketing and Managing Client Relations
- Research in Accounting and Control and Performance Management
- Research in Organization
- Research in Strategy
- Research in Health Management

In addition to these methodological and content seminars, students also complete two writing seminars or workshops. All residencies are scheduled for “extended weekends.”

Students may satisfy the program’s thesis requirement by producing a roughly 200 page document “devoted to the exploration of a single managerial question” or by successfully publishing three academic articles.

Candidates for admission must have “sizable professional experience” that typically includes more than ten years of “significant responsibilities.” Applicants must also submit a thesis topic along with their initial application. Although the program website does not explicitly target American students, recruitment materials specifically reference American educational standards. In a section that describes the distinction between a Ph.D. and the
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EDBA, the institution notes “The U.S. Department of Education and the National Science Foundation consider that the EDBA doctoral degree is ‘equivalent’ to the Ph.D.”137 The program reportedly attracts many international students, including residents of six different countries.138

Candidates for admission submit transcripts, two letters of recommendation, a brief description of the applicant’s reasons for applying to the program, and a three- to ten-page document describing the applicant’s proposed research topic, and an additional 10-12 page essay that addresses one of the following prompts:

- The concept of “business model” is increasingly recognized in managerial practices and in the academic literature. Is this notion useful compared to the more generic notion of “strategy”?
- The management of diversity is now a formalized practice in many organizations. What are the benefits, limitations, risks and pitfalls of these practices?
- Corporate social responsibility is now a fundamental issue. To what extent a manager can be responsible in an organization that is not?139

Following submission of the application, candidates participate in a panel interview in Paris.140 Tuition for the three year program totals €23,000 ($30,334.70).141

CRANFIELD UNIVERSITY

In October 2011, Cranfield University in Bedfordshire, England announced a partnership with the Tilburg University TiasNimbas Business School in the Netherlands to offer a four-year executive DBA program, which leads to an EDBA from Cranston and a Ph.D. from Tilburg.142 This report treats the Cranfield University program separately from the Tilburg University program to account for slight differences in program implementation.

Cranfield University DBA students attend eleven, five-day modules over the course of a four-year period. In the first year, students complete four modules with a focus in research methodology. Students complete three additional modules during their second year, and two additional modules over the final two years of the program that focus on methodology, writing, personal development, and preparation for the culminating oral examination. Program leaders anticipate students will commit 15 to 20 hours a week to earning the degree.143 Faculty members track student progress through four assessments in the first
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year, three assessments over the course of the second, third, and fourth years, and thesis defense in the fourth year. Students who fall behind in coursework may transfer to a different cohort year.\footnote{144}

The program website describes 66 percent of current students as international.\footnote{145} A review of published profiles of 38 alumni and 50 current students reveals that program participants have completed prior coursework or gained significant professional experience in each of the following countries: \footnote{146}

- Uruguay
- China
- Canada
- Russia
- United Kingdom
- India
- Denmark
- Hungary
- France
- Argentina
- United States
- Germany
- St. Lucia
- Beirut
- Belgium
- Venezuela
- Switzerland
- Pakistan
- The Netherlands
- Spain
- Iceland
- Reykjavik
- New Zealand
- Nigeria
- Dubai
- The Philippines
- United Arab Emirates

Candidates for admission must hold an MBA or a business-related degree of the equivalent level and possess a minimum of four years of managerial experience. Candidates who do not fully meet the academic requirements but have “extensive managerial experience” may request to complete the program over a six-year period.\footnote{148}

In the first stage of the application process, students submit a research proposal to be assessed by the faculty. The research proposal should identify an appropriate faculty advisor, establish a clear research question for a topic of importance, illuminate deficiencies in existing literature, outline a research methodology, and describe the potential impact of the proposed research. In the second stage, students who have produced a research proposal that has generated interest within the department complete a formal application, take three admissions tests, and participate in a panel interview.\footnote{149}

\footnote{144} “FAQs.” Cranfield University. http://www.som.cranfield.ac.uk/som/p2809/Programmes-and-Executive-Development/Doctorates/International-Executive-Doctorate/Frequently-asked-Questions
\footnote{145} Ibid.
\footnote{147} Ibid.
\footnote{148} “Admissions Requirements and How to Apply.” Cranfield University. http://www.som.cranfield.ac.uk/som/p2788/Programmes-and-Executive-Development/Doctorates/International-Executive-Doctorate/How-to-Apply
\footnote{149} Ibid.
Students who complete the degree in four years pay a total of £56,000 (approximately $85,999.20). Beyond the fourth year, students pay £6,500 ($9,982.05) per year. Cranfield reports that most students receive sponsorship from an employer, although the size of the award varies, ranging from small contributions to full tuition. Tuition includes some meals and access to some research tools, but does not include the cost of travel, accommodations, or books. Domestic and international students pay equivalent tuition. Although Cranfield does not formally offer scholarships, the institution does, on rare occasions, offer discounts to students who work in the non-profit sector.

TILBURG UNIVERSITY AND CITY UNIVERSITY LONDON

The Tilburg University TiasNimbas Business School in the Netherlands and the City University London Cass Business School have partnered to offer a four-year executive Ph.D. program.

Enrolled students complete 10, five-day, 40-hour residencies over the course of the program, including four residencies in the first year and two residencies each year thereafter. Each campus hosts half of the required residencies, a practice that exposes all students to faculty members on each campus. In addition to the residencies, students devote an additional 16 to 20 hours per week to a thesis research project.

First-year courses focus on quantitative and qualitative research methods, while courses taught in years two through three focus on subject area training in accounting, economics, finance, and management. Faculty members evaluate student progress at the end of the first year to ensure adequate progress and develop a course of action for the remaining three years. Students are expected to regularly present their work throughout the course of the program and ultimately write and defend a doctoral thesis.

Candidates for admission typically hold an MBA or business-related master’s degree and possess at least five years of managerial experience. Tuition totals £65,000 ($99,866) for students who complete the degree in four years.

PARIS SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

150 “Fees.” Cranfield University. http://www.som.cranfield.ac.uk/som/p2808/Programmes-and-Executive-Development/Doctorates/International-Executive-Doctorate/Fees
153 Ibid.
155 “Course Content, Structure, and Dates.” Tilburg University. http://www.tiasnimbas.edu/Executive_PhD/Course_content_structure__dates/pgeld=311/S12?programmid=165
The Paris School of Business offers an executive DBA program. Although the program is designed to be completed between 24 and 36 months, the website indicates that “outstanding” candidates could earn the degree in as little as 18 months. The program reports enrolling 12 students in both 2011 and 2012.

The first year of the program provides instruction in research methodology, and the second year provides support for students as they research and write the required dissertation. Figure 3.16 lists requirements and the associated time commitments for each curricular component.

Figure 3.16: Requirements and Associated Time Commitments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>TIME COMMITMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year One</td>
<td>Introduction to Research Methods</td>
<td>Four Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Philosophical approaches to Management research and Epistemology</td>
<td>Three Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advanced Quantitative Research Methods</td>
<td>Three Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advanced Qualitative Research Methods</td>
<td>Three Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Two</td>
<td>Presentation and Defense of Candidate’s Thesis Proposal</td>
<td>One Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Academic Writing Workshops</td>
<td>Three Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisory Meetings</td>
<td>Three Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation and Defense of Candidate’s Thesis</td>
<td>Half-Day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Paris School of Business.

Candidates for admission are expected to have completed master’s level work, although the institution will make exceptions for “outstanding bachelor degree graduates” willing to complete preliminary master’s-level coursework prior to beginning the formal program components. Applicants submit a CV or resume, transcripts, two letters of recommendation, writing samples, and a two-page dissertation proposal. After submitting the application, students may be invited to participate in an interview. Tuition for 2013-2014 totals €29,500 ($38,907.55). At the current rate, a student who completes the degree in the anticipated two-year period pays a total of €59,000 ($77,815.10). Students may apply for a merit-based scholarship of up to €4,000 ($5,276.80).

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA

The University of South Australia International Graduate School of Business in Adelaide, South Australia offers a three-year EDBA program. The program is organized around three phases that address both skills and content:

- In the first phase, students develop writing and analytical skills for evaluating and producing academic literature;
- In the second phase, students explore multiple content areas; and
- In the third phase, students develop their culminating “case study,” akin to the dissertation requirement.\(^{165}\)

Figure 3.17 describes a sample program sequence published on the program website.

![Figure 3.17: Sample Program Sequence](http://w3.unisa.edu.au/igsb/edba/timetables.asp)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>YEAR ONE</strong></th>
<th><strong>YEAR TWO</strong></th>
<th><strong>YEAR THREE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative Research and Case Studies</td>
<td>New Marketing Thought and Practice</td>
<td>Implementing Strategy: Management Control Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Thinking and Analysis of the Literature</td>
<td>Effective Leadership for High Performance Organisations</td>
<td>Strategy for Leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beyond the Value Chain for Operations Excellence</td>
<td>Globalisation and Management</td>
<td>Case Study Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Enterprises: Principles and Practice</td>
<td>Managing New Ventures</td>
<td>Case Publication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: University of South Australia.\(^{166}\)

Candidates for admission must hold an MBA or an equivalent degree. The school may require candidates who hold master’s-level degrees that are not equivalent to the MBA to complete a “bridging program” that typically consists of MBA courses. Candidates must also have a minimum of five years of executive or managerial experience and access to an organization with a minimum of 50 employees. This organization must submit authorization for the student to conduct research at said company.\(^{167}\) In addition to this letter, applicants submit transcripts, a CV, and a research outline.\(^{168}\)

The program website does not indicate that tuition for the EDBA program differs from tuition for any other research program offered by the institution. Domestic students enrolled in research programs at the University of South Australia pay no tuition for the first four years. International students currently pay $8,363(AUD) ($7,730.76US) per year for full-time enrollment, and $4,181.50(AUD) ($3,865.38US) for part time enrollment.\(^{169}\)
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a student who completes the program in the anticipated four-year period would total $33,452(AUD) ($30,923.03US).

**SCUOLA SUPERIORE SANT’ANNA AND GRENOBLE ECOLE DE MANAGEMENT**

The Scuola Superiore Sant’Anna Istituto Di Management in Pisa, Italy and the Grenoble Ecole de Management in Grenoble, France have partnered to offer an executive DBA program completed in two stages over the course of four years. The first stage trains students to conduct research in the management sciences, and the second stage supports students as they collect data and write dissertations.

Students enrolled in the DBA program complete seven, forty-hour workshops, including introductory and concluding workshops and workshops in research design and literature review, qualitative methods, quantitative methods, management theory, and innovation. Students may choose to complete most workshops on either campus. Recruitment materials indicate that students typically hold a master’s-level degree in management or a related discipline and should have a minimum of three years of managerial experience.

These institutions charge €10,000 ($13,189) in tuition per year, for a total program cost of €40,000 ($52,756) for students who complete the program in four years. Recruitment materials promote benefits for students and their employers and encourage students to seek sponsorship from employers.

**INSTITUTE OF ECONOMICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES**

The Institute of Economics of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Warsaw, Poland offers a two-year EDBA program. Because the program is newly established, much of the official program website remains incomplete. Study Portals, a widely-used “European study choice platform,” provides a thorough program description that serves as the basis for this profile.

According to Study Portals, students enrolled in the EDBA program complete two, five-day modules each semester for four semesters, for a total of eight, five-day modules over the course of the program. Each module includes homework and distance learning components. Themes of the modules include:

- Financial Market Instruments
- Advanced Marketing
- International Business Law
- Company Value Management
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174 “Two-Year EDBA Program.” Institute of Economics Polish Academy of Sciences.
Students present a research paper at the end of the final module and may choose to use this final research report as a draft for a Ph.D. thesis.\(^ {177} \)

Applicants must possess at least four years of work experience and hold a master’s level degree.\(^ {178} \) Tuition for international students totals €6,000 (US$7,913.40) per year for a total program cost of €12,000 (US$15,830.40).\(^ {179} \)

**Nordic International Management Institute (NIMI) China and Nyenrode University**

NIMI China in the Sichuan Province, in partnership with Nyenrode University in the Netherlands, offers a four-year executive Ph.D. program “that combines the advantages of proven European tradition with contemporary Chinese dynamism.”\(^ {180} \) In 2012, Nyenrode University enrolled 18 students representing 12 countries in the institution’s first executive Ph.D. cohort.\(^ {181} \)

Enrolled students complete required courses on both the Nyenrode University campus and the NIMI China campus. To complete the program, students must complete three modules of three to four days in length each year of the program and participate in webinars in between modules.\(^ {182} \) In the first year of the program, required modules address research
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design, quantitative methodology, and qualitative methodology.\textsuperscript{183} Students must defend a dissertation and submit at least four articles to academic publications or conferences.\textsuperscript{184}

Successful candidates for admission typically hold a master’s degree, although this requirement may be waived for certain candidates. An admission committee assesses each applicant on the basis of his or her proposed topic of research, educational qualifications, business experience, and ability to adequately make time for the academic program.\textsuperscript{185}

Program tuition totals €11,000 ($14,507.90) per year. Including a €4,000 ($5,276.80) application fee, tuition for the four-year period totals €48,000 ($63,307.20).\textsuperscript{186}

\textbf{SKEMA BUSINESS SCHOOL}

Skema Business School operates five campuses, including campuses in France, China, and Raleigh, North Carolina. The Lille, France campus offers a three-year executive Ph.D. in project and program management designed “to facilitate the dynamic development of participants' managerial and entrepreneurial competencies, allowing them to enhance their expertise, and to work as managers and leaders in organisations in an international and multicultural environment.”\textsuperscript{187}

The program curriculum consists of two parts, each of three semesters in length. In part one, students complete six research methods courses and submit five pieces of work for assessment.\textsuperscript{188} Figure 3.18 lists the requirements for the first part of the program.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{REQUIRED COURSES} & \textbf{ASSESSED ELEMENTS} \\
\hline
Thesis Management – Writing for Success & A critique of a Ph.D. thesis in a topic area similar to the one you wish to study \\
Qualitative and Mixed Research Methods & A critique of a Ph.D. thesis using a methodology similar to the one you propose \\
Quantitative Research Methods & A working paper summarizing the findings from your preliminary literature search \\
Data Analysis and Interpretation & Your research Proposal \\
Case Study Research & The defence of your research proposal before a jury \\
Systems Modeling and the Nine Schools of Project Management & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Required Courses and Assessed Elements}
\end{table}

Source: Skema Business School.\textsuperscript{189}

\textsuperscript{185} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{188} “Structure.” Skema Business School. http://www.skema.edu/programs/phd/structure-phd-strategic-project-management
\textsuperscript{189} Taken verbatim from Ibid.
The second part of the program requires students to present an academic paper at an approved research conference and write and defend a thesis. In preparation for completing the required components in the second part of the program, students may attend mentoring workshops and a doctoral seminar.\(^\text{190}\)

Candidates for admission must hold a master’s-level degree in project management and possess a minimum of five years of managerial experience. Required components of the application include a research template, two letters of recommendation, a CV, an essay that addresses the candidate’s motivation for enrolling in the program, transcripts, and documentation of employment.\(^\text{191}\)

Tuition totals €5,000 ($6,594.50) per semester for part one of the program and €3,000 ($3,957.60) per semester for part two of the program, for a total cost of €24,000 ($31,660.80) over six semesters.\(^\text{192}\)

Although the Raleigh campus does not offer the executive doctoral program, the Raleigh website does indicate plans to develop opportunities for executive education.\(^\text{193}\)

**AALTO UNIVERSITY**

Beginning in 2013, Aalto University in Finland will offer a three-year global DBA program designed for executives. The Aalto University program differs from most other executive DBA programs profiled for this report in that students earn course credit through the completion of the online courses, and required, in-person seminars serve as supplementary opportunities for student to discuss research progress.\(^\text{194}\)

Students who enroll in the program must complete a total of ten courses, including one course in academic reading and writing, one course on the process of conducting research projects in business, two to four methodological courses, and four to six courses in a business-related discipline.\(^\text{195}\)

Candidates for admission must hold a master’s-level degree.\(^\text{196}\) Applicants submit a CV, a current GRE or GMAT score, transcripts, a statement of purpose of no more than 1,000 words in length, a research writing sample, and two letters of recommendation.\(^\text{197}\)

\(^{190}\) Ibid.
\(^{195}\) Ibid.
\(^{196}\) “Admission Criteria.” Aalto University. https://into.aalto.fi/display/endocorporatebiz/1+Admission+criteria
\(^{197}\) “Required Appendices.” Aalto University. https://into.aalto.fi/display/endocorporatebiz/2+Required+appendices
Tuition cost varies according to the student’s ability to independently produce an appropriate dataset for dissertation research. Students who receive permission from an employer to access quantitative data for the research project are charged €29,000 ($38,256.80) per year, for a total three-year program cost of €87,000 ($114,770.40). Students for whom the institution must provide research data are charged €34,500 ($45,502.05) per year, for a total three-year program cost of €103,500 ($136,537.20).

**UNITED INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS SCHOOLS**

The United International Business Schools offer a two-year EDBA program that students may complete online or on one of nine internationally located campuses. United International Business Schools describe the program model as based on the American educational model that “link[s] classroom theory to real-life applications and professional skills.”

Students enrolled in the two-year program complete three modules to earn a total of 60 credits. Figure 3.19 describes the courses associated with each module. Students earn five credits for each listed course, with the exception of the dissertation, for which students earn 10 credits.

![Figure 3.19: Course Requirements](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODULE 1: CORE COURSES</th>
<th>MODULE 2: SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH</th>
<th>MODULE 3: THESIS AND DISSERTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Quantitative Methods for Business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Management Information Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Business Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Advanced Sociology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Advanced Psychology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Specialization Course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Integrating Global Perspectives on Instruction and Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Conducting Seminars and Workshops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Research Methodology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Dissertation Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Dissertation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Candidates for admission must hold a master’s-level degree in a business-related discipline and have at least three years of professional experience. Figure 3.20 lists per-course costs and total program cost.

---

201 Taken verbatim from: Ibid.
202 Ibid.
**Figure 3.20: Tuition Costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campus</th>
<th>Per-Course Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Total in $ (US)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp, Belgium</td>
<td>€2,500</td>
<td>€30,000</td>
<td>$39,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brussels, Belgium</td>
<td>€2,500</td>
<td>€30,000</td>
<td>$39,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghent, Belgium</td>
<td>€2,500</td>
<td>€30,000</td>
<td>$39,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barcelona, Spain</td>
<td>€2,500</td>
<td>€30,000</td>
<td>$39,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrid, Spain</td>
<td>€2,500</td>
<td>€30,000</td>
<td>$39,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valencia, Spain</td>
<td>€2,500</td>
<td>€30,000</td>
<td>$39,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lausanne, Switzerland</td>
<td>5,000 CHF</td>
<td>60,000 CHF</td>
<td>$63,952.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurich, Switzerland</td>
<td>5,000 CHF</td>
<td>60,000 CHF</td>
<td>$63,952.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>¥550,000</td>
<td>¥6,600,000</td>
<td>$65,986.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online</td>
<td>5,000 CHF</td>
<td>60,000 CHF</td>
<td>$63,952.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Zurich Business School.  

---

http://www.zurich.ubits.org/downloads/Program%20Fees%202012-20-%202013.pdf
PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

Hanover Research is committed to providing a work product that meets or exceeds partner expectations. In keeping with that goal, we would like to hear your opinions regarding our reports. Feedback is critically important and serves as the strongest mechanism by which we tailor our research to your organization. When you have had a chance to evaluate this report, please take a moment to fill out the following questionnaire.


CAVEAT

The publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this brief. The publisher and authors make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this brief and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of fitness for a particular purpose. There are no warranties which extend beyond the descriptions contained in this paragraph. No warranty may be created or extended by representatives of Hanover Research or its marketing materials. The accuracy and completeness of the information provided herein and the opinions stated herein are not guaranteed or warranted to produce any particular results, and the advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for every partner. Neither the publisher nor the authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages. Moreover, Hanover Research is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. Partners requiring such services are advised to consult an appropriate professional.
APPENDIX D

Alumni Survey
In the following report, Hanover Research provides an analysis of responses to a survey administrated to Belk College of Business alumni regarding their interest in and opinions of a proposed Executive Doctorate of Business Administration (EDBA) program.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND KEY FINDINGS

INTRODUCTION

The University of North Carolina at Charlotte (UNC-Charlotte) has proposed creating a new Executive Doctorate of Business Administration (EDBA) program that will “provide doctoral-level preparation for senior-level business professionals who wish to enhance their understanding of business theory, integrate cutting edge business theory and practices, and learn to address complex business problems through advanced research methodology.”¹ As part of the development of this program, UNC-Charlotte has partnered with Hanover Research to develop and administer a survey to gauge interest in the program and gather feedback on program structure, content, and cost. The survey was offered to alumni of the Belk College of Business who had previously earned at least a master’s-level degree in business.

In the following report, Hanover Research presents a full analysis of responses, and offers suggestions regarding the program structure and content most likely to appeal to potential applicants. Of the 99 survey respondents, approximately one-third indicated they would be interested in enrolling in the proposed program. However, our analysis did not find any statistically significant differences between respondent groups for the majority of survey responses.

Below, we present the key findings from our analysis.

KEY FINDINGS

INTEREST IN PROGRAM

- Overall, slightly under one-third of respondents were interested in the program as described. Among those who were not interested, the majority specified the cost as a prohibitive factor. Other respondents voiced the concern that the program would not offer as much of an advantage in their career as additional experience or proven performance.

- These results suggest that in marketing the program, the University should consider emphasizing the ways in which skills and coursework addressed in the curriculum are both separate from and similar to those that can be gained through work experience alone. Program marketing will also need to address the concern that the cost of the program outweighs potential salary increases.

PROGRAM PRICING

- The proposed program cost of $120,000 for all three years’ worth of coursework was too high for even interested respondents to consider. Interested respondents indicated that they would prefer paying between $80,000 and $105,000, while respondents who are not interested in the program indicated that the $60,000 to $80,000 price range would be more reasonable. Most respondents considered this price range to be “a bargain” but not so low they would question the quality of the program. Based on responses to this survey, the ideal price point for the entire program may fall somewhere between $75,000 and $95,000.

PREFERRED PROGRAM STRUCTURE

- Responses suggest that the proposed length of program residencies (three days) is appropriate for most respondents. However, many respondents indicated that the proposed frequency of residencies should be lessened from four days to two per semester. Further, most respondents indicated that they prefer a mixture of online and in-person instruction, and nearly all respondents indicated that they would be willing to travel to Charlotte, NC to participate in the in-person residencies.

- Respondents, particularly those interested in the proposed program, emphasized the importance of “strategy” as a focus area of the curriculum. “The global context” and “leadership and change management” were also particularly important to respondents, while “marketing” and “research methods” were least important relative to other potential focus areas.

- All respondents placed great importance on having a global experience in the program. Respondents who indicated that they would be interested in enrolling in an EDBA program, in particular, indicated that their schedules would allow them to travel internationally as part of the program, with 96 percent able to travel up to one week and 57 percent able to travel up to two weeks. Over 80 percent of all respondents reported that they would be able to travel abroad for at least one week.

- Respondents were most concerned about faculty members’ business experience and influence as “thought leaders.” This suggests that the University should seek to recruit faculty who are recognized for advancements in their industry rather than those who hold high-level or prestigious degrees. Respondents placed relatively less importance on faculty members’ experience teaching executives or their doctorate degrees.
SECTION I: INTEREST IN EDBA PROGRAM

In the following section, Hanover Research analyzes respondent interest in the proposed EDBA program. The section examines interest based on various respondent characteristics and analyzes explanations for why some respondents are not interested in enrolling in the proposed program. As discussed throughout this section, when examining responses by interest in the program, there were no statistically significant differences observed across various respondent characteristics, including years of professional experience, current title, income level, and age group.

Among respondents surveyed, just under one-third would be interested in enrolling in the proposed EDBA program as described (Figure 1.1). Approximately 30 percent of this interested respondent group indicated that they would be willing to consider enrolling “immediately” or “within the next year” (Figure 1.6), while over one-third indicated that they were unsure when within the next three years they would consider enrolling.

Survey respondents with 11 to 20 years of professional experience were more interested in the program than those with fewer than five or over 20 years of experience. Based on coded open-ended responses, it seems individuals who have fewer than five years of experience are not yet in a position to commence an executive-level program, while those with over 20 years of experience explained that they were near retirement or felt additional education would not benefit their careers (Figure 1.7). However, because these differences were not statistically significant, these trends cannot be inferred among a broader population.

No trend appears when examining interest by respondents’ current title. Among respondents in management- and executive-level positions, interest in the program does not appear to correlate to level within a company (Figure 1.3). A single statistically significant difference appears among those who identified their current title as “other (please specify).” This group was significantly less likely to be interested in the EDBA program. These respondents typically identified themselves either as analysts, directors, or project managers, or indicated that they worked in other fields (Figure 5.7).

Similarly, no overarching trend defines the relationship between reported income and interest in the EDBA program. Respondents whose income fell below the $125,000 threshold reported slightly lower levels of interest in the program (Figure 1.4) than respondents with slightly higher incomes. Specifically, respondents whose incomes fell between $125,000 and $150,000 were more likely to be interested in the program; however this trend does not continue among higher income brackets.

When segmented by age group, there were no statistically significant differences in respondents’ interest in the proposed program. Interested respondents were, however, most concentrated in the 36 to 40 year-old age bracket, while uninterested respondents were distributed more evenly from the 31 to 35 year-old bracket through the 41 to 45 year
old bracket (Figure 1.5). A substantially higher portion of uninterested respondents were over the age of 50.

Finally, nearly one-half of respondents who indicated they were not interested in the EDBA program in the next three years explained that the cost of the program was prohibitive (Figure 1.7). Some of these respondents found the cost too high for their current financial situation, but many found that the cost was too high for the program as it was described. Additionally, some respondents did not believe the anticipated increase in income would outweigh the cost. A second major theme cited by respondents was that their field values experience and performance more than additional education, and therefore, a doctorate program would not be valued in their career path. Similarly, some respondents explained that further education in the business field would not be beneficial in their career path. Few respondents cited the length of the program as a major reason for their lack of interest.

**OVERALL INTEREST IN PROGRAM**

Figure 1.1: Respondents Would Be Interested in Applying to Proposed EDBA Program within One to Three Years (n=99)
EXAMINING INTEREST BY DEMOGRAPHIC

Figure 1.2: Comparing Interest in Program by Years of Professional Experience

Note: Differences between respondent groups are not statistically significant (at confidence level of 95 percent)

Figure 1.3: Comparing Interest in Program by Current Position

*Note: The only statistically significant difference between respondent groups is seen in the “other, please specify” level.
Figure 1.4: Comparing Interest by Income Level

Note: Differences between respondent groups are not statistically significant (at confidence level of 95 percent)

Figure 1.5: Comparing Interest by Age Group

Note: Differences between respondent groups are not statistically significant (at confidence level of 95 percent)
**POTENTIAL ENROLLMENT TIMELINE**

Figure 1.6: Interested Respondents Indicate When They Would Be Interested in Enrolling (n=31)

![Bar chart showing enrollment timeline](chart)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immediately</th>
<th>Within the next year</th>
<th>Within the next three years</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REASONS FOR LACK OF INTEREST**

Figure 1.7: Coded Reasons for Lack of Interest in EDBA Program (n=67)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THEME</th>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>SAMPLE COMMENTS ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of tuition</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>The cost is much higher than I anticipated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The cost seems excessive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience more valued than further education in career,</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>No need in my current profession.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>additional education not beneficial to career</td>
<td></td>
<td>In my field, a PhD would not give much advantage - they value experience and performance more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I don’t need it in my current and projected future role within my organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too busy, cannot make time commitment</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>At this time, I am not interested in putting that kind of stress in my life with two small children and a full-time job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I am in the middle of an established career, and my business is beginning to grow. I do not honestly feel I could spare the time to pursue an EDBA at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business degree not valued or needed in current career path</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>I have an MBA and a CFA, i’m not sure how obtaining a Doctorate of BA would increase my career potential. If i were to go back to school, i would focus more on increasing my knowledge of law so would choose a law school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This degree does not fit with my career plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too expensive for anticipated increase in income</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>The cost stated exceeds the benefit I would get from it at this point in my career.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too late in career, near retirement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>I hope to retire in 4-6 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cost is exorbitant, I am currently unemployed, and I am close to retirement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not close enough to executive level at current point in career</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not at that level in my career.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too long</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>The cost is prohibitive. Three years is too long. The program does however sound promising. Lower the cost and shorten the duration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² All comments reproduced verbatim. Some comments reflect multiple themes, and are therefore coded in multiple categories. Thus, the total aggregate number of responses for each theme will not sum to 67,
capitalized on the reputation of the university. Unfortunately, despite their design, such programs will essentially provide easy access to a doctorate to those who have the cash but not the academic capacity. Ultimately, those who earn such degrees would enter academia and only make things worse for everyone else, especially students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THEME</th>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>SAMPLE COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>business program</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Capitalize on the reputation of the university. Unfortunately, despite their design, such programs will essentially provide easy access to a doctorate to those who have the cash but not the academic capacity. Ultimately, those who earn such degrees would enter academia and only make things worse for everyone else, especially students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In another program</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Currently in a PhD program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too far away</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>I reside in India and I can’t come USA several times in a semester.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION II: PROGRAM CONTENT

In the following section, Hanover Research analyzes respondent preferences for program content, including focus areas in the curriculum, the importance of a global component to the program, and faculty qualifications.

Respondents interested in the EDBA program placed particularly high value on the inclusion of “strategy” as a focus area in the curriculum (Figure 2.1). Both those respondents who were interested in enrolling in the program and those that were not interested in enrolling in the program rated “strategy” highest among all offered focus areas; however, interested respondents were significantly more likely to rate “strategy” “extremely important” than respondents who were not interested in enrolling in the program. Following strategy, respondents emphasized the importance of “the global context” and “leadership and change management” focus areas within the program curriculum. Respondents were least concerned with “marketing” and “research methods” as programmatic focus areas.

Responses indicate that respondents value faculty industry experience over educational experience (Figure 2.2). Three-fourths of respondents rated faculty members’ “extensive experience as executives” as either “very important” or “extremely important.” Additionally, two-thirds considered it “very important” or “extremely important” that faculty members are “well-known thought leaders” in their fields. Respondents placed less importance on faculty members’ experience teaching executives and the field in which they earned their doctorate degrees.

Finally, respondents placed great importance on the global component of the proposed EDBA. Overall, 60 percent considered an international experience to be “extremely important” or “very important” (Figure 2.3), and the majority of respondents indicated that they would be willing to travel internationally for one or two weeks. Interested respondents were significantly more likely than respondents who were not interested in enrolling in the EDBA program to be able to travel internationally for two weeks, and over 90 percent of interested respondents noted that they would be able to travel abroad for at least one week.
**FOCUS AREAS**

**Figure 2.1: Respondents Rate Importance of Program Areas of Focus Areas (n=92-94)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Extremely important</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strategy*</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Global Context [e.g. courses that focus on global economic and financial systems]</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership and Change Management</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytics [e.g. courses that focus on business and consumer analytics]</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation and Entrepreneurship</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Finance</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-cultural Management</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Studies</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Methods</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: “Interested” respondents were significantly more likely than “not interested” respondents to consider “strategy” “extremely important.” There were no other statistically significant differences observed between the groups.*
**FACULTY**

**Figure 2.2: Respondents Rate Importance of Faculty Experience and Characteristics**

*(n=90-91)*

- Extremely important
- Very important
- Important
- Somewhat important
- Not very important
- Not at all important
- Unsure

| Faculty have extensive experience as executives | 41% | 34% | 15% | 7% |
| Faculty are well-known thought leaders in their respective fields | 39% | 27% | 24% | 7% |
| Faculty are industry leaders | 26% | 37% | 25% | 9% |
| Faculty have extensive experience teaching executives | 23% | 36% | 22% | 12% |
| Faculty possess doctorate degrees in their respective disciplines | 32% | 20% | 20% | 16% | 11% |

Note: Differences between respondent groups are *not statistically significant* (at confidence level of 95 percent)

**GLOBAL EXPERIENCE**

**Figure 2.3: Respondents Rate Importance of Having an International Component of EDBA**

*(n=90)*

| Extremely important | 33% |
| Very important | 27% |
| Important | 21% |
| Somewhat important | 10% |
| Not very important | 8% |
| Unsure | 1% |

Note: Differences between respondent groups are *not statistically significant* (at confidence level of 95 percent)
Figure 2.4: Respondents Would be Able to Travel Internationally as Part of EDBA

**OVERALL (N=89)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No, my schedule will not permit any international travel*</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, if the experience lasts less than one week</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, if the experience lasts less than two weeks*</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BY INTEREST IN EDBA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Not interested (n=61)</th>
<th>Interested (n=28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No, my schedule will not permit any international travel*</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, if the experience lasts less than one week</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, if the experience lasts less than two weeks*</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There is a statistically significant difference in responses by respondent group for these responses.*
SECTION III: PROGRAM STRUCTURE

The following section presents respondent preferences for the structure of the proposed program, including the length and frequency of residencies and online delivery options. In this section of the survey, respondents rated the structure of the program’s proposed residencies and considered a range of online instruction options. Currently the program is proposing four residencies per semester lasting three days each. Generally, respondents found the length of the residencies to be appropriate, but favored attending less than four residencies per semester.

Among those who found the proposed number of residencies to be too high, the plurality preferred two residencies per semester. While there is not a statistically significant difference between respondents who are interested in enrolling in an EDBA program and those who are not, interested respondents preferred attending two residencies per semester, though nearly one-half also found four residencies to be an appropriate number.

Respondents who are interested in enrolling in an EDBA program were significantly more likely to consider three days an appropriate length for the residencies than respondents who are not interested in the proposed program (Figure 3.2). Among all respondents who rated the length “too long,” one-half preferred two day residencies, and one-third preferred one and one-half day residencies. All “interested” respondents who considered the residencies “too long” preferred two day residencies.3

Nearly all respondents would be willing to travel to Charlotte, NC to attend the proposed residencies. No “interested” respondents reported being unwilling to attend residencies in Charlotte. Only six respondents would not consider attending residencies in Charlotte, and among these respondents, three cited a lack of interest in the program and three indicated that they live too far from Charlotte to consider it (Figure 3.3).

Over two-thirds of respondents were interested in receiving online instruction in whole or in part (Figure 3.4). Among respondents interested in enrolling in the program, this portion is even higher, though the difference is not statistically significant. Among those who were interested in at least partial online delivery, the highest portion preferred 75 percent online delivery and 25 percent in-person instruction. Over one-half of all respondents preferred that at least 50 percent of instruction be delivered online (Figure 3.4). Few respondents preferred an entirely online delivery, however, and no “interested” respondents preferred only online instruction.

3 Three interested respondents rated the residencies too long and preferred two day residencies.
Figure 3.1: Rating Proposed Frequency of Residencies (n=92)

### Rating Frequency of Residencies (n=92)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Too frequent</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too infrequent</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Selecting Ideal Frequency of Residencies if Too Frequent (n=28)

- Three residencies per semester: 11%
- Two residencies per semester: 46%
- One residency per semester: 36%
- One residency per year: 7%

### Selecting Ideal Frequency of Residencies if Too Infrequent (n=3)

- I would prefer a program that includes weekly instruction and/or seminars on-campus: 3
- Five or more residencies per semester: 0
- Six or more residencies per semester: 0
Figure 3.2: Rating Proposed Length of Residencies

**RATING LENGTH OF RESIDENCIES (n=93)**

- Too long: 13%
- Appropriate*: 56%
- Too short: 5%
- Unsure: 26%

**SELECTING IDEAL LENGTH OF RESIDENCIES IF TOO LONG (n=12)**

- One day: 2
- One and a half days: 4
- Two days: 6

**SELECTING IDEAL LENGTH OF RESIDENCIES IF TOO SHORT (n=5)**

- Five days: 2
- Four days: 3
- Three and a half days: 0

*Interested respondents were significantly more likely to rate the length of residencies “appropriate” with 72 percent offering this rating, compared to 48 percent of not interested respondents.
Figure 3.3: Willingness to Travel to Charlotte, NC for Residencies (n=93)

Note: All interested respondents would be willing to travel to Charlotte, and there is no statistically significant difference between respondent groups.
ONLINE DELIVERY

Figure 3.4: Interest in Online Delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents Interested in Program Delivered Online in Whole or in Part (n=93)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparing Interest in Online Delivery by Interest in EDBA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not interested in EDBA (n=64)</th>
<th>Interested in EDBA (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No online delivery</td>
<td>At least some online delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Differences between respondent groups are not statistically significant (at confidence level of 95 percent)

Figure 3.5: Ideal Balance between Online and In-person Instruction (n=93)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not interested in online option</th>
<th>25% online, 75% in-person</th>
<th>50% online, 50% in-person</th>
<th>75% online, 25% in-person</th>
<th>Entirely online</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SECTION IV: PROGRAM COST

The following section addresses four survey questions regarding respondents’ perceptions of the proposed cost of the EDBA program. The responses presented in Figures 4.1 through 4.4 suggest that the proposed price of $120,000 may be higher than many would be willing to consider—even for those respondents who indicated they were otherwise interested in the program.

Respondents who indicated that they are interested in enrolling in an EDBA program indicated that a program price ranging from $80,000 up to $105,000 would be appropriate. At the $60,000 to $80,000 price range, one-third of all respondents would consider the tuition “a bargain” (Figure 4.4), but not so inexpensive that they would question its quality (Figure 4.2). Based on open-ended responses, the proposed cost of $120,000 is a major deterring factor for respondents who indicated they would not be interested in enrolling in the program (Figure 1.7), and responses in this section suggest lowering the price point would draw the interest of a wider range of potential applicants.

A few statistically significant differences emerge when examining price preferences based on interest in the program. “Interested” respondents are significantly more likely to say $105,000 to $130,000 is “too expensive to consider” compared to those respondents who indicated that they are not interested in the program (Figure 4.1). Similarly, a significantly higher portion of “interested” respondents would be willing to pay $80,000 to $105,000 than those respondents who are not interested in the program (Figure 4.3).

Figure 4.1: Price EDBA Would be Too Expensive to Consider

*There is a statistically significant difference in responses by respondent group for these responses
Figure 4.2: Price of Tuition So Inexpensive Respondents Would Question Quality

Note: Differences between respondent groups are not statistically significant (at confidence level of 95 percent)

Figure 4.3: Maximum Tuition Respondents Would be Willing to Pay

*There is a statistically significant difference in responses by respondent group for these responses
Figure 4.4: Price Tuition Would be Considered a Bargain

Note: Differences between respondent groups are not statistically significant (at confidence level of 95 percent)
SECTION V: RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS

The following section summarizes respondent demographics and employment information provided at the conclusion of the survey. Most respondents reside in North Carolina (Figure 5.1) and are between 31 and 45 years of age (Figure 5.3). A slightly higher portion of respondents were male than female (Figure 5.4), and most have a spouse or partner (Figure 5.3).

The majority of respondents are currently employed (Figure 5.5) and the plurality hold a mid-level management position (Figure 5.6). One-third of respondents work in the banking and finance industry (Figure 5.10), with Bank of America and Wells Fargo most frequently specified employers (Figure 5.9). The majority of respondents reported an income of at least $100,000 (Figure 5.11).

DEMOGRAPHICS

Figure 5.1: Respondents Report Current Residence (n=88)
Figure 5.2: Age (n=88)

- 2% younger
- 8% 26 to 30
- 22% 31 to 35
- 24% 36 to 40
- 23% 41 to 45
- 11% 46 to 50
- 10% 51 and over

Figure 5.3: Marital Status (n=88)

- 14% Do not have a spouse or partner
- 84% Have a spouse or partner
- 2% Prefer not to answer

Figure 5.4: Gender (n=88)

- 44% Female
- 55% Male
- 1% Prefer not to answer
EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS

Figure 5.5: Currently Employed (n=88)

- Yes: 93%
- No: 7%

Figure 5.6: Years of Professional Experience (n=88)

- 5 years or fewer: 6%
- 6 to 10 years: 19%
- 11 to 15 years: 29%
- 16 to 20 years: 20%
- More than 20 years of experience: 26%

Figure 5.7: Current Position (n=79)

- Junior management: 11%
- Mid-level management: 29%
- Senior management: 14%
- Junior executive: 8%
- Mid-level executive: 8%
- Senior executive: 9%
- Other, please specify: 22%

OTHER SPECIFIED POSITIONS (n=15)

- Analyst (2)
- Individual contributor (2)
- None of the above apply
- Worker Bee
- PhD student
- call center rep
- Independent director
- Independent researcher
- Owner
- staff
- Engineer with supervisory responsibilities
- Non-managerial
- Subject matter expert - non-management
### Figure 5.8: Specified Job Titles (n=71)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyst</th>
<th>Director</th>
<th>Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analyst</td>
<td>Digital Marketing Director</td>
<td>Business Development Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Financial Crimes Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analyst</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>global offering manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database Administrator/Senior Business Analyst</td>
<td>Director Load and Fundamental Forecasting</td>
<td>Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lead financial analyst</td>
<td>Director of Accounting Operations</td>
<td>Manager of Financial Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Treasury Analyst</td>
<td>Director of Business Methods</td>
<td>Manager of Patient Advocacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Financial Analyst</td>
<td>Director of Information Technology</td>
<td>Manager, Investment Accounting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Quantitative finance analyst</td>
<td>Director of Marketing</td>
<td>Manager, Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director of Quality Assurance</td>
<td>Manager, Workforce Productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Managing Director</td>
<td>platinum relationship manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Program Director</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner</th>
<th>Vice-President</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attorney / Partner</td>
<td>Senior Vice President</td>
<td>Accountant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>Senior VP</td>
<td>Associate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Svp</td>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SVP, Human Resources Executive</td>
<td>Audit Senior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vp ops and SCM</td>
<td>business quality control manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Vice President, Sr. Project Manager</td>
<td>Business Systems Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chef Financial Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Entreprenuer, Small Business Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Graduate Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marketing Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marketing Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nutrition consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>part time paralegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principal Systems Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Private Loan Business Underwriter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Process Expert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Registered Nurse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>self employed-owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VP Finance Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.9: Name of Company (n=73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIFIED COMPANIES</th>
<th>SPECIFIED COMPANIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank of America (10)</td>
<td>Fireman's Fund Insurance Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo (8)</td>
<td>Grant Thornton LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke Energy (3)</td>
<td>Hearst Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIAA-CREF(2)</td>
<td>Imerys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks Equipment Company, LLC</td>
<td>Infosys Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BYTE SUCCESS MARKETING LLC</td>
<td>Katz Brunner Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolina Tractor</td>
<td>Kiger Waple Houk, PLLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolinas HealthCare System</td>
<td>Kingfisher Capital LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colliers International</td>
<td>Kpmg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corning Incorporated</td>
<td>Mary Kay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowder Construction</td>
<td>Mergent, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down Syndrome Association of Charlotte</td>
<td>Michelin Tire Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e*trade financial</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Testing Service</td>
<td>National School of Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliott Davis, PLLC</td>
<td>Nederman Filtration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experis Finance</td>
<td>Premier healthcare alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Press Ganey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roger K. Hill &amp; Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rogers Townsend and Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scholastic Book Fairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCOR Global Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SonaCare Medical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterigenics International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Meyocks Group, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Polymer Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tidemark Systems, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time Warner Cable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transport Unlimited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNC Greensboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Department of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USAirways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vanguard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WB Moore Company of Charlotte, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wikoff Color Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Young Masters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.10: Industry of Employment (n=80)

- Finance/Banking/Insurance: 33%
- Other, please specify: 18%
- Healthcare/Medical: 9%
- Accounting: 8%
- Manufacturing: 6%
- Government: 4%
- Engineering/Architecture: 4%
- Consulting: 4%
- Telecommunications: 3%
- Energy: 3%
- Computers (Hardware, Desktop Software): 3%
- Transportation/Distribution: 1%
- Real Estate: 1%
- Internet: 1%
- Business/Professional Services: 1%
- Biotechnology: 1%
- Aerospace/Aviation: 1%
- Advertising/Marketing/Public Relations: 1%

Specified Industry (n=14)
- Academic
- Art program for children
- Construction
- Construction
- Education
- Heavy Equipment
- Insurance
- Legal
- Media
- Mining and minerals processing
- Non-Profit
- Real Estate
- Law/Foreclosures
- Skin care cosmetics
- Wholesale Distribution
Figure 5.11: Income (n=75)

- Less than $50,000: 8%
- $50,000 to $74,999: 12%
- $75,000 to $99,999: 17%
- $100,000 to $124,999: 29%
- $125,000 to $149,999: 19%
- $150,000 to $174,999: 4%
- $175,000 to $199,999: 4%
- $200,000 or more: 7%
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APPENDIX E

Interviews with Prospective Students
Interview method

The Belk College of Business is exploring the feasibility of establishing an executive Doctor of Business Administration (DBA). The proposed degree program will provide doctoral-level preparation for senior-level business professionals who wish to enhance their understanding of business theory, integrate cutting edge business theory and practice, and learn to address complex business problems through advanced research methodology.

YGL Enterprises, Inc. conducted 30 face-to-face and telephone interviews over the period of June 19 – August 29, 2013. The interviews were divided into two categories, Prospective Student and Employer Perspective. At the beginning of each interview, the participant was provided with background information on the proposed degree program prior to the start of the question portion of the survey. Twenty-five “Prospective Student” interviewees participated in the process.

See Appendix A for a list of participants.

See Appendix B for a summary of Prospective Student comments.

See Appendix C for a summary of Employer Perspective comments.

The duration of each interview was approximately 30-45 minutes. The survey instrument was created by the Belk College of Business.

The Prospective Student Interviewees were selected on the basis of the following criteria:

1) Participants hold an MBA or master’s degree in a related field
2) Participants have extensive business experience and hold or have held a senior-level title with an organization.

The College selected the initial list of interview candidates. YGL Enterprises identified additional candidates, based on the above criteria. Interview participants rounded out the list by supplying names and contact information of other individuals who fit the above criteria and would be willing to participate in an interview.
Perspective Student Demographics and Report

**Gender**

Male – 18  
Female – 7

**Industry representation**

Banking/Financial Services  
Consulting  
Healthcare  
Engineering

Higher Education

**Years of business experience:**

(5-10) 3; (11-15) 5; (16-20) 7; (21-26) 6; (27+) 4

**Business Title**

Vice President (3)  
Manager  
Retired

Sr. Project Manager  
Change Mgmt. Facilitator  
Consultant (3)

Sr. Financial Advisor  
Adjunct Professor  
Engineering Manager

Sr. Vice President (7)  
VP of Marketing  
CFO

Independent Contractor  
President/CEO (3)

**Educational Institutions**

USC (2)  
UVA  
University of Michigan

West Va. University  
Montreat College  
John Hopkins University

UNC-Chapel Hill (2)  
University of Rochester  
Adelphi University

University of Chicago  
Carnegie Mellon  
M.I.T.

North Central University  
Capital University  
Rensselear Polytechnic

Queens University  
University of Phoenix  
Thunderbird School of Global Management

Wake Forest  
UNC-Charlotte (5)

**Highest degree obtained:**
MBA (19) 
EMBA
PhD
BA in Finance
Master’s in Taxation
*Master’s in Engineering-received along with MBA
DBA
MHA

Observations

While a majority of the interviewees had little or no prior knowledge of the specifics of an EDBA program, most see the benefit of the proposed program, particularly in relation to Target Group #2 (those who wish to transition into academia or consulting). Interviewees interpreted the benefits of the program in several ways, including the opportunity to gain intellectual stimulation, achieve a deeper knowledge of common issues facing today’s executive, as well networking with other senior-level executives.

With regard to what interests the interviewee the most of about this program, responses were varied, but many are intrigued about learning more about the global aspect of business and how it impacts business practices in today’s market. Additionally, many reference their desire to gain a deeper understanding of strategic issues and to enhance their leadership and managerial skills. Other areas of note include:

- Marketing and business development
- Strategy
- Non-profit management
- Complex management issues
- Millennials and their impact on business
- Supply chain issues
- Population growth
- Talent management and development
- Ethics
- Innovation
- Change management
- Utilization of analytics

As noted above, more than half of the interviewees view this program as a springboard to transitioning into another career, most often referencing a shift to academia or consulting. This idea is reinforced in the consistent responses that an EDBA would not be a differentiator among their peers in their current position. Many note that companies value performance above academic credentials.

*The College should carefully consider this point in determining its primary target market.

The majority reference cost, flexibility (in scheduling and class attendance) and opportunities for networking as motivators to or not to enroll. Flexibility and time constraints are key factors of consideration along with the ability to balance work/life commitments. Many interviewees have children of various ages; therefore, all of these are determining factors for a potential candidate when considering the program.
A related issue to flexibility is the stated desire for on-line components of learning and communications. Interviewees state that their travel schedules don’t always allow for face-to-face participation or the ability to carve out time during regular work hours for academic pursuits. Therefore, participants expect that faculty will be accessible off-hours to answer questions and other related concerns. Advance access to all scheduled in-class dates/residencies is critical to the interviewee.

Interviewees further expressed their concerns regarding cost to the proposed tuition rates. Many would have to fund the majority of their expenses, if not all of the costs associated with the EDBA program. Larger companies usually have a tuition-reimbursement policy, but it covers a minimal amount. The employer would have to see a strong ROI before considering a larger contribution. Several interviewees indicate that the $120,000-$150,000 range is “too high” and further elaborate that they would weigh cost against ROI, as it relates to their long-term career goals.

Overall, the interviewees like the cohort approach and view the format as a positive to facilitate networking and team-building skills. However, a few interviewees shared stories of how this approach can go awry if participants drop out early or don’t commit to working as a team. Regarding expectations about the composition and background of the class, interviewees express a desire for diversity of industries and professional experience. One caveat is that the College should establish a minimum threshold for participants related to “years of experience” (at least 10 years in a senior role). Several mention that it would be problematic to have relatively junior executives mixed in with more senior executives.

One hundred percent of interviewees indicate a strong preference for faculty who possess a blend of academic and real world experience. Visiting professors and guest lecturers are seen as a positive addition to the program. If a faculty member does not possess practical experience, the onus is on the faculty member to supplement the lecture process with the appropriate expert. Some interviewees express a desire for faculty to serve as a “guide,” thus allowing the students to drive the course and conversation.

There is consistent support for a program that is “broad in scope.” Many note that executives need a general understanding of all the disciplines that relate to business, today.

The opportunity for travel abroad is intriguing to many interviewees. More than two-thirds of the respondents rate the opportunity as “important” or “very important.” However, several note factors that can impact their ability to participate. They include: the length of time required for international travel, how travel impacts their professional schedule and whether their company would view time away as vacation time vs. paid time off.

Careful consideration should be given to the response variance of “On a scale of 1-10, how interested are you in pursuing the EDBA?” The breakdown is as follows:

9 (1-3 rating), 5 (4-6 rating), 7 (7-9 rating) and 3 (10+ rating)
Fifty percent rated their interest level on the lower end of the scale. Even the mid- to higher ratings include exception statements, such as “I need more information;” “if the cost is lower;” or “If my children are older . . .”

While many interviewees expressed genuine interest in the concept of the program and potential topic offerings, cost, company support, ROI and time constraints all are significant factors in the potential students’ decision making process.

Interviewees reconfirmed their stance on the appeal of this program for executives looking to transition into a teaching or consulting role via their response to “What types of business people would be interested in this program?” Many feel that executives in larger global companies would have more of a desire to enroll in the EDBA program vs. a smaller company, but interest levels would likely span across many industries. Financial institutions, manufacturing, economic councils and chambers of commerce were all mentioned as possible target areas. Additionally, interviewees feel that the program would attract individuals from outside the Charlotte region, likely within a 100-mile radius due to the ease of accessibility into Charlotte, the rarity of existing EDBA programs and the City’s and UNC-Charlotte’s positive reputation.

The concluding question of, “If you were the Dean of the Belk College, would the establishment of an EDBA be a priority?” elicited various responses. While several interviewees feel that an EDBA program is needed and would be a sustainable in the long-term, several encourage the College to conduct additional data gathering and analysis on ROI. Many also express the view that the establishment of an EDBA program as a reputation-builder for the College is a way to gain a competitive edge against other educational institutions given the uniqueness of the program.
Perspective Employer Demographics and Report

Company and Industry

Ingersoll Rand – Diversified industries
Community One - Banking
Congentrix - Energy
Duke Energy - Energy
Premier Healthcare Alliance – Healthcare

Titles

SVP Human Resources
VP for Talent Development
HR Program Management Specialist
SVP Human Resources and Communications
EVP Chief Human Resources Officer

Number of Employees

300
28,432
1,500
48,000
607

Observations

Five company representatives participated in the interview process. While their responses do provide some insight as to how the proposed EDBA program would be viewed from the employer perspective, the reader should take caution in making broad assumptions due to the small pool of respondents.

With regard to how a company views the benefit of its employees participating in such a program, the response is mixed. Two of the HR representatives see little value in the program as it relates to the company. “Why does a PhD or EDBA provide an edge to business executives?” was one response. Another interviewee notes that the company seeks industry-specific training for its executives. Others view the program in more positives terms. They see the EDBA candidate employee as gaining new perspectives and critical thinking skills that could enhance the success of the organization through innovative thought and strategic vision.

As with the Prospective Student Interviewees, HR executives like the “broad in scope” format, acknowledging that executives need knowledge and skills that bridge across business disciplines. Others also note the need for training in innovation and leadership.
All of the HR executives indicate overall support for an executive who selects his/her company as the focus of the required dissertation. While there are some non-disclosure issues that would need to be addressed, each interviewee agrees that their company would be supportive of the dissertation focus. One HR executive comments that it would be a “huge value-add” to use a company problem as the focus of the dissertation.

As noted by several Prospective Student interviewees, companies view the need for flexibility in scheduling, advance notification of class dates and on-line components of the EDBA program as paramount to the executive’s ability to successfully participate in such a program. When asked how a company would view an international component of the program, interviewees like the idea in theory, but respond with some skepticism as to how this feature would ultimately benefit the company. Most see an international experience as an individualized experience.

HR executives agree that price would be a factor in determining whether an employee would pursue such a program. Many companies cap their annual contribution towards employee tuition costs, as noted in several Prospective Student responses. Employees would need to fund the majority of the expenses. ROI and how program participation would be a “value-add” to the company are also considerations.

There was not strong support among the interviewees to endorse participation in the EDBA program. ROI and how the program would specifically benefit executive management are all on-going concerns of the HR executives.

Interviewees provide varied responses as to what type of business professionals would be interested in the EDBA program. They include: mid-career executives, those looking to transition into a new career, market research or product management executives and senior executives.

There is consistent belief that if such a program is offered, it would attract individuals outside of the Charlotte region due to the uniqueness of the program and the lack of market penetration on a national scale.

The interviewees have similar suggestions as the Prospective Students as to how best to access demand for the program. Surveys of alumni, employers and other educational institutions are all considered good ways to obtain data. Additionally, a couple of the interviewees encourage the College to utilize the resources of the board of directors and advisory boards.

In conclusion, the interviewees are in general agreement that the program is not needed and do not recommend that the College pursue this endeavor. As noted in many of the comments, there is concern about ROI, whether the program provides a value-add to the company and the employee, and if there is a significant pool of applicants to draw from. However, HR executives encourage the College to explore other opportunities and continue to enhance relationships with the business community.
Interviewees
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APPENDIX B

INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE
Prospective Student Comments
Executive Doctorate in Business Administration (EDBA) Description

What interests you most about these programs?

Hearing the scope of the program, the topics seem relevant; it would be more interesting to me to select one of those areas/courses vs. the entire program.

Enhances your skills; provides you options for changing your career if you desire; other option it may enhance your skills in your existing career.

Intellectual stimulation and credentialing; enhancing consulting capabilities and ability to market.

It would be a catalyst to transition to a second career.

The angle towards the working executive who can than work on this degree without leaving their job.

I think those executives who are interested in transitioning into academia would see the need to pursue this program.

I enjoy teaching; I'm 52; I'm looking at potentially teaching - a clinical professorship; a good way to bolster my academic credentials; I think folks who are interested in moving into a consulting area make sense.

Not had an interest as it relates to my current job; but examples of business issues are of interest to me; do I need to pursue such a degree, not sure; I have been offered in an adjunct position at Georgetown, a few years ago, therefore I have had an interest in teaching; I can see how this degree would be interesting.

Always interesting to think about career movements; segue way from business to academia or into consulting; opportunities to learn about the global market place - more prevalent in middle-market companies.

It would help me understand business in more depth; I'm in tech, currently; it would give me an edge up.

In deciding in leaving the bank with still a career path in front of me; what are my options? I'm evaluating other industries; how do I convert everything that I've learned to potentially moving into academia.
The fact that it's not full time; learning a higher level of education as it relates to business and business practices that help me succeed

Interesting topics, Lean Sigma for example, these topics should resonate with most people; a lot of times executives don't have time to dive deep in some of these areas

I'm in engineering; I'm interested in common issues that most businesses face; how do individuals at the top solve those issues; a program that will allow me to craft those strategies.

Business without borders, global aspect; sustainability and millennial component

The executive nature of the program; topics-I like them, very relevant, you can't have just an academic mindset, you need that global aspect; the broad nature is of interest.

The fact that you can get individuals with a lot of industrial experience who can then accelerate their bandwidth with formal training; maybe someone who is burnt out in their current position but still has a lot to offer; program can help reposition the individual.

It allows me to accelerate my career; expands my theoretical understanding; it is becoming what an MBA was 10 years.

Intellectual stimulation; enables me to make a career transition; always wanted to teach and consult in my retirement years; it's an investment in future

Opportunity to take a bridge to go beyond the adjunct professor role; bridging to full academic career.

How would you see this program benefiting you or someone at your level? How does the EDBA fit with your long-term career goals? (Probe about whether they are interested in career advancement with their current employer or transition to consulting or higher education.) I have been guest lecturing, this experience has afforded me more insights and access to information; I see the EDBA program expanding on that premise.

A terminal degree with a practical focus to use, today, is enormous; long-term, interest in teaching 50%, widening opportunities beyond health care; this would give me more avenues to pursue.

Moving into academia; before turning 45 have the DBA; be able to create a time-table for a clinical position in academia.

See above; thinking about teaching or a consulting role.
it benefits me as someone who is interested in linking my Master's degree-mastering the leadership piece, but I realize that I can teach; I also see how it is helping me in my current job as influencing people; it makes you a stronger person in having you engage in self-reflection.

I don't know if I have a great answer; possibly the leadership aspects of the program and the broader issues, i.e. global issues; there are tie-ins between the bank and this program; this program doesn't necessarily help me advance in the organization, it's what you get out of it-leadership components, having that broader perspective (strategic vision).

I think it is for those individuals who are looking to transition; in the banking industry there are numerous career programs that would be more applicable to me.

It would be about connections; I came out of the public accounting world, thinking I am a smart guy. I understand finance, but no experience in sales. You need both the financial expertise and then the personal/sales side. How to communicate-the connections and hearing what other participants saw/share would provide me with another perspective. How you connect with people.

I can see it fitting in both target groups; opportunity for changing careers or for enhancing skills in existing career; the topics are fantastic!

All of the topics are relevant; I don't know if I pursued a PhD that it would help me progress in my career in the bank; my performance has more impact on how I progress vs. advanced education; the culture values accomplishments over advanced degrees.

Credentialed; the practical consulting value; curriculum and cohort opportunities.

Transitioning into teaching, possibly; without the PhD you are limited to being an adjunct professor.

PhD. aspect is what I'm interested in; options are limited for full-time executives; I like the executive format.

I know of a lot of people in Charlotte who are sr. level business executives who want to move into academia; I see this program a positive offering, particular for those who are more towards the end of their current career and want to transition into something else.

I enjoy teaching; I'm 52; I'm looking at potentially teaching-a clinical professorship; a good way to bolster my academic credentials; I think folks who are interested in moving into a consulting area make sense. See above; thinking about teaching or a consulting role.

The opportunity to experience an executive level course work with other colleagues, that does have value; I'm always trying to current and fresh; IR has its own executive leader program, I participated in
that program; down the road I do have some interest in academia; the degree can keep me current and fresh.

I feel that what you would be learning in classroom would be relatable to practical experience; valuable; anyone who has the opportunity to expand their education, it provides more “fire in your belly.” Your mind is expanded.

Advancement within the company; expose me to a broader range of people; networking, expand connections; springboard to another career.

In deciding in leaving the bank with still a career path in front of me; what are my options? I’m evaluating other industries; how do I convert everything that I’ve learned to potentially moving into academia. See above. This degree could be helpful for me in attaining this new career path in moving into academia.

It depends, a very individualistic response, per person; I think a group of folks who are looking to do something a little different in their career; looking for focused expertise in a major business problem; program will make an expert in a particular area as noted (consulting).

I already have the background in engineering; this program will provide me with a differentiating factor; I would like to learn rigorous research methodology.

Me-around the consulting piece; I’m not a senior level executive, but I could see the benefits of transitioning into consultant.

Very interesting outside of Finance; you don’t necessarily need to have a MBA in Finance; as a leader I am interested due to the level of training and the rich level of information; the bank doesn’t do a good job in interpreting big data; combining leadership and analytics is intriguing; I have a voracious appetite for learning, but I need to balance that with work/life balance; I have young children; I don’t feel you have to have this degree within BofA (finance), maybe outside.

By providing the student with more education to trust his/her instincts in strategic planning; it doesn’t fit with my long term goals; three degrees are enough, but could change my mind if I plan to go into consulting etc.

In my role now, I am a consultant (future design services); I am looked upon a thought leader-innovation theory; that has been out of personal interest in innovation theory; moving beyond the books that I’m reading; future career goals-debating between a PhD vs. DBA route; a DBA doesn’t require you to make a significant career at this point; DBA is a good next step.
I wish the College had offered a PhD 30 years ago. I’m at the top of my game. I have sent several people to UNCC to get a PhD Family members and employees. In the future, if you have an MBA, it will not be enough. MBAs everywhere; now the PhD is unique.

I have been guest lecturing, this experience has afforded me more insights and access to information; I see the EDBA program expanding on that premise.

Moving into academia; before turning 45 have the DBA; be able to create a time-table for a clinical position in academia.

If you were a participant in an EDBA program, what skills and capabilities would want to gain expertise in that would help you achieve your long-term goals? (Example: Do you want to gain a deeper understanding of managerial and/or strategic issues that currently face your company or are you looking to develop skills to open up new career opportunities? What new skills are important? Would taking on research projects that translate cutting-edge business theory into business practice interest you?)

Specific to my employer, there are a lot of international opportunities, my banking is more domestic-focus; any program that offers international/global understanding would be of interest; maybe, Six Sigma at most banks aren’t focused on this areas.

Strategic issues are important; any better advantage I can get is helpful; it would help me expand my skill set.

Work/life balance; a mentor told me that what would increase my success is to have both work and life experiences; implementing the 80/20 rule; learning from other peoples’ experiences

Leadership and strategic vision.

New skills or evolve current skills to respond on how markets and customers are changing-changes demographics.

Team-building; understanding other leaders; understanding organizational conflict; organizational structuring; forecasting.

Strategic and managerial in a virtual environment; more companies are moving in that direction; general continuation of the MBA curriculum; organization management; profitability; internationalization issues.

For existing career you are refreshed on contemporary issues; big data is something fairly new; I don’t know that I would pick up a particular skill that relates to my job because it is so specialized; skills related to a potential transition-pedagogy skills—how do you teach?
Data issues; how to understand data; synergenics in dealing with data.

Most people will want that theoretical program; most people enrolling will have the business experience, but they want more theory/academic experience.

Thinking about what are the skill sets that I need to strengthen-bolster my pure finance knowledge, strategic aspects of finance.

New education around the latest trends around a strategic issues; leveraging new technology; leveraging new trends in marketing; some content coursework in organizational design; latest research practices; marketing leadership and managing the creative class; what is the right approach in working with leaders.

Focus on the global market place; considering entrepreneurial level opportunities for myself; strategy.

Managerial and strategic areas; what is affecting business leaders, currently.

I have a very strong finance background, so not looking for that; I’ve dealt with managerial issues; interested in digging deep into the millennial, the new customers, how they are shaping the future, globally? How do prepare for that new future; marketing-creating sound and effective marketing plans; on-line is so much more significant in the academic world-I’m on the McCall School Board of Advisors; having faculty deliver in an on-line environment (potential angle); focus on delivering in the on-line world, as well as physical.

Looking for quantitative skills vs. soft skills at a strategic level to fill a role for a company or myself, looking across departments to make sound projections as to where that department or company should go.

It depends on the individual; any of these areas could be very interesting; most of these folks would like to use this degree as a springboard to consulting or, if they work for a very large company, where they can be deployed around to different areas.

I would like more managerial skills and strategy; I’m already a green-belt; to study planning; how do you get to the next level.

Strategic aspect of the program; I have a good background in the managerial, but would like to develop strategic; I’m not a big fan of research, but for the end result to manage and solve business problems, then yes.

At the executive level, the managerial skills are important; from an analytics standpoint, the cross-border aspect would be very beneficial.
Analytics and research.

Strategic aspects are very strong; very interesting; how do you set strategy in today’s world? other areas include innovation (real theory-practical applications), design thinking; would like to jump on that opp. to create good research or rigor to that method would be great.

To differentiate myself from the competition. Without a PhD you really have to struggle to differentiate yourself. MBAs have to push harder.

New career opportunities are my main desire, but I can also use these skills in my current position.

Advanced research techniques; access to more global staff that can provide insights across industries; cross-industry view; opp. to take experience to another level with networking with other senior executives; bigger problem solving.

In your line of work, how relevant is a doctorate to differentiate yourself from colleagues with master’s degrees? (Probe: Can you tell me more about that?)

Unless you are an economist, it doesn’t make much difference.

It seems like PhDs are more valued in a consulting realm; in the business world, which is narrower, you may be viewed as “too educated.”

I don’t think it makes a difference in closing business; it would help me hone my business skills, personally.

Not in my area; there are PhDs in the quantitative space, but in the general business population, the doctorate would not make a difference.

I don’t know if I pursued a PhD that it would help me progress in my career in the bank; my performance has more impact on how I progress vs. advanced education; the culture values accomplishments over advanced degrees.

It gives more validity in your area of expertise; enhances confidence level of the “buyer” of your services.

For national and international consulting, it is a significant; it would enhance my potential for biz dev outside of the regional market.

Not relevant; even in Europe, this area of banking does not lend itself to pursuing a doctorate.
In any line of work, a PhD shows you are willing to go the extra mile; it shows that you understand the research aspect; it’s a proactive approach in understanding what is going on in your field.

That may be an industry-specific question; when I was in telecom that really didn’t make a difference; I know people who have PhDs but don’t use them.

In my line of work, it isn’t significant; demonstrated success is more important in my line of work.

Not very.

I don’t know that this degree would set me apart from my colleagues at the bank; intriguing from an educational perspective; it would improve my ability to think strategically.

For upper management, it would be good; in my current role, it would not impact me in a positive way.

Very relevant; in healthcare I’m surrounded by MDs, I know two doctorates; a doctorate in my line of work would instantly set me apart; however, the degree alone does not ensure success; my skills and experience play into that success.

It depends what you want to do with the degree; the MBA has become so prominent, that the EDBA can allow you to stand out; differentiation is value.

It’s not required; what I want to do is switch to operations long-term.

Not very relevant; I work in diversity and inclusion; my manager has a doctorate; the degree adds to credibility, but not expected by employer.

In BofA’s finance area, you lead first through performance; I have no idea what my colleagues or boss has degree-wise; here, it’s always about performance.

It can be important in technical-related areas, but not so much in other areas; thought leaders would likely benefit.

In healthcare, doctorates are not very appreciated; PhD is the top in a physician’s mind; however, once you know the language of theory you are appreciated.

Very relevant; it is key.

I can’t think of anyone else in marketing who has a PhD; this degree would make me stand out and be perceived as unique; usually PhDs are centered in technical areas.

Scale 1-5, a 2; my career doesn’t beckon a more advanced degree; I’m not sitting in analytics.
What other factors would motivate you to enroll or not enroll in an EDBA program? Example: cost, flexibility, networking?

Cost is less of a factor; if you are at the senior level, cost shouldn’t be as much of a factor; scheduling is somewhat of a factor, particularly if you family.

Flexibility is #1 due to family commitments.

I can’t imagine that the cost would be outside the norm, so that is not an overriding factor; time and flexibility is an issue; connections are most enticing.

All of the above; cost and flexibility would be key; I’m not going to spend a lot of money on a doctorate—I spent a lot on my MBA; the networking would be a big selling point; I don’t have a lot of spare time—I would require a lot of flexibility.

Flexibility and time commitments would drive my decisions.

I would make it work no matter what the issues are; time management piece is critical if the student has young children at home would be a key factor; financially – the decision is on you.

All of the above; I like the cohort concept; flexibility of scheduling/virtual learning.

All of the above; time constraints is the biggest driver.

Time and cost are the main two issues; time more so than anything else; work/life balance.

Time, money and fear are the three primary factors; the time and money issues tend to work out; the fear factor is the overriding factor—how will school impact my life; is this experience similar to when I previously attended.

All of those are very important; the program needs to be flexible enough to work with busy schedules; theoretical side of things is important, but the practical application side is very important.

Cost is a component—return on investment; if my company feels there is value in the program and they won’t lose that employee; the composition of the first class is important; the quality of the professors is very important; how is this supported in my company and the College.

All of the above; another consideration -the springboard of what happens after obtaining the degree; how would the College assist me after my degree? Monetary considerations are part of the equation.

All of above; time constraints are my biggest concern.
All are important; investment vs. return; academia- who are they looking at to hire; flexibility in scheduling matters; opportunity for networking with students in similar programs outside of Charlotte—this is a great intellectual opportunity.

Cost, yes; understanding the costs and availability of assistance/grants, ways to manage the cost; time/flexibility – what does the time schedule look like? Flexibility of time; networking—I recognize the value of it, but it’s not an overriding factor that sways me to enroll; however, additional professional development is important.

It depends on an individuals’ life situations; family depends is a critical factor; career changers would likely be interested in this degree; cost—is the employer willing to step up?; networking—if 20-25 students, it would be a selling point, if 6-8 people, not so much.

Cost would be the #1 issue; my company will fund some $, but not all; time constraints is not an issue for me; networking-added value.

Cost, would it be supported by my company? Time constraints not as much an issue; networking is a big draw.

I need an MBA, first; do you consider an executive MBA relevant; cost isn’t as much of a factor; flexibility is an issue, time constraints; networking-fine but not a driving factor.

Scheduling is likely to be a big driver, but not cost; networking, other avenues with less work; research and thesis part can be a bit of a sour taste; students are not going to put up with a lot aggravation in this area.

Cost is one; whether the organization would support it via scholarship or maybe the school would provide some scholarship; flexibility- ability to complete your dissertation is a scary thought; time management issues; networking — strongest selling point to network with local and regional executives.

Location—don’t want to travel all the time; cost – not too high; networking— I realize it is a small group; looking forward to meeting others including faculty.

Timing of start; flexibility of work load; cost; if on staff or board, will there be discounts; does BofA pay all or portion; make sure program is created in a way to benefit the employer.

Are there particular areas of business that you would prefer as a focus of the EDBA (e.g. leadership and change management, global, analytics, marketing, etc.) or would you prefer a program that is broad in scope, cutting across business disciplines to address applied problems facing executives in the workplace? (Probe: Do you have specific ideas of business research problems you would like to study? What ideas interest you?)
My academic background is more on the academic side; I think it would individual to individual; however, I don’t think there is a lot of good leadership training; also marketing and business development.

My MBA was a broad approach and I liked it; I like it; much easier in not being pigeon-holed.

Global from an understanding perspective, it’s a great big world out there; behavioral and psychological aspects of business; there is a lot of behavioral science to business.

I like the broad approach, but I would like some options to focus on specifics; some exposure.

Interested in specifics-more personal preference; I’ve done an MBA, so I have a good grounding; global business one example of interest-tangible things that I can apply immediately to my current position.

Strategy is my primary interest; non-profit management, complex management issues.

Broad in scope is good; the driver is that the program is a catalyst for transitioning into another career; if I was planning to stay in international banking, I would be interested in focusing on global issues.

I tend to be a generalist as the world is changing a lot; broad in scope is useful; it depends on your goal; do you want to focus on a particular area or have broad understanding of your business.

You do need to be broad in scope; the leadership of millennials is huge-very interesting; defining leadership is huge; most people don’t understand what it takes to be a leader; teaching how to fail is key.

The broader base is good; I like how you have laid it out.

It is critical that it stays pretty broad; there is a foundation that you want to build across a functional level among all disciplines.

I hope any PhD program is fluid; if one wants to drill down, one should be able to do so; approaching it as "broad in scope" is good.

I like the broad in scope aspect; I like to learn about different issues/areas.

Broad in scope should be the foundation to solve big issues; there has to be some flexibility to drill down based on the student’s goals and experience.

The broad approach is more appealing; it opens opportunities for the future by the program being broad in scope; health care is narrow, I don’t want to have a narrow focus.
I like the broad approach; there are plenty of narrow-focused programs; globalization, expanding into new territories is very interesting; supply change issues; population growth and urbanization.

Operations management and leadership is my preference; leadership and change management, also; broad in scope is better; executives at this level need understanding in all of these areas; executives have to be able to communicate effectively for all of these issues.

I prefer that it cuts across the disciplines; I want more broad than deep; talent management and development would interest me; how to keep people engaged and committed to the business.

For the finance group, a drill down into capital structure and efficient use of capital is extremely, today; maximization of balance sheets; valuation of stock; a focus on ethics and leadership is so critical – I see bright people with few leadership skills.

I see it as global research, macro trends, marketing, new issues that can be significant change in a landscape- opportunities for people to start thinking about the new tools on the horizon; idea of innovation-in periods of conflict there is usually innovation, How do you keep up innovation?; what are the sources of innovation?

t-shaped person model; there is an important aspect to diving into a specific area, but you need strong exposure, broadly; like the liberal arts aspect i.e. broad scope; change management and analytics is very interesting; organizational sciences is interesting; level of entrepreneurship theory and application (different types of business model structures).

Interest in the globalization of the economy and leadership issues; board in scope is helpful; at this level it has to much more flexible and less mechanistic; great value in networking – make sure that the program provides students the time to talk (interaction).

Thunderbird example – 2-year program; lots of specialization; I would prefer this degree to be more general; if I did want a specific focus it would be around customers, global.

You walk the fine line; I would love the DBA to have flexibility to focus on a variety of things; utilization of analytics; no desire to take finance courses; no desire to go into operations; can you have define tracks? Electives in order to drill into your area of interest; if the DBA is too broad, I’ll get a PhD instead.

The proposed EDBA is designed for a business executive who does not want to leave his/her career to pursue a full-time doctorate degree. What scheduling considerations and support services would be important? (For example, are they looking for a turn-key program where all books and materials are provided so that they don’t have to navigate a book store or purchase books online? Do they anticipate needing any kind of technology support?)
Any executive is going to have some level of P&L responsibility, therefore the need to be mindful of the quarter-end closing dates; these are challenging times for all.

I would need everything mapped out, so I know what the expectations are. What are the specific programs by semester?

Consider shorter blocks of classes (8 week courses); consider morning or evening blocks—don’t break the day; once or twice a month lecture, other times on-line.

Have the schedule planned well in advance to work commitments within existing work and family events; need by-in from your employer; understanding of outside expectations.

No specifics; personally, I would have to make the personal decision that pursuing this is important to me.

Faculty availability on off-hours; my program considers faculty “mentors” — they provide their personal e-mails and cell phone numbers to enhance availability.

College liaison to facilitate specific needs; access to faculty in off hours; financial aid options.

On-line very important, 50% or more, but I want face-to-face class time, as well.

On-line aspect is critical and an advantage; faculty available after the work day would be an advantage.

Flexibility of courses; half on-line, half on site; incorporating optional speaking dates to ensure that student is working on course work; provide emotional support; this is a “lonely” process; residency components are important—it forces you to be around people.

On-line component; clustering of dates for classes outside of the core work days; the faculty needs to be very available and open to alternative communications; texting, etc.

E-learning is important, but can be problematic because some would just “go through the motions”; class time is important, as well; real world interaction.

On-line opportunities; have the program/faculty to check-in with the students to ensure that the format is working; option of make-up classes due to unexpected work constraints; tutoring and mentoring options.

On-line component; weekend courses; scholarship opportunities.
The ability to be on-line is critical; travelling executives need that flexibility; participating in study groups—great way to extend dialogue from the classroom to that next level.

Not interested in anything on-line; I’m a face-to-face person; I realize the value of personal interaction; scheduling—I’m pretty unique, I am flexible; it is not a huge concern for me.

It’s a double-edged sword, the less of the weekend that you steal, the better, but the employer looks at it from a different perspective.

On-line and face-to-face combination; hold most classes on Saturdays; faculty access in off-hours.

Having office hours to talk/meet with professors, after office hours. Have schedule for all class requirements at the beginning of the semester; on-line component is helpful; peer/buddy system—match someone from the first class, so others can reach out to that person as a resource.

Complete flexibility is not necessary, I do like a guidelines; hybrid of the two.

I don’t think about support services; construct of time is important; how many weekends, nights, etc.?

The provision of books (electronic format) as easily accessible is important; dedicated librarians; scheduling—the work week; making things fit in the work week; advanced scheduling is key; some flexibility in breaks (3-hour lunch window to address business lunches/meetings).

Turn-key would be nice, but not necessary; personally, I would like the option to select my materials, based on a suggested list.

My company’s leadership support of a modified schedule (from BoA); networking within the cohort and with faculty and visiting professors; don’t expect career service assistance.

Flexibility of schedule; Schedule it out in advance; another tool to access info if you cannot make a class; ad-hoc access to professors will be key; team projects won’t work due to all the schedule requirements outside of the classroom!!! Scheduling troubles.

*Students in the EDBA program will complete the three-year program with the same group of students. Do you think the cohort approach would be a positive or negative aspect of the program? What experience, background, capabilities, level of commitment, etc. would you expect from members of your class?*

I do think it is important to form those relationships with classmates, but some students may need to tailor their own schedules; I like a mix of industries; it is important to have a range of professional titles, but establish minimums; you obtain more depth of experiences.
A positive aspect; I think it was great! I would want diversity from all levels, C-level down, different industries.

There is a little bit of a trap in this question; it’s not necessary, its’ not a driving factor whether you start and finish with the same group of people; I see members of the class having diverse experience (ideal combination).

I prefer the cohort model; you can build relationships with these people; consistency is good, but it does limit your networking opportunities; I would benefit personally from a mixture of experience and background.

Definitely some benefits; my MBA was structured that way; it facilitates networking and gives it life; a mix of careers is good; tenure-there is a minimum threshold of experience needed, at least 10 years; be somewhat selective.

Positive; you develop bonds with the other students; you grow to know all of your classmates; this leads to extended friendships.

Positive; diversity of student group; non-profit and for-profit representation; diversity of professional experience.

Positive; that’s how my MBA was structured; it forces you to get things done; I like staying with the same people; it fosters teamwork both personally and professionally; most PhD programs are too individualized.

Positive; a great thing to have a mixture of backgrounds and work experience; CEOs who have been around a long time tend to be more traditional, stuck in the past.

I’ve seen cohort programs go horribly wrong—they start with 13 and end with 2 students; you lose the opinions and experiences of those lost students; there can be a huge experience gap with the remaining students (CEO vs. student with 8-10 years experience); College needs to consider these aspects.

Very positive; it builds your opportunities to network and develop support; if this is a true executive program, you need to look at someone with 10-20 years experience who have sr. positions in their company-truly at an executive level; the broader of diversity of role and title the better.

Positive; it’s important that the experience levels are diverse i.e. industries and locations, but all have the same general level of experience.

I do like the cohort approach; I’ve always worked in a team capacity; I don’t want to sit with five other bankers-I want a variety of students who represent different industries.
Positive; you get to know a core group of people; I like that dynamic.

I see the value of a cohort program, experienced this with my MBA program; simple logic dictates this tract; you need standards for what students bring to the table with regard to experience (10 years minimum?); there will be frustrations if some students are too junior.

I see the value of the cohort approach; I benefit from that approach because I can hear other points of view, outside of HC, then other people learn from me; it enables me to participate and learn through the cohort approach; I’m used to the team-based approach.

I can answer that in both ways; Queens has a non-cohort program; Clemson has a two-year program cohort, many dropped out for different reasons; three years a chunk of time; has does that impact learning and the rest of the group; bonding experience is good.

Cohort is the best way; great networking; lasting relationships.

Positive because of the networking component; you develop relationships with your group.

Positive, because when teams work well together and they work together for a period of time that creates cohesion, a lot of benefits.

I attended the Sloan Fellows Program in Innovation at M.I.T.; the learning that came from my exposure to the group was almost as important as the program itself; shared knowledge and pain is “fabulous”; huge believer in cohort program.

Positive; I do believe in diversity of thought and levels of experience so there is benefit in working with different people; maybe coordinating with PhDs.

Negative – it doesn’t allow you to mix with others; you get tired of being with the same people; some cohorts will fall behind, etc.; I would rather have a very unstructured program – Internet-based?: let me manage my time; a couple of times of month for the group gathering; time is the most valuable commodity; One thing I forgot to mention is that the market the College is interested in capturing is made up of senior executives who, by nature, are a difficult bunch; therefore, the program has to be designed with these people in mind and not for junior execs. The program description, as given to you, appeared to me to be aimed to the junior level group because of its rigorous detail in schedules, etc.

Heard great things about a cohort approach; it will be a good support system; hope it would be a good mix of functional areas to facilitate a good dialogue.

Good enough approach; what do you think the % of drop-outs will be? I don’t expect anything from my classmates; see above regarding flexibility and no team.
What would be your expectations of faculty teaching in the EDAB program in terms of experience, backgrounds, and areas of expertise?

I would expect all doctoral level professors who have both academic and real-world experience.

I assume they would be very tenured/senior educators; the best in their field; real-world experience in some aspects, depending on the course.

Combination of academia and real-world experience; real-world experience is important.

I would expect more senior faculty, experts in their field; broad experience; a big plus to have experience outside of academia; have a mix of academics and real-world experience.

I would shy away from pure academics; I would look towards people who have experience in business; I’m looking for something that I can apply not just talk about theory.

For them to understand the depth of the student; they may have expertise in the particular course they are teaching, but they need to understand what the student brings to the conversation; faculty should serve as “mentors” — let’s work together.

Combination of academic and practical; bringing in guest lecturers; standard expertise.

Very experienced in business and academia; guest lecturers are important because of their current experience; you can’t just study abstract issues.

Expect them to have a deep and strong background in the subject they are teaching; hands on experience is important; guest speakers with business experience.

Tough question; you need to find someone who is comfortable not knowing everything; someone who can guide, but let the learning occur; let the students drive the course/conversation; include guest speakers who have the real world experience; the faculty will learn as well as the students.

Expect them to be at the doctoral level; true subject-matter experts; some mix of Sr. level retired executives with existing faculty; former CEOs, etc. (that level of expertise).

A good mix of outside experience and academics; Socratic Method vs. discussions; have a faculty sponsor/buddy/mentor; some theory, some case studies.

I like adjunct professors; ones that have practical experience; I prefer to see a blend of academia and practical.

Someone who has experience in the managerial level; a good facilitator; it can’t be a straight academic.
They need practical experience; the professors who are most effective are the ones who do consulting/outside experience; that experience allows better framing of issues/problems; need for a good balance between academia and outside experience.

Broad and diverse backgrounds; different people with different disciplines; they are the most experienced people in that field/discipline; real world experience vs. straight academia; being in touch of cutting edge of business landscape; guest lecturers with real world experience.

Strong talent from the professor group; deep grounding in theory, but he/she needs more than theory-practical experience; faculty needs to know the business-side.

Need a mix of experience and academic expertise; guest speakers are fine, but not critical; it needs to be practical, hands-on, and concrete.

Have a mix of people that aren't just academics, experience in the work environment.

Critical that there is expertise in the field; real applicability is important, not just a textbook answer; guest speakers are important

They have to have a pragmatic bend; important that they can bridge from theoretical to practical; guest speakers are important-people of note, really interact with someone at a senior level; NGOs.

It depends for each course; research knowledge-needs to be well trained; entrepreneurial courses need more professional experience; guest lecturers i.e. successful executives are important to bring other perspectives.

You want someone that is relatable (to the real world); they must have a feel for the business world; this process needs to be fun; willingness to learn together; sharing information.

To have deep functional expertise; relative expertise as to the application; they can't be removed from reality; actual knowledge of how business works; depth is key; visiting professors would be fantastic-bringing experience in the field.

A lot of professors have corporate experience, but others don't; you could enroll in this program and find that you have more experience than the professors; consider adjuncts who have that corporate experience; more of getting the students to "teach themselves" research techniques; focus; guest lecturers; a broker for great guest lecturers.
Some executive doctorate programs include courses taken with a partner university outside of the U.S. or other study abroad experience. How important is having an international component in the EDBA program? What flexibility would you have for global travel as part of an EDBA program?

It depends on how the global travel is set up; I think it is hard to do in a professional/executive program; I know there is some success of immersion trips; I don’t think it’s too much to ask for a commitment of a week off.

I think global opportunity is absolutely critical; I don’t if I could do it in my current position; globalization, in theory, is not enough.

Not as a requirement, but an option; I would have flexibility for global travel.

I think it’s great, but it would not be a requirement for me; it would not change my view if an international component is not offered.

Definitely interesting to me; the perspective of how other countries work, it is important; the issue of travel falls to, “How much time would I need to be away?” I could probably work remotely; I would need to work that out; a couple of weeks are feasible.

Very important; all people should become worldly to see how people function in other parts of the world; availability – it would nice if the institution had some sort of student swap-where the tuition wouldn’t change and the time element would be conducive.

It is important; I would make an effort to take advantage of international travel.

Yes; yes; especially for people who have not experienced life outside the U.S.

Given the global economy we live in, the international component is important; I think the travel opportunity is key.

It is important, but not the utmost importance; some of the companies you are engaged with can bring in international speakers that add to the program; it’s not critical.

I think it would be a nice opportunity; make it an option; 1-2 weeks in total for international travel.

There would be decent support from IR for global travel; I’m not sure how important it is to have a global component; some immersion could be interesting.

Very important to me personally; to understand and comprehend the global market place, it would be beneficial; professionally, my world is the greater Charlotte area, so it would not make a great impact; I could justify a couple of weeks; I would not want to commit to an internship.
Very important so you can understand what goes on in other countries; I would have flexibility (two weeks).

I think it is extremely important—I applaud Queens U. for including global options for international study; relevant for me when I was at the bank and we were looking at South America (Brazil, specifically); I would want to experience the global aspect of the program.

I have options to do foreign travel at this stage in my life; there is a huge value in exposure on a global scale; understanding other cultures and the business landscape.

I think it is important; globalization is important; there are few businesses that do not have an international component; flexibility piece is important, need to define the length of time of the study abroad.

It depends based on time and topic; my company would likely be sponsoring me, so they would have to feel comfortable.

Very important; I would work my schedule around the travel; I would expect that would be communicated up front.

I have flexibility for travel, but it depends on the length of time would be a factor; example one week in Hong Kong or another local would be feasible.

It is an important part, some businesses have global offices, you have to have that knowledge; it’s valuable to have a global view, you can’t make assumptions; global experiences opens up your world view.

Very attractive to me; a key component that I would love to have access to; I could be flexible; the more international experience you can get, the better; looking at very constrained environments will give a good perspective.

That’s just to look slick; I don’t need that; time is an issue.

I would love it from my perspective; the challenge is scheduling; Thunderbird does a 2-week intensive study aboard; I believe that there are many business executives that could benefit from international interaction.

Huge; opportunity to do that is a big draw to create the relationship; fostering the experiences of the other university—one per year; big benefit—it’s something they can’t do on their own; I would have flexibility for travel.
Executive doctorates in business that are offered in the U.S. charge tuition in the $120,000 to $150,000 range for the 3-year program. Would the typical tuition for an executive doctorate affect your decision to apply and enroll? Explain. Would the tuition likely be paid by you or by your company? The EDBA represents a significant time and monetary commitment. Do you think people will seek out the degree given the cost and time?

Yes, but I don’t know if that range is out of the market; I don’t, if I would get ROI; my company would contribute a small amount; maybe, 10%.

Probably not; most corporations have some sort of reimbursement; TIAA-CREF reimburses a certain amount per semester, based on grades; you are bound to stay at the company a certain amount of time afterwards.

It’s a little high, plus I would be paying the cost myself.

It would be critical that the College convince me that there is a ROI; I don’t see it; it’s a real hurdle; BofA would pay a limited amount.

My decision would be based on, “Would this commitment allow me to progress in my career and obtain ROI?” The bank would likely pickup part of that cost.

If I can access school loans or grants, then it would not be an issue.

Yes; I need to see the financing of the costs; I would be hard-pressed to finance this, personally; I would seek financial aid options; I understand that it is an investment.

Pretty standard price, but you need to run your ROI; this program is a different equation, you aren’t likely to get a raise or promotion; you need to evaluate why you are pursuing; personal enrichment, career transition.

It would not impact my decision; if the program is worth it, then I would make it happen; the company would pick up a small amount; that is a challenge for some people.

It’s hard to gauge; it depends if a company will cover a significant portion of the cost; if no, the individual has to determine ROI; a younger executive may feel the cost is challenging.

I assumed this price; that price is not a surprise; BofA would provide some $$$.

The cost may be a little high; for an individual who wants to change careers without company financial support, it could be challenging; if there are ways to offset some of the costs, that would be help; at IR, probably OK for one person, per year.
The cost doesn’t surprise me, but it would impact my decision to enroll; I would talk with my employer about tuition support; I would pursue scholarships and loans, as well.

Yes, I don’t have that type of money saved; if there are scholarships that would be helpful; possibly by WF if it related to my job.

Gut reaction, this cost is along the lines of law school; appropriate pricing, in general; each candidate would have to evaluate the cost based on the quality of program.

Only affect my decision if I had to pay the entire cost; if financial assistance, then it would not be as much of an issue.

It depends on the individual; is the employer contributing to the tuition cost?

Yes; I understand the value, but most companies don’t pay for anything that high; this would come out of my pocket.

Yes; it’s expensive; tuition reimbursement is important; I think people will be interested if they are interested in a PhD, but don’t want to make the time commitment.

That is so steep; what is your return on investment? While I would love to participate personally, I don’t think I could recoup that amount in my current role.

Me, no, but some people may feel the price is too high; GD has a cap on tuition, but there are exceptions; GD would likely tap someone to attend, therefore pay for the cost; deterrent – time commitment (in general).

The price doesn’t throw me off; historically CHS has not funded advanced business degrees, but it is possible that some $$ could be subsidized; I think the program will be sought out by individuals; some weeding out is good of less serious candidates.

Yes; that is a lot of money; a fair price may be half of that; this is UNC Charlotte, not a Stanford.

Thinking it was more like $100k or $110k; if higher end of the range, I may not enroll; need verification of my investment; BofA would pay $5,000 per year; time commitment OK, cost possibly could sway my decision to enroll.

I think the cost is high; $100k is the max; start there then you can increase depending on outcomes; unless companies are paying half, it is a deterrent.

On a scale of 1-10, how interested are you in pursuing the EDBA that the Belk College of Business?
What business-types of people do you think would be interested in this program?

Those folks who are looking to transition into academia or a consulting role; what industries are the folks coming from at the other schools?

Across different organizations. Within a company; individuals who want to differentiate themselves to provide more options within and outside their company.

People who have plateaued; middle managers who need to differentiate themselves; someone who is on the business side who came from a different division, example: An engineer who has moved to the business side.

Consultants—they could leverage this program the most.

There is a type of person who is intellectually curious and enjoys lifeline learning; expert types who like to be known as in depth experts.

Consultants who wish to reach higher levels; CEOs who may wish to move to another business; executive level managers; possibly politicians.

Across all fields and industries; the bigger employers would likely have an interest; consulting; GW was pitching a consulting angle.

Larger company employees and some non-profits who want to learn how to teach and to consult.

Larger company executives would be more interested; those that value PhDs. smaller companies not so much; larger can offer reimbursement.

The industries don’t really matter; I see the focus more on the younger executives who are looking for that "edge"; that’s where you will get the majority of your prospects.

Consulting firms and larger corporations would be interested; company perspective: HR and finance.

I think interest can come from many industries; operations and supply chain individuals; treasury and corporate finance side.

People in the financial industry would be a primary target due to global interests; manufacturing sector due to out-sourcing, prevalent trade; economic development, i.e. economic councils, chambers of commerce, private economic development sector.

It cuts across industries; I think that different levels of experience, i.e. CEO vs. sr. analyst, may cause some issues.
Will cut across a number of industries, i.e. medical, financial, consulting, maybe computing telecom.

People further in their career path with significant amount of experience; I don’t see it is industry specific; people that want to fall into three buckets, i.e. career advance in current company, teaching, consulting.

Size and scale of the company would like influence the types of individuals who may pursue this program; larger, more global companies; not restricted to industries.

People who are interested in learning; ones who want to learn at a high level; it depends on the individual.

Anyone who is not at the C-suite level, but wants to attain this level; people who are close to retirement from corporate who would like to go on to teach.

Those who want to teach; depending how your structure the depth of the subject matter, i.e. quantitative data, technology folks would be interested.

Business people on the business-side of a company who are looking for that next transition; bigger companies but not exclusively; don’t just look at big businesses; look at entrepreneurs.

Banking; those that interact with higher level scientists, engineers (healthcare specific); product development; energy; industries that take a lot of theoretical justifications.

Bankers; consulting businesses; CPAs.

Bank terms: band 3s; outside the bank: VP or SVP of a corporation; I want my cohorts to have a significant level of experience to have the right type of conversations.

It cuts across industries; 20-plus year experience; advancing a second career.

Do you think this program would attract individuals from outside of the Charlotte region?

I would think so; there are a lot of international firms in the Greenville SC area who have individuals who may have interest.

Yes; with the limited amount of options, yes it could be appealing.

The Charlotte market, plus 100 miles depending on various factors, i.e. reputation.
I doubt it; it would be difficult for people to travel beyond one-hour away; the name recognition (UNC Charlotte) is not that high.

I would think so, due to scarcity of these types of programs and the opportunities to gain exposure to other executives in other industries (for smaller companies).

If it is a cohort, no.

Yes; Charlotte is attractive; you could attract others from SC.

Yes; because there are very few of these programs.

Yes.

Yes, because there are only six similar programs in the U.S.; Raleigh, Greenville.

Based on the rarity of the program, I think a broader reach would be interested in the program. Consider housing options for travelers.

It could; IR is a global company, like to get people who are employees of global companies; Charlotte is good East-coast hub.

Yes; because of the uniqueness of the program; supply and demand; Charlotte-based in attractive.

Yes; because it’s not offered many places; accessibility of on-line learning is important.

I don’t know; it depends; is this program relatively unique? Remote participation options?

My limited guess answer, possibly; the farther you go there are room/board considerations.

Yes, I hope that it would; if it attracts folks outside the area, it is trickier regarding costs, travel, etc.

Yes.

Yes.

Yes, if it is only one of six schools who offer this program.

Yes, if you market enough.

With current structure of executive format, maybe the metro region.
Yes; UNC Charlotte has a great reputation.

Yes, because it is new and unique.

Yes; especially if the planning is in place for the one-weekend a month; make it simple; advertise.

Other than personal interviews like this one, how do you think the College can best go about assessing demand for the program?

I don’t know if I have a good answer; alumni base outreach.

Talk to “thought leadership” within good business companies; get by-in; it adds legitimacy.

Talk to the big companies to gain their perspective, CFOs (Duke Energy, Nucor, etc.

Surveying alumni; reaching out to business people in the Charlotte community; business executive forums.

Send out surveys; contact schools that are at the Master’s level, but don’t offer a DBA to gain their input.

On-line presence; promotional information; targeting Master program graduates; alumni; target outreach to other Master program grads, i.e. Queens (non-competing).

To partner with consulting firms and other universities/schools to determine the need/interest; SBA or Chamber partnerships.

Advertising; competitive advantage is the international component and the reputation of UNC Charlotte.

Do something in conjunction with the Charlotte Business Journal, some type of ad; use contacts within area businesses; put the idea out there and see what type of feedback you receive.

Broad-based surveys with corporate executives; market research.

Partnering with certain industry groups; more advertising.

Go to EMBA programs, what are they hearing from their students; what do they want next? Talk to European-based companies who have US subsidiaries.

On-line survey.
What is the vacancy rate of faculty? Part of the equation, how many jobs have been lost in the key business industries that can impact enrollment? Would there be a demand?

Assessing alumni base through some sort of survey; asking questions targeted to specific businesses, Charlotte has several Fortune 500 companies.

Contact HR leaders in the area, Nucor, Lowe’s, BofA, CHS.

Look in the area, banks, manufacturing, technology, aerospace companies; you have enough people/companies in the area to conduct an information session; hold these once per quarter; bring people to campus.

Talk to current MBAs; connect with people that currently work in a consulting capacity, i.e. Deloitte, Ernst & Young.

Survey MBAs; website on-line survey; evaluate the success of the other six schools.

Reach out to alumni; focus groups.

Look at other CEO surveys, “What are CEOs looking for in the world today?” General survey of MBAs (alumni).

Interviews are best.

This is a great start; individual focus groups; put something on the website, asking people if they want more info.; faculty should mention at forums and panels; people will self-select for this program.

Market, market, market; go after alumni base; reach out via magazine, EM distribution; letter in the mail; the College does a poor job in communicating its assets; “Best kept secret in Charlotte.”

Given finite resources, offering the EDBA may mean that the Belk College will be unable to pursue some other opportunities. Candidly, do you think this program is needed in Charlotte? If you were the Dean of the Belk College, would the establishment of an EDBA be a priority?

It depends on how many students they need in the program to break even or return a profit; I think there is demand here; Charlotte and Greenville SC are fairly affluent with top-level executives.

I think it would be slow going to start; it will take time to gain momentum; until you talked to me, I never would have thought about this option for a program; however, it could be big.

I don’t know; if you talk to the big companies to gain their perspective, it would help the College determine the viability (roundtable format).
I don’t think the need is there; I don’t know if I would focus my resources.

It seems to me that it would be a more lucrative program, than some others; all topics are relevant to the business community; if there is sufficient demand, it should be a sustainable program; Charlotte has 8-10 Fortune 500 companies to tap into; be flexible on course offerings–be topical and cutting edge; what will get me ready for the next 10 years–don’t offer the same old thing.

Making partnerships with business community should be a top priority.

Yes, it is needed; I have looked for a similar program, locally, but could not find it; study other existing programs; is the demand increasing? I think Charlotte as a market has a lot of potential; we are behind in graduate program offering.

I don’t know what the other options are, but I would want to know if this leads to other potential students; cost of delivery; scalability – a finite # of students; strategically do you target your current MBA students as potential enrollees.

Bringing in top-notch instructors, who will attract interest; subject matter experts will help determine the priority of establishing the program.

Tough question; resource-wise you are going to spend a lot of $$ on faculty and support, guest speakers, etc.; you may need to consider $$ on an advanced on-line program; do a cost analysis; review existing programs against this proposed programs.

Continue to evaluate ROI compared to other options; do you have current faculty or do you need to bring in additional faculty? Obtain more input regarding interest.

Once you validate the need, I think if you deploy this program, it would provide reputation building and relationship building opportunities; if would increase your impact and scope.

I don’t know what the other programs are, but I think it has “teeth.” The uniqueness of the program is appealing; the fact that the European countries value the program is a consideration; what are your resources?

Yes; because it’s unique; I think it would draw a good amount of people to the program.

I can’t suppose to understand the other priorities that are facing the Dean; which ones are compelling, now?

I think it is needed; the flexibility to reach people who can’t leave their full-time job, but want to pursue a PhD; you would be missing an opportunity; you have to look at ROI; I would prioritize accordingly.
It comes down to what are the other possible opportunities, we need to consider; establishing this program would set the College apart; I like the uniqueness of the program; need to ensure that the demand is there; if yes, I think it fits well with the mission of the College; demand management.

Highest priority; if you look at the proposed program and you can bring in senior level executives/alums, these would expand alumni base/connections; the success would be unique; scale 1-5, I give it a four; I hope the College will make the program affordable; we have a different market. Don’t make it out of reach.

Yes, because of all the business that Charlotte is attracting to the region; this would be a great competitive advantage for College.

Definitely look at the stats (data) from the other schools; it could be a huge differentiator for UNCC; do you have enough interest? It would be a great marketing tool; look at executive MBA as an option.

Need to know return on investment; elevation of prestige.

Yes, it’s a good competitive advantage for the College; it will attract a great talent pool.

Yes, it is needed and they should pursue; have a PhD then specialize underneath (Engineering model).

Does UNCC want to be seen as cutting edge and innovative, then “Yes.” There will be growing pains. This program would be another way to add value to the College and the other PhD programs.

Yes, hands down; complete incremental revenue environment.
APPENDIX C

INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE – EMPLOYER PERSPECTIVE
Executive Doctorate in Business Administration (EDBA) Description

What benefit would the company receive from employees participating in the EDBA program? What skills and capabilities would you expect employees to gain from pursuing the EDBA degree?

Firm believers in development of all of our folks, including C-level; more options the better; looking for customized program; I think this would be a great offering—all good topics; very relevant; they would come back with more strategic vision and insight; we are looking to programs that would help us with that; elevate leadership with broader perspective; a champion for change; PhDs would be more enlightened.

The employee would gain new perspectives and learn about a new strategic initiatives that could be applied to the company—a value add; working with a cohort at a similar level; learning what issues other companies are facing; skills-implementation, how to take theory and processes and implement them in the company; example: innovation.

Hard to get my head around Target #1; it’s a hard value proposition – why does a PhD or EDBA provide the edge to business executives? Target #2 is more plausible as it’s a way for these individuals to change careers; topics are interesting as executive ed programs-don’t really see why executives would need to enroll in an EDBA to gain exposure; I don’t see need for this degree for IRs executives; the second target audience is plausible for them; I do support the program, but I think we have to be careful.

We would gain the opportunity to have a program for individuals who we have identified folks for executive leadership; a pathway for those types of individuals (executive management track); this proposed program has a lot of components that allow for thinking outside of the box; strategic thinking and ability to offer an innovative approach; this program will help people learn how to lead (soft skills)

I don’t foresee that we would have any candidates for this program; we look for industry level experience.

Are there particular areas of business that you would prefer as a focus for employees pursuing the EDBA (e.g. leadership and change management, global, analytics, etc.) or would you prefer a program that is broad in scope, cutting across business disciplines to address applied problems facing executives in the workplace?

I like the broad in scope concept because we want well-rounded leaders; if I had to pick one, it would be leadership and change due to our need to change around technology; we need to be more free-minded
Innovation, integrating across supply chain and informatics in an innovative way; marketing strategy; understand at an executive level the importance of those areas; ability to go across disciplines-integrated business platform; how do you integrate their analytics into other areas; design thinking

Broad is better; let people focus with their dissertation work for specifics

I think it is great that it is broad in scope; executive management needs the knowledge and skills to identify across the spectrum; the more diverse the background the better

I don’t foresee that we would have any candidates for this program; we look for industry level experience.

As part of the program, students will conduct research that translates cutting-edge business theory into business practice. The culmination of this research is an applied dissertation. Would your company support an employee who would like to focus the research around your company and/or industry? What do you see as pluses and minuses of your company participating in this kind of research? How important an issue is the assurance of non-disclosure for the project?

90% we would be supportive; we’ve worked with other employees who have researched the company for their MBAs, etc.; we were a public company, so it would not be a problem; we are very open; it’s a great body of work that provides a different perspective

It would be a huge value-add to use a true company problem; however, IP is huge barrier due to legal restrictions; example: HIPPA restrictions; my perception is that UNC Charlotte needs to approach this type of degree offering from a business perspective instead of research perspective

We would be very supportive, depending on the topic, would impact non-disclosure issues;

I don’t think there would be any inhibitors to us participating; we would request signing of confidentiality forms; however, we need to be mindful that the student/employees needs other management executives to assist Evaluate what do we, the bank, get in return?

We would have to evaluate it on a case-by-case basis. Confidentiality issues are important.

The proposed EDBA is designed for a business executive who does not want to leave his/her career to pursue a full-time doctorate degree. What scheduling considerations and support services would be important for your employees?

Flexibility, as this is not a traditional student; we send employees to the Harvard 8-week program; we work with the employee; don’t be too rigid on absences-focus on the end product

On-line component; biggest barrier is scheduling around executives commitments; I see this program being of interest to employees at the VP level, ones who are out in the field; 60% on-line as it would be difficult for them to commit to in-person classroom experience; blended program
We have a lot of other executive programs that we support; have some portion of the program offered on-line is helpful; there's many ways to do it, but the key is to have some portion available on-line; very manageable; Why do you think that you need to a PhD? is the real question for the Target #1 group. The College needs to decide which investment makes more sense, i.e. EDBA or a beefed up executive education program. Which option, strategically, is the better investment for the College.

From a banking perspective, we are accustom to sending our executives to banking schools; we schedule around it; we would ask that the employee have access to an advanced schedule, so we can work around meeting dates.

Most employees take these types of courses on their own time, weekends, etc.

*Some executive doctorate programs include courses taken with a partner university outside of the U.S. or other study abroad experience. How important is having an international component in the EDBA program? What flexibility would your employees have for global travel as part of an EDBA program?*

It is important for the individual, more so than the company, as the individual has opportunities for growth and development; we would be flexible and open to this option.

Great idea; no more than two weeks of travel for the entire program; maybe consider more than one international partner to expand learning opportunities for students.

It can make the program more interesting; Rutgers has a global program; it makes it more relevant to global programs, but also adds to the cost.

A little difficult; we are smaller-NC-based; I think the study abroad is very helpful as individuals gain more than just how banking works in another country; you gain an understanding of different cultures; it is a little difficult to determine how it would directly impact the bank, but exposure is important; we would support it if it is part of the program.

From company perspective, we are basically domestic—so not that important; personally, I think a global option is important; it's hard to coordinate, but we would try to accommodate (one week per year; expectation is that the employee would use vacation time.

*Executive doctorates in business that are offered in the U.S. charge tuition in the $120,000 to $150,000 range for the 3-year program. Would the typical tuition for an executive doctorate affect your decision to support employees who are interested in pursuing the EDBA? The EDBA represents a significant time and monetary commitment. Do you think people will seek out the degree given the cost and time?*

Price is always a factor, but we always look at the value of the program against the cost; for that level of folks, we would be willing to invest in the program; interest will depend on the individual and the company; it could be sticker shock for some, but it's all relative.

$5,500 per year cap in company support, the rest would need to be made up by the student; we have a lot of "working leaders" so time is limited per executive for pursuing these programs.
Yes (expensive), what is the payback to the organization? Rutgers executive education program is $60k - 18 months. I think the cost and time commitment is an issue; it’s a lot; what is the business model for the university? If you are providing scholarships, you may not get payback; important question. $50k per year is on par with the top tier schools in the country; how do you grow into it?

We probably couldn’t support it monetarily due to where we are in the market; we would engage to help where we can, based on the current profit of the company.

$5,000 per year contribution for employee; may contribute more if it is directly related to the employee’s job; I do think the cost is high, but I’m not sure what is a reasonable cost; ROI is key; it must be value-added.

How likely would you be to encourage employees in your company to enroll in the proposed Belk College of Business EDBA?

We would be happy to offer the opportunity; the new CEO will determine the overall direction in leadership development.

It’s the employee’s onus to determine if he/she would wish to pursue; we have several advanced degree employees; when we look at a PhD candidate, it is rarely a business-related doctoral degree; I don’t know if there is enough difference between the EMBA and the EDBA.

I don’t think I would highly recommend an EDBA; I don’t know if it is different enough that it enhances the existing skill set of senior executives.

We would be encouraging, once we evaluated the course work in relation how it would benefit our executive management.

Not likely because I don’t see a ROI; we don’t need someone at that level; industry experience is more important.

What types of people do you think would be interested in this program?

Mid-career executive, unless you have a seasoned individual who is looking to transition.

People who are in market research or product management would be interest.

I think senior management would be interested, as well as executive management.

People who work for research companies; if an individual wants to move into academia, you need this terminal degree—you are more valuable in the market-place.

Do you think this program would attract individuals from outside of the Charlotte region?

If there are only six programs in the country, then “yes;” I think it would be a draw; you have a lot of companies headquartered elsewhere, but Charlotte is attractive to visitors.
Yes, I think this a unique program and would find it appealing for the diversity.

Yes, it would depend on individual circumstances; a little competition from Georgia State’s EDBA program; the positive for Charlotte is ease of transportation.

*How do you think we can best go about assessing demand for the program? How do you think that we should promote the program?*

Are they connecting with their board of directors? Check with friends and family; talk to major employers and other business connections.

Evaluate the success of existing programs against other EDBA program; also look at Duke’s EMBA-how is the proposed program different from an EMBA.

This is where the advisory board comes in handy-best ambassadors; it’s good that you are conducting one-on-one interviews; conduct a formal survey to yield additional data; I am concerned that the target market may not be big enough?

Surveys-MBA alumni; survey at other schools.

*Given finite resources, offering the EDBA may mean that the Belk College will be unable to pursue some other opportunities. Candidly, do you think this program is needed in Charlotte? If you were the Dean of the Belk College, would this be a program you think should be started?*

I don’t think it is needed in so far as there will be a huge demand; I think it is a very nice add; it’s a luxury; I would interested in the demand for this type of program; Is it sustainable? This is the biggest challenge; the candidate pool is very narrow.

I would not recommend moving forward with this program because I don’t see a value-add in true differentiation between the EMBA; I would like to see more encouragement of business friendly practices partnerships between the University and the business community.

No; not now; there are some many other opportunities around; partnerships; executive education opportunities; things where we can gain bigger reach; this is a niche target audience; other opportunities have a greater reach; energy and big data are opportunities to make the university well-known.

I think Charlotte is the perfect place to offer this program due to growth and business opportunities; however, it is a tough decision because you need to think about start-up costs, etc. there is a need.

This would be a unique program, which is a positive. Cost factors need to be considered. I think the way to sell is the value-add to the company. The challenge for the College is that it is based in Charlotte; I don’t know how many Charlotte-based executives need a doctorate; Raleigh/Triangle(primary target
audience) area may be a draw; go talk to these companies directly. What would it take for you to send your employees to this program?
Consultation on Library Holdings

To: Dr. Franz Kellermanns
From: Nicole Spoor
Date: March 10, 2014
Subject: Executive DBA Program Proposal

Summary of Librarian’s Evaluation of Holdings:
Evaluator: Nicole Spoor, Business Librarian Date: March 10, 2014

Please Check One:
Holdings are superior ☐
Holdings are adequate ☒
Holdings are adequate only if dept. purchases additional items ☐
Holdings are inadequate ☐

Comments:
The following table shows the print source holdings that are relevant to the proposed Executive DBA. Individual books not owned by the library may be requested through the library’s interlibrary loan service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalog Search Performed</th>
<th>Top Results</th>
<th>Results Less Than 3 Years Old</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business AND &quot;Research Methods&quot;</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization AND (Theory OR SYSTEM)</td>
<td>2131</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Data Analysis&quot;</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>69415</td>
<td>5613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>31105</td>
<td>2830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Information Systems&quot;</td>
<td>3849</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following is a list of selected Atkins Library databases that may be relevant:

- Business Source Complete
- Lexis Nexis Academic
- Books 24X7
- ScienceDirect
- JSTOR
- SimplyMap

Conclusion: The holdings of Atkins Library with regards to print sources, databases and journals are sufficient to support an Executive DBA program. It is suggested that the participating academic departments continue ordering new resources as they are published.

**Evaluator's Signature:** Nicole Spoor, Business Librarian, Atkins Library
August 29, 2014

Dear Dean Ott,

It is my pleasure to write this letter of support of the proposed DBA program in the Belk College of Business. In reviewing your proposal from the perspective of being Director of the Organizational Science program, it is clear that the proposed degree program is fundamentally different from the Organizational Science program given that:

1. The proposed program genuinely integrates content (and faculty) from multiple departments in the College including Management, Marketing, and BISOM. The Organizational Science program only involves the Management faculty and does include Marketing or BISOM content. Given the extensive involvement of faculty across the College to support the DBA program, it is clear that Management faculty will have the degrees of freedom to continue to teach and support the Organizational Science program.
2. The proposed program is designed for students with extensive working experience looking for a program delivered in an executive format. The Organizational Science program does not require business experience or a masters degree from its applicants. Furthermore, Organizational Science is a full-time day-based program.
3. The proposed program results in a DBA degree while the Organizational Science is a research extensive PhD program.

Good luck on this important effort.


Steven Rogelberg
University Professor
Professor and Director, Organizational Science
Memorandum

To: Dr. Richard Buttimer, Associate Dean for Graduate Programs
From: Dr. Dolly King, Chair, Department of Finance
Subject: Consultation Regarding the Proposal to Establish a Professional Doctor of Business Administration (DBA) Degree Program
Date: September 10, 2014

Thank you for consulting with the Department of Finance on the proposal to establish a new interdisciplinary professional Doctor of Business Administration (DBA) program. I have carefully reviewed the proposal. I note that the major areas, skill sets, expected career paths for students in the proposed program have no overlap with those for the students in the Ph.D. in Business Administration (BPhD) Program - Finance Concentration. The target pool of students is very different between the two programs, as a result I expect minimal impact on the enrollment of the BPhD program. In addition, the proposed program focuses on general business areas, which does not require any additional resources from our department.

On behalf of the Department of Finance, I fully support the proposal. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.
### APPENDIX G

Four Year Operating Budget

#### SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL COSTS FOR PROPOSED PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>UNC Charlotte 22.0201, Business Administration and Management, General, Doctoral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program (CPD, Name, Level)</td>
<td>D.B.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree(s) to be Granted</td>
<td>Program Year: Year 1 (2016-2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Differential tuition requested per student per academic yr | $31,000 |
| Projected annual FTE students | 20 |
| Projected annual differential tuition | $430,000 |
| Percent differential tuition for financial aid | 0% |
| Differential tuition remainder | ADDITIONS/FILLS REQUIRED - BY SOURCE |

#### Reallocation of Percent Institutional Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reallocation Differential Tuition</th>
<th>Projected Enrollment Increase</th>
<th>Other New Allocations (Identify)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPA/SPA Regular Salaries</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director Of Student Recruitment</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBA Program Manager</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA Academic Salaries</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Program Director Stipend</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Overload Stipends</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Identify positions)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>$36,620</td>
<td>$36,620</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Retirement</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Insurance</td>
<td>$20,159</td>
<td>$20,159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Stipends</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Identify number, amount)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Supplies and Materials</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Services</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing, Supplies and Other Office Costs</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and Recruitment Expenses</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom Catering and Expenses</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other One-Time Program Start-up Costs</td>
<td>$12,155</td>
<td>$12,155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TOTAL ADDITIONAL COSTS

$420,000

Narrative.
### SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL COSTS FOR PROPOSED PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>UNC Charlotte</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>5-Sep-14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Program (CIP, Name, Level)

12.0001, Business Administration and Management, General:

#### Degree(s) to be Granted

- D.B.A.

#### Program Year

- Year 2 (2017-2018)

#### Differential Tuition requested per student per academic yr

- $21,000

#### Projected annual FTE students

- 40

#### Projected annual differential tuition

- $840,000

#### Percent differential tuition for financial aid

- 0%

#### Differential tuition remainder

- $840,000

### ADDITIONAL FUNDS REQUIRED - BY SOURCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reallocation of Present Institutional Resources</th>
<th>Projected Differential Tuition</th>
<th>Enrollment Increase Funds</th>
<th>Other New Allocations (Identify)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPA/SPA Regular Salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director Of Student Recruitment</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBA Program Manager</td>
<td>$ 45,000</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>$ 45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA Academic Salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Program Director Stipend</td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Overload Stipends</td>
<td>$ 180,000</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Positions (2)</td>
<td>$ 245,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 245,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>$ 31,660</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 31,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Retirement</td>
<td>$ 73,544</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 73,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Insurance</td>
<td>$ 34,065</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 34,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Stipends</td>
<td>(Identify number, amount)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Supplies and Materials</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ 40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Services</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing, Supplies and Other Office Costs</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and Recruitment Expenses</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ 30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom Catering and Expenses</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Costs</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ 731</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td>(Identify)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TOTAL ADDITIONAL COSTS

- $ - $ 840,000 $ - $ - $ 840,000

**Narrative:**
## SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL COSTS FOR PROPOSED PROGRAM

**INSTITUTION:** UNC Charlotte  
**DATE:** 5-Sep-14  
**Program (CIP, Name, Level):** 51.0301, Business Administration and Management, General  
**Degree(s) to be Granted:** D.B.A  
**Program Year:** Year 1 (2018-2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential tuition requested per student per academic yr</th>
<th>$21,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Projected annual FTE students</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected annual differential tuition</td>
<td>$1,260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent differential tuition for financial aid</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential tuition remainder</td>
<td>$1,260,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ADDITIONAL FUNDS REQUIRED - BY SOURCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reflection of Present Institutional Resources</th>
<th>Projected Differential Tuition</th>
<th>Enrollment Increase Funds</th>
<th>Other New Allocations (Identify)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPA/SPA Regular Salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director Of Student Recruitment</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBA Program Manager</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA Academic Salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Program Director Stipend</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Overload Stipends</td>
<td>$510,000</td>
<td>$510,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Positions (2)</td>
<td>$245,000</td>
<td>$245,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>$36,445</td>
<td>$36,445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Retirement</td>
<td>$115,388</td>
<td>$115,388</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Insurance</td>
<td>$45,615</td>
<td>$45,615</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graduate Stipends

(Identify number, amount) $- $- $- $- $- $-

Student Supplies and Materials

(Identify) $60,000 $60,000

Current Services

(Identify) $- $- $- $- $- $-

Travel

$5,000 $5,000

Communications

$10,000 $10,000

Printing, Supplies and Other Office Costs

$15,000 $15,000

Advertising and Recruitment Expenses

$30,000 $30,000

Classroom Catering and Expenses

$20,000 $20,000

Dissertation and Other Costs

$12,553 $12,553

(Identify) $- $- $- $- $- $-

Libraries

$- $- $- $- $- $-

**TOTAL ADDITIONAL COSTS** $- $1,260,000 $- $- $- $1,260,000

Narrative:
INSTITUTION: UNC Charlotte

Program (CP, Name, Level): D.B.A. Management General, Doctoral

Degree(s) to be Granted: Program Year Year 4 (2019-2020)

Differential tuition requested per student per academic yr: $31,000

Projected annual FTE students: 60

Projected annual differential tuition: $1,260,000

Percent differential tuition for financial aid: 0%

Differential tuition remainder: $1,260,000

---

**ADDITIONAL FUNDS REQUIRED - BY SOURCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reallocation of Institutional Resources</th>
<th>Projected Differential Tuition</th>
<th>Enrollment Increase Funds</th>
<th>Other New Allocations (Identify)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPA/SPA Regular Salaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director Of Student Recruitment</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 85,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 85,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBA Program Manager</td>
<td>$ 85,000</td>
<td>$ 45,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 45,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA Academic Salaries</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Program Director Stipend</td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Overload Stipends</td>
<td>$ 510,000</td>
<td>$ 510,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 510,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Positions (2)</td>
<td>$ 245,000</td>
<td>$ 245,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 245,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>$ 36,445</td>
<td>$ 36,445</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 36,445</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Retirement</td>
<td>$ 115,388</td>
<td>$ 115,388</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 115,388</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Insurance</td>
<td>$ 45,615</td>
<td>$ 45,615</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 45,615</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graduate Stipends

(Identify number, amount)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reallocation of Institutional Resources</th>
<th>Projected Differential Tuition</th>
<th>Enrollment Increase Funds</th>
<th>Other New Allocations (Identify)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Supplies and Materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 60,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing, Supplies and Other Office Costs</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 30,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom Catering and Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Costs</td>
<td>$ 12,552</td>
<td>$ 12,552</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 12,552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Identify)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reallocation of Institutional Resources</th>
<th>Projected Differential Tuition</th>
<th>Enrollment Increase Funds</th>
<th>Other New Allocations (Identify)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL ADDITIONAL COSTS** $ 1,260,000

Narrative: